ETS-U 2012 Class Schedule:

• EMC Fundamentals
  Feb 14 – 16, May 22 – 24

• MIL-STD Testing
  March 20 – 22, Oct 16 – 18

• Wireless Over-The-Air
  Sept 18 – 20

Course Benefits:

• Classroom study with hands-on lab sessions
• Taught by experienced engineers
• Low student to instructor ratio
• Courses qualify for two CEU credits
• Course study guide included

Our training facilities include an A2LA accredited calibration lab, CTIA CATL, and NVLAP accredited acoustic lab.

For more information:
www.ets-lindgren.com/learning

Enabling Your Success™

ETS-LINDGREN
An ESCO Technologies Company

www.ets-lindgren.com
All you need in one small package

Antennas | Probes | Accessories | Preamplifiers | Low-Loss Cables | Recalibration Services

Travel Made Easy with Next-Day, On-Time Delivery

Don’t Leave home without it. A.H. Systems provides many models of Portable Antenna Kits, each containing all the necessary Antennas, Current Probes, and Cables to satisfy numerous customer requirements. Excellent performance, portability (compact size and lightweight), along with ease of setup make all of the Antenna Kits your choice for indoor or field testing. Loss and breakage are virtually eliminated as each component has a specific storage compartment within the case. All Antenna Kits are accompanied with a Tripod and Azimuth & Elevation Head, both contained in a Tripod Carrying Case...and don’t forget your keys!

ANTENNAS... and KITS TOO...

Innovation | Quality | Performance

Phone: (818)998-9223 • Fax (818)996-6692 www.AHSystems.com?IT

A.H. Systems
Engineering the most comprehensive network of commercial test laboratories in North America.

That’s just one way that NTS works for your success.

At NTS, our heritage lies in the testing and certifications business. Over the last 50 years, through a combination of acquisitions, innovations and organic growth we have become the largest commercial test laboratory network in North America. Our testing capabilities span a very wide spectrum, covering Environmental, Dynamics, EMC, Wireless, Product Safety, Reliability, Quality Assurance, Ballistics and more. Our nationwide network of test laboratories is tied together through our LabInsight customer portal, which enables real-time witnessing and participation in testing programs taking place simultaneously at multiple NTS locations. Simply put, no other commercial test lab in North America can match our capacity and capabilities, which means we get you from test lab to market in the shortest possible time and with the least amount of effort, because helping you achieve your goals is how we achieve ours.
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The CISPR-compliant DER2018 covers 20 Hz to 18 GHz and beyond. It combines sensitivity, dynamic range and speed with a more intuitive interface.
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A NEW LOOK AND FEEL FOR THE EMC BUYERS’ GUIDE

E VERY YEAR, INTERFERENCE TECHNOLOGY publishes an EMC Buyers’ Guide — our most up-to-date look at the providers of more than 200 EMC-related products and services. This year, we are introducing changes to both the print and online editions of our directories that will make the process a more interactive and dynamic experience for users, and help streamline the process for busy engineers.

First, you will notice some changes within the pages of this magazine. To help readers pinpoint their areas of interest and delve more deeply into favored topics, we have divided the magazine into six main sections: Test Instrumentation, Testing, Filters & Ferrites, Cables & Connectors, Shielding and Surge & Transients. The sections are marked by colored tabs and within those pages you will find a targeted products and services index and technical articles that address a specific EMC topic.

In the second half of the magazine, you will find reference tools, including a calendar of EMC-related events, information on standards published or updated within the last 12 months, information on professional societies, our Products & Services Index in its entirety, and a listing of companies involved in the EMC field.

With the electronic version of the new Interference Technology EMC Buyers’ Guide, users will not only be able to find the product and services they seek and the companies that provide them, but, in many instances, they will also find videos, datasheets, white papers and other materials from the companies that provide more comprehensive data on those products and services.

Companies will be able to take ownership of their listings and update information at any time, which means that users are guaranteed to find the most updated information available each time they browse the guide.

These changes should help engineers identify the best solutions for the problem they are trying to solve more quickly and easily than ever before.

Once you have a chance to sample the new print and electronic directories, we’d like to hear what you think so we can adapt accordingly. Please email your comments to me at slong@interferencetechnology.com.

Sarah Long
Editor
E3 Electromagnetic Environmental Effects

For more information or for full brochure contact:

Mark Mallory
301-342-1663
MARK.MALLORY@NAVY.MIL

Kurt Sebacher
301-342-1664
KURT.SEBACHER@NAVY.MIL
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Pax River, Maryland
Products & Services Index

INTERFERENCE TECHNOLOGY’S 2012 Test Instrumentation Products & Services Index contains approximately 50 different categories to help you find the test instrumentation equipment, components, and services you need. Full details of all the suppliers listed within each category can be found in the Company Directory, starting on page 151. The EMC Products & Services Index is presented in its entirety, starting on page 142.
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Amplifiers For Every Application!

Solid State Tetrode Tube and Combination Amplifiers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model Number</th>
<th>Freq Range (MHz)</th>
<th>Min Pwr Out (Watts)</th>
<th>Min Sat Gain (dB)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SCCX300</td>
<td>.01-220</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCCX500</td>
<td>.01-220</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M404</td>
<td>.01-220</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M406</td>
<td>.01-220</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TCCX2000</td>
<td>.01-220</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TCCX2200</td>
<td>.01-220</td>
<td>2200</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TCCX2500</td>
<td>.01-220</td>
<td>2500</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Microwave Solid State and TWT Amplifiers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model Number</th>
<th>Freq Range (GHz)</th>
<th>Min Pwr Out (Watts)</th>
<th>Min Sat Gain (dB)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T-200 Series</td>
<td>200-300 Watts CW 1-21.5 GHz</td>
<td>T251-250 1-2.5 250 54</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T251-250</td>
<td>1-2.5</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T82-250</td>
<td>2-8</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T188-250</td>
<td>7.5-18</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T2118-250</td>
<td>18.0-21.7</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T-500 Series</td>
<td>500 Watts CW 1-18 GHz</td>
<td>T251-500 1-2.5 500 57</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T251-500</td>
<td>1-2.5</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T7525-500</td>
<td>2.5-7.5</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T188-500</td>
<td>7.5-18</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MMT Series</td>
<td>5-150 Watts, 18-40 GHz</td>
<td>T2618-40 18-26.5 40 46</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T2618-40</td>
<td>18-26.5</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T4026-40</td>
<td>26.5-40</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S/T-50 Series</td>
<td>40-60 Watts CW 1-18 GHz</td>
<td>S21-50 1-2 50 47</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S21-50</td>
<td>1-2</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T82-50</td>
<td>2-8</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T188-50</td>
<td>8-18</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Solid State Amplifiers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model Number</th>
<th>Freq Range (MHz)</th>
<th>Min Pwr Out (Watts)</th>
<th>Min Sat Gain (dB)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SMCC350</td>
<td>200-1000</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMCC600</td>
<td>200-1000</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMCC1000</td>
<td>200-1000</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMCC2000</td>
<td>200-1000</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMC Series</td>
<td>80-1000 MHz</td>
<td>SMC250 80-1000 250 54</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMC250</td>
<td>80-1000</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMC500</td>
<td>80-1000</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMC1000</td>
<td>80-1000</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMX-CMX Series</td>
<td>0.1-1000 MHz</td>
<td>SMX100 .01-1000 100 50</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMX100</td>
<td>.01-1000</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMX200</td>
<td>.01-1000</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMX500</td>
<td>.01-1000</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SVC-SMV Series</td>
<td>100-1000 MHz</td>
<td>SVC500 100-500 500 57</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SVC500</td>
<td>100-500</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMV500</td>
<td>500-1000</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Visit IFI.com for additional amplifier models and products.
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There’s a Reason Why Engineers Choose ETS-Lindgren:

More Experts, Experience and Expertise than anyone else!

ETS-Lindgren has a long history of providing EMC engineers with the tools they need to make accurate, repeatable measurements. Little wonder we are now the largest integrated manufacturer of EMC test equipment in the world; we serve our customers with engineering, manufacturing and support facilities in North America, South America, Europe and Asia. Visit our website at www.ets-lindgren.com or call us to see how our experts can help you.
The HF Current Probe: Theory and Application

KENNETH WYATT
Wyatt Technical Services
Woodland Park, Colorado, USA

This article describes one of the most valuable tools in the EMC engineers “bag of tricks” – the high-frequency current probe. Current probes are invaluable for measuring high-frequency common-mode (or “antenna”) currents flowing on wires or cables. Experience has proven that poorly terminated (bonded or filtered) cables are the number-one cause for radiated emissions failures at a test facility. By measuring the common-mode (CM) currents (sometimes referred to as “antenna” currents) on these cables it’s possible to troubleshoot and apply fixes to a product right there in your development lab. You can also predict, to a good degree of accuracy, whether a given cable current will pass or fail in the measurement chamber. This will save you tons of time trying to apply fixes at the test facility while the clock is ticking away your test time. I’ll also show you several ways to create do-it-yourself (DIY) probes that are quick to make and very useful in a pinch.

COMMON-MODE CURRENTS
Let’s consider CM currents and how they are generated, because it is not intuitive as to how current may travel the same direction through both the signal and signal-return wires in a cable or PC board. Referring to Figure 1, note that due to finite impedance in any grounding system (including circuit board signal/power return planes), there will be a voltage difference between any two points within that return plane. This is denoted by $V_{GND1}$ and $V_{GND2}$ in the figure. This difference in potential will drive CM currents through common cabling or circuit traces between circuits or sub-systems. In addition, unbalanced geometries - for example, different lengths or path routings for high-speed differential pairs - can create voltage sources that drive associated CM currents. Finally, routing a high-speed clock trace across a split in the return plane or referencing it to multiple planes, can also be a source of CM current. Because the current phasors in Figure 1 are additive, the resulting radiated phasor may be quite large compared to those generated by differential-mode (DM), or signal currents, which are opposite in direction, and so tend to cancel. Therefore, CM emissions tend to be more of an issue than DM emissions.

CURRENT PROBES: THEORY OF OPERATION
The RF current probe is an “inserted-primary” type of radio frequency current transformer. When the probe is clamped over the conductor or cable in which current is to be measured, the conductor forms the primary winding. The clamp-on feature of this probe enables easy placement around any conductor or cable. This is essentially a broadband high-frequency transformer. High-frequency currents can...
Verifying EMC performance is one thing. Diagnosing the cause of EMI is quite another.

When you find a device out of EMI compliance, now you can also understand why. Because the new Agilent receiver is also an X-Series signal analyzer, loaded with diagnostics to show what’s happening. That’s thinking ahead. That’s Agilent.

Agilent N9038A MXE EMI Receiver
CISPR 16-1-1 2010 compliant
Built-in X-Series analyzer runs applications
Intuitive interface and graphical displays
Upgradable for long-term flexibility

Download Competitive Comparison and App Note:
Reduce Verification Time with Fast Scanning.
www.agilent.com/ndb/AgilentEMIreceiver

© 2011 Agilent Technologies, Inc.
be measured in cables without physically disturbing the circuit.

Since the current probe is intended for “clamp-on” operation, the primary shown in Figure 2 is actually the electrical conductor in which CM currents are to be measured. This primary is considered as one turn since it is assumed that the CM currents flow through the conductor and return to the source via a return conductor such as a frame, common ground plane, or earth. On some current probe models the secondary output terminals are resistively loaded internally to provide substantially constant transfer impedance over a wider frequency range.

COMMERCIAL CURRENT PROBES

While commercial current probes are pricey, the advantage is that they can open up and snap around a cable, rather than having to be threaded onto the cable to be measured. See Figure 3. They are also a lot more rugged and can take a lot of abuse as compared to the “do-it-yourself” (DIY) versions below. Finally, they are also accurately characterized, allowing very precise measurements of cable currents.

DIY CURRENT PROBES

In a pinch, you can make your own current probe. Examples of several DIY probes are shown in Figures 4 and 5. I typically try to find a ferrite toroid or clamp-on core that offers good high-frequency characteristics in the 10 to 1000 MHz range. Winding a few (not too critical) turns and terminating with a coax connector is all you need. Keeping the turns as far apart as possible (as in Figure 4) will reduce inter-winding capacitance and yield better results at the higher frequencies. This is one of the largest drawbacks in performance of the clamp-on ferrites (as in Figure 5).

TRANSFER IMPEDANCE

The CM current (Ic) in microamps in the conductor under test is determined from the reading of the current probe output (V) in microvolts divided by the current probe transfer impedance (Zt).

\[ I_c = \frac{V}{Z_t} \]  

(1)

Or, in dB

\[ I_c(dB\mu A) = V(dBuV) - Z_t(dB\Omega) \]  

(2)

The typical transfer impedance of the current probe throughout the frequency range is determined by passing a known RF current (Ic) through the primary test conductor and noting the voltage (V) developed across a 50-Ohm load. Then,

\[ Z_t = \frac{V}{I_c} \]  

(in standard units)  

(3)

Or

\[ Z_T(dB\Omega) = V(dBuV) - I_c(dB\mu A) \]  

(4)

The Fischer F-33-I probe is a commonly used troubleshooting tool and has a flat frequency response from 2 to 250 MHz (Figure 6). The transfer impedance is about 5Ω (approximately +14 dBΩ on the graph), therefore, a 1 μA current will produce a 5 μV output voltage from the current probe.
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PROBE CALIBRATION

The accurate calibration of RF current probes is a complex process. Characterization is a more correct term to use than calibration. The probe must be properly characterized to reflect how the user uses the probe. Probe manufacturers usually sell a calibration fixture that attempts to maintain a 50Ω impedance. A 50Ω load is connected to the output port and a calibrated RF generator (or network analyzer) is connected to the input port. The probe to be characterized is clamped around the fixture and the frequency is swept while measuring the probe output.

My test setup was a little more rudimentary (Figure 7), but for troubleshooting purposes, it’s good enough. I used a short piece of stiff wire across the output port with a 50Ω resistive load in series. I then adjusted the generator for zero dBm – a convenient amount. This is equivalent to an output voltage of 224 mV (or 73 dBuA of current) into 50Ω. The actual generator output doesn’t matter, so long as the resulting probe voltage is large enough to be seen readily in the receiver or spectrum analyzer. I monitored the probe output with a Thurlby Thander TTi PSA2701T handheld spectrum analyzer.

Knowing the current through the wire in dBuA and the probe output in dBuV, the transfer impedance may be plotted graphically by subtracting: V(dBuV) – Ic(dBuA) (expressed in dB). In this case, Zt(dBΩ) = V(dBuV) – 73. While this may be useful for educational purposes, I wouldn’t be too inclined to use the DIY probes to predict “pass/fail”, as described further down. However, because they compare favorably to the commercial probes as far as output voltage, I believe (and have proven in practice) that they are completely suited for troubleshooting. You only need to know whether an EMC design fix made the cable current better or worse.

PREDICTING PASS/FAIL

It is possible to predict whether a particular cable will pass or fail radiated emissions by measuring the CM current at the offending frequency, reading off the transfer impedance of the probe, Zt(dBΩ) in Figure 6, and solving for Ic (using Equation 2 above). Plugging Ic(Amps) into Equation 5 will calculate the E-field level in V/m. The length of the cable is L(m) and the offending harmonic frequency is f(Hz). Use a test distance, d, of either 3 or 10m to predict the outcome at those test distances.

\[
|E_{c,ex}| = 1.257 \times 10^{5} \frac{I_{c}}{d} \text{V/m} \tag{5}
\]

Once you’ve determined a particular cable has CM currents that may cause a RE failure, you should to examine the connector where the cable is attached to the product
enclosure. Very often, I find poor or non-existent bonding between the connector shield and enclosure shield. These points must be bonded well to permit the CM currents to flow back to their source within the product, avoiding associated cable radiation. Please refer to my previous articles on troubleshooting radiated emissions for more information (references below).

REAL-WORLD TROUBLESHOOTING EXAMPLE

As previously mentioned, one of the most common sources of radiated emissions is due to poorly bonded connectors mounted on shielded product enclosures. This occurs especially if the connectors are circuit board mounted and penetrate loosely through the shielded enclosure. Poorly bonded connectors allow internally generated CM currents to leak out and flow on the outside of I/O, mouse or keyboard cables. This will also allow ESD discharges inside the product – more bad news. If these currents are allowed out of the enclosure, the attached cables will act as radiating antennas – often resonating around 300 MHz, due to their typical 1m length.

This was the case for a new digitizing oscilloscope prototype I worked on recently. The I/O connectors were all soldered onto the PC board and the board was fastened to the rear half of the enclosure. The connectors simply poked up through cutouts in the rear metal shield. While using a current probe to measure the CM current flowing on the outside of the USB cable under test, I simply jammed the screwdriver blade of my Swiss Army knife between the connector bonding fingers and metal chassis enclosure and was able to drop the overall cable currents by 10 to 15 dB.

The solution was to fabricate a custom shim with spring-fingers that would slip over all the connectors creating a firm bond between the connector ground shell and inside of the shielded enclosure. More and more low-cost products are relying on PC board mounted I/O connec-
tors as a cost-cutting measure. Any time you see this, be prepared to carefully examine the bonding between the connector ground shell and the shielded enclosure.

**TROUBLESHOOTING TIPS USING CURRENT PROBES**

Here are a few troubleshooting tips using current probes.

1. When evaluating the harmonics on a cable by using a current probe, if sliding the probe back and forth changes the harmonic levels, part of the coupling may be near-field, rather than conducted.

2. When using a pair of current probes; one on each of two cables, if the harmonics are the same in each, the source is in the middle. If one cable has stronger harmonics, then you’ll want to work on that side first. See Figure 12 below.

3. Measuring the currents on two suspect legs of a dipole should read the same. Placing the two suspect legs through the same current probe should cause a big decrease due to current cancellation. See Figure 12 below.

4. When measuring video cable currents and large cable movements cause big changes in amplitude, the coupling is likely inductive - otherwise, it’s more likely conductive.

5. If you suspect inductive coupling, the phase at the victim will be 180-degrees from the source. This may be observed on an oscilloscope with H-field probes or current probes. Try syncing the scope trigger at the source using a scope probe.

My colleague, Doug Smith, has many more examples on how to use current probes for measuring cable and PC board resonances, injecting pulses for troubleshooting, interpreting the relative phase of common-mode currents and troubleshooting ESD issues. Refer to the references below.

**SUMMARY**

Use of a current probe is vital during the troubleshooting process. Poorly bonded cable connectors can be readily identified and fixed. The radiated E-field from a product I/O cable may be calculated by measuring the high-frequency common-mode currents flowing in the cable. All this may be performed right at the designer’s
workbench and without the expense of a third-party test facility or shielded chamber.

REFERENCES - PAPERS
- [1] Mat Aschenberg & Charles Grasso, Radiation from Common-Mode Currents – Beyond 1 GHz (Three Methods Compared)
- [2] Dave Eckhardt, Homebrew Clamp-On Current Probe, private correspondence (January 2009), Email: davearea51@wildblue.net.

Figure 9. Probe output voltage (Vout) graph of a commercial current probe versus the DIY toroidal probe. The x-axis is frequency, while the y-axis is dBuV. This shows that the probes are very comparable in output voltage versus frequency. For troubleshooting purposes, absolute accuracy is not required - just consistency in measurements. All one really needs to know is, “did the fix I implemented make the CM current go up or down?” The DIY probe works well for this.
Figure 11. Cables should be tested individually. Here, I have a current probe clamped around the cable under test and am monitoring the harmonics with a simple hand-held spectrum analyzer. As I ground the connector shell to the chassis with the Swiss Army screwdriver blade, the harmonics were reduced 10-15 dB!

Figure 10. Probe output voltage ($V_{out}$) graph of a commercial current probe versus two DIY toroidal probes and two different clamp-on probes. The x-axis is frequency, while the y-axis is dBUV. This shows that all these probes are very comparable in output voltage versus frequency and therefore, useful for troubleshooting purposes. Just don’t try using the DIY probes to determine “pass or fail” predictions. Commercial probes are better-suited for that.

REFERENCES - SUPPLIERS

• [23] Fischer Custom Communications (FCC), Phone: (310) 303-3300, Email: sales@fischerc.com, Web: www.fischerc.com. They provide a very wide range of HF current probes – their specialty.


• [25] Pearson Electronics, Phone: (650) 494-6444, Email: sales@pearseonelectronics.com, Web: www.pearseonelectronics.com. They have a good selection of probes.

REFERENCES


• [26] Rhode & Schwartz USA, Phone: (888) 837-8772, Email: info@rohde-schwarz.com, Web: www.rohde-schwartz.us. They have a very limited selection.
• [27] Solar Electronics, Phone: (800) 952-5302, Email: sales@solar-emc.com, Web: www.solar-emc.com. They have a limited selection.
• [28] Teseq USA, Phone: (732) 417-0501, Email: usasales@teseq.com, Web: www.teseq.us. They have a very limited selection.
• [29] Thurlby Thander Instruments, Phone: +44-1480-412451, Email: sales@tti-test.com, Web: http://www.tti-test.com/contacttti.htm. They offer a low-cost handheld spectrum analyzer for under $2,000 USD.

**Kenneth Wyatt, Sr.** EMC Engineer, Wyatt Technical Services LLC, holds degrees in biology and electronic engineering and has worked as a senior EMC engineer for Hewlett-Packard and Agilent Technologies for 21 years. He also worked as a product development engineer for 10 years at various aerospace firms on projects ranging from DC-DC power converters to RF and microwave systems for shipboard and space systems. A prolific author and presenter, he has written or presented topics including RF amplifier design, RF network analysis software, EMC design of products and EMC troubleshooting techniques. He has been published in magazines such as, RF Design, EMC Design & Test, Electronic Design, InCompliance, Interference Technology, Microwave Journal, HP Journal and several others.

Wyatt is a senior member of the IEEE and a long time member of the EMC Society where he serves as their official photographer. He is also a member of the dB Society and is a licensed amateur radio operator. Contact Wyatt at ken@emc-seminars.com. His website is www.emc-seminars.com.
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IFI Offers Innovative Amplifier Solutions for every EW & ECM testing application, DC to 40 GHz, 1 watt to 50 Kilowatts. That’s what makes us...

The POWER OF CHOICE!

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S31-5</td>
<td>0.8-3.0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S31-10</td>
<td>0.8-3.0</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S31-20</td>
<td>0.8-3.0</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S31-25</td>
<td>0.8-3.0</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S31-40</td>
<td>0.8-3.0</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S31-50</td>
<td>0.8-3.0</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S31-75</td>
<td>0.8-3.0</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S31-100</td>
<td>0.8-3.0</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S31-150</td>
<td>0.8-3.0</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S31-200</td>
<td>0.8-3.0</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S31-250</td>
<td>0.8-3.0</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S31-300</td>
<td>0.8-3.0</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S31-400</td>
<td>0.8-3.0</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S31-500</td>
<td>0.8-3.0</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>450</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
DEPENDABILITY
Starts With Equipment That Works The Way You Expect It To!

IFI Offers Innovative Amplifier Solutions for every EMC & EMI testing application, DC to 40 GHz, 1 watt to 50 Kilowatts. That’s what makes us...

The POWER OF CHOICE!

### Solid State RF Power Amplifiers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model Number</th>
<th>Frequency Range</th>
<th>Rated Power (Watts) Min.</th>
<th>P1dB Power (Watts) Min.</th>
<th>Gain (dB) Min.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SCDX10</td>
<td>10KHz-400MHz</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCDX25</td>
<td>10KHz-400MHz</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCDX50</td>
<td>10KHz-400MHz</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCDX100</td>
<td>10KHz-400MHz</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCDX150</td>
<td>10KHz-400MHz</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCDX200</td>
<td>10KHz-400MHz</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCDX250</td>
<td>10KHz-400MHz</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCDX350</td>
<td>10KHz-400MHz</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCDX500</td>
<td>10KHz-400MHz</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The Urgent Need to Integrate EMC and Product Safety into Engineering Curriculum of Technical Universities

ANTHONY A. DIBIASE
Spec-Hardened Systems
Rochester, New York USA

There is an evolution in the study of electromagnetic field effects on electrical and electronic products and systems. It is progressing from engineering art to an engineering science. The complexity and sophistication of newer technology products has added to an increased need for more consideration of EMC and Product Safety issues. These factors have increased the requirement for technical universities to implement studies of electromagnetic fields and Product Safety into their engineering programs. EMC and Product Safety factors are essential elements in product designs and their required regulatory certification requirements.

INTRODUCTION
The lack of adequate EMC and Product Safety design and development education at the university level is contributing to an erosion of the United States (US) technical and export capabilities. At the present time this field of study is not a standard requirement in the engineering programs of universities. A comprehensive knowledge in the disciplines of electrical, mechanical, chemical, and computer science are required as a prerequisite base for performing design and certification tasks related to EMC and Product Safety.

The study requirements for EMC and Product Safety engineering programs have a very good fit with existing electrical engineering programs since the required curriculum prerequisite courses already exist within the engineering programs. Engineers whose responsibilities include the design and development of electrical and electronic products and systems must meet the product’s functional, interoperability, self-compatibility, and their regulatory certification requirements. They must have the educational and experience background to effectively perform these tasks.

EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES PRESENTLY AVAILABLE
At the present in the US there are a limited number of resources available to engineering personnel for obtaining education in the areas of EMC and Product Safety studies. There is a varied amount of university level involvement in EMC and Product Safety training being conducted currently in the US. Among those that have provided or are providing such training in related studies are listed below.

- Clemson University (Vehicle Electronics Laboratory – CVEL) – The Clemson University automotive engineering program awards degrees for a curriculum that includes EMC studies. These studies include courses in the development of EMC computer program modeling. The university has been involved in EMC research projects for over 20 years.
- University of Missouri of Science
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and Technology at Rolla – The university has recently opened a new EMC research center in partnership with a major corporation involving an aviation research project.

- University of Michigan – The university is working in conjunction with The Society of Automotive Engineers of Eastern Michigan, a Chapter of the IEEE’s EMC Society. The university is involved in cosponsoring seminars that are related to EMC considerations in automotive systems.
- University of Wisconsin at Milwaukee College of Engineering – Has been sponsoring seminars related to EMC topics.
- George Washington University Center for Professional Development – The university has presented educational seminars on EMC related subjects.
- The University of California at Los Angeles (UCLA) – Has a program that awards certificates in EMC studies.
- Oklahoma State University – Presents short courses covering EMC topics and testing considerations.

Universities with engineering programs should consider taking advantage of the IEEE EMC Society’s University Grant Program. This program provides funding to those universities to aid them in introducing EMC training into their engineering curriculum. Universities can apply for these grants though the society’s grant program and a number of universities have already done so.

Other venues for EMC and Product Safety education are available through various seminars and training programs presented by different related corporate services and consulting organizations.

There are universities throughout the U.S. which have EMC related activities as part of their educational and research programs. There is a considerable amount of activity occurring in the realm of university research projects that is sponsored by the U.S. government agencies. Among these research projects is one that involves a research study to develop counter measures against improvised explosive devices (IED’s) that are used against our military. Other projects in this category that are being worked on are electromagnetic weapons that include electromagnetic pulse and microwave weapon systems. Also, there are university research projects that aimed at assessing the effects of electromagnetic fields on the safety of humans and animals. In another category there are university EMC related research efforts being conducted for industry via university industry partnerships. The study requirements for EMC Engineering programs has a very good fit with existing electrical engineering programs since the required curriculum prerequisite courses already exist in that engineering program.

**WHERE A FORMAL EDUCATION IS REQUIRED**

There are many EMC and Product Safety challenges facing engineers responsible for the design and development of modern day electrical and electronic products and systems. Meeting regulatory certification regulatory compliance is one of them. As an example regulatory compliance requirements are becoming ever more demanding and difficult to meet. The European Union (EU) CE Mark EMC testing requirements are a good example of this fact. The following EMC conformity requirements are applicable for regulatory certification of various electrical and electronic products and systems.

- Electrostatic Discharge (ESD)
- Power Quality Effects factors (PQF)
- Electrical Fast Transient Effects (EFT)
- Radiated Emissions Limits (RE)
- Conducted Emissions Limits (CE)
- Radiated Immunity Limits (RI)
- Conducted Immunity Limits (CI)
- Magnetic Field Effects (H-Field)

The design engineer must be proficient in applying the required mitigating techniques required allowing his product or system to be compatible with these requirements. Some of the Electromagnetic Emissions (EMI) mitigating methods that can be used are, the application of shielding, filtering, optimizing of the grounding design, and applying the correct set of EMC design guideline rules.

Small and medium companies, for the most part, do not have the engineering personnel available who have the knowledge base to perform the required EMC tasks due to their lack of education and experience needed to perform these tasks. The use of consultants is most often the chosen course of action. The added costs related to use of consulting services limits the ability of these companies to be cost competitive in their efforts to export their products globally.

**GLOBAL ECONOMIC FACTORS**

While the US is currently in a slow growth economic period other countries such as The People’s Republic of China, India, Brazil and Russia have economies that are growing at a much faster rate (between 5 and 9 %). This leads to the fact that US manufacturers must look increasingly to exporting their products in order to maintain their profitability. Impeding their ability to be competitive in the international market place is the lack of an adequate pool of educated and experienced EMC and Product Safety Engineers.

More often then not EMC and Product Safety design issues are dealt with in the later stages of the product’s design cycle when they are more difficult to fix and are accompanied by greater costs and schedule delays. As companies offshore more and more of their product design, development, and certification activities the result is a diminishing of US’s economic capabilities.

EMC and Product Safety requirements are also important considerations for products sold in the US’s domestic market. This is true since the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) enforces radiated emissions limit requirements on most electrical and electronic products. They also have strict EMC certification requirements on telecommunication equipment. The Federal Drug
Admiration (FDA) applies several International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) standards requirements on US medical products. As for Products safety certifications there is always the issue of product legal liability considerations to be dealt with and the loss of product reputation.

CONCLUSIONS
While there are various sources of EMC and Product Safety educational programs available to engineers, the requirement for a comprehensive formal educational program at the university level is urgently needed. In the years to come, EMC engineering will continue to evolve from an engineering art to an engineering science. Therefore, the need for the understanding of the theoretical and the practical application of EMC principles becomes more essential. It needs to become an integral part of the electrical engineering curriculum.

In the future as device frequencies exceed the 40 GHz level it will present a greater challenge to EMC engineers. Therefore, the need for formally trained and experienced EMC engineers will become more of necessity then an option. At the present time the prevailing view is that EMC engineering entails working with a very complex and intuitively drive science and that only through years of experience can it be mastered in an effective manner. The fast pace of technological advancements and the rapid development of a complex global economy does not allow the luxury of gaining the required years of EMC experience. A formal education must be provided to engineering students to provide them with the required knowledge foundation to work effectively in the engineering field of EMC.

Anthony DiBiase is the president of Spec-Hardened Systems an EMC and Product Safety consulting firm. He is a graduate of The Rochester Institute of Technology and holds a BSEE degree. He has presented several seminars, training programs, and written articles on the topics of EMC and Product Safety. He can be contacted by e-mail at SHSESC@aol.com.
Christmas Music in the Chamber

How a sprinkler system brought radio noise to a chamber and the techniques used to find and remove it

CANDACE SURIANO
JOHN SURIANO
Auburn Hills, Michigan, USA

Anechoic and semianechoic or ALSE (Absorber Lined Shielded Enclosure) chambers are supposed to prevent radio signals and other radiated noise in the environment from being detected inside the chamber. A chamber that lets in ambient radiation is not useful for emissions testing. This article covers how a sprinkler system brought Christmas radio noise to a chamber and the techniques we used to find and remove the noise. In this case the spirit of Christmas was a little too much for the EMC engineers to bear. This is how we brought some Christmas cheer back to their last-minute end-of-year testing.

It was Christmas time; the FM radio station broadcasting nearby to our friends’ chamber was showing up like the Sears tower in the test ambient. The test engineers that ran the ALSE cleaned the fingers around the door to try to get rid of the radio signal but there was no change in the noise picked up by the biconical antenna. After some experiments they determined that the noise was mostly vertically polarized. Our friends called us and asked us to come help them. We came with an MP3 player with an FM tuner, a spectrum analyzer, an amp and probes.

A handheld radio with a digital tuner is often the best tool for picking up spurious signals in the AM (535-1700 kHz) and FM (88-108 MHz) bands. Many times we have found the source of a radio signal by tracing it with a handheld radio. For example, if you are looking for broadband noise, an AM radio can be used. Tune the radio to the AM band where there is no station that can be heard. Broadband noise will come in easily on the AM radio. This is a great way to trace wires in a wall. In this case, though, the problem was FM radio stations so we thought we might just be able to pick them up in the chamber with the handheld radio.

The chamber’s door was hanging slightly off vertical and was not properly seated by about a fourth of an inch at the top. We

Figure 1. Construction of sprinkler at anechoic chamber ceiling.
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first covered the edges of the door with aluminum foil that contacted with the door edge and the chamber. We had them run an ambient scan and there was no change in the noise that reached the biconical antenna. The FM music showed up on the peak and the average line traces.

We moved our search into the chamber. We brought the spectrum analyzer, amp, probes and FM radio into the chamber. We tried locating the noise source with the FM radio. A check with the FM radio receiver did not reveal anything because the signal was too weak to detect. Unfortunately in retrospect, the MP3 player was doomed to failure for lack of a more substantial antenna. Perhaps we would have had more success with a boom box with an extendable monopole. So we gave up on the radio and tried to use the probes.

We let the spectrum analyzer, amp and probes warm up after sitting outside in our car trunk. Electronics are sensitive to temperature and it is wise to allow them to come to room temperature before using them. We tried to pick up the signal with the commercial near field probe but the strength was too low even with the amplifier to be useful. We were hoping to use the probes to find some section of the door, cables, or other ingress/egress points that might be leaking. Finally we had to resort to using an actual EMI receiver in conjunction with our amp. We climbed high on ladder next to some of the nine sprinkler heads and low next to the corners and the door. We were not able to check all the corners because of the equipment that was in the room, including the difficulty of manipulating a tall ladder near cones. We were not able to find the FM signal with the door closed. The probes and amp’ even with the EMI receiver were not sensitive enough to find the FM signal.

We next replaced the probes with a coaxial cable having alligator clip leads to make a short dipole antenna. Even though its length was not optimized it was able to pick up the signal. In this case we were hoping that the since our crude dipole “probe” was small it might be able to
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resolve the location of the leak. However, there was too much variation in the signal resulting from the proximity of the wires to the person holding the cable and from the flopping of the wires to be able to make any conclusions.

Finally we had to resort to using the biconical antenna with the amplifier connected to the EMI receiver inside the room. A biconical antenna is usually useful between 20 and 300MHz, designed to pick up the FM radio stations that were plaguing it. Leaving the antenna on the tripod in the vertical orientation and locating it at various spots in the room it became very evident that the signal was coming mostly in through the center sprinkler head which was located directly above the normal test location of the antenna. Every sprinkler head had three very large ferrite rings. We tried moving all the ferrites on the center sprinkler head to one spot on the pipe and the noise became stronger. The two other sprinklers on the sides of the center sprinkler head had weaker FM signals. We wiggled the center sprinkler increasing the conductivity and the FM Christmas music disappeared. We were enthusiastic; it looked like there was a problem with a connection on the top of the chamber that we could easily solve by improving the electrical contact. The many components of a typical chamber sprinkler feed are shown in Figure 1. The brass waveguide provides the necessary electrical grounding of the sprinkler pipe to the chamber, allows for water flow to the sprinkler head, and blocks noise from coming through the hole that must be cut to allow passage of the pipe into the room. If the waveguide is not electrically contacting the chamber walls, then the sprinkler pipe can conduct radio stations into the room.

Up on top of the chamber it was easy to see the root cause of the problem. Chamber sprinklers are a disaster waiting to happen. The fire marshal requires that the system must be tested every year. During the testing sometimes the pipe connections may leak. This can spell catastrophe for an ALSE if the water leaks. This sprinkler system had leaked at some time in the past. The leakage was on the chamber roof at a connection to one of waveguides or its associated dielectric union but no one had known it. The water pooled all the way over to the center sprinkler and corroded the metal on the chamber ceiling panel beneath the brass nut that tightens the waveguide to the panel. Imagine what kind of monetary loss would have been involved if a larger leak had occurred and the panel joints became corroded. It is a good practice to periodically inspect the top of the chamber for sprinkler leaks or even for leaks from the roof. In one instance we are familiar with, a roof leak destroyed several panels on a reverberation chamber ceiling before it was noticed.

Figure 4. Ambient Measurements. (a) Ambient measurement in the FM band before the sprinklers were fixed (blue is Peak and pink is Average detection); (b) Ambient measurement in the FM band after sprinklers were fixed.
this case, though, the oxidation/rust in the connection had shown up as Christmas radio music in the chamber. The roof was a mess. There was much rust in diverse places. We took pictures of the damage as shown in Figures 2(a) and 3(a). Engineers should always keep good records so they can pass on these lessons or at least so they have lots of cool stories to tell.

By loosening the nuts on the two affected waveguides on top of the chamber it was possible to clean out the corrosion using a wire brush. It took more than one attempt to get them clean. After the roof was cleaned and the nuts re-tightened, the signals were checked again. The FM radio noise in the chamber was worse than before. We requested bronze wool to establish a better electrical connection. Placing the wool between the nut and the chamber roof panel was the solution. One of the cleaned and repaired waveguides is shown in Figure 2(b). Corrosion was also present at one of the ceiling panel joints. Since we could not take the joint apart to clean underneath it, we decided to clean the panel next to the joint and place conductive tape to form a bridge between the joint and the panel as shown in Figure 3(b). The Christmas radio signal we saw on the EMI receiver disappeared! A new trace run on the chamber (see Figure 4) confirmed the absence of the Christmas radio noise and reinstated the chamber engineers’ Christmas spirit.

Candace Suriano is a graduate of GMI Engineering & Management Institute (BSME) and has graduate degrees from Purdue University (MSME, MSE) and the University of Dayton (Ph.D.). She is the author of numerous papers on electromagnetic compatibility and chaired an antennas and probes workshop at several IEEE EMC symposia. Her interests are in the areas of electromagnetic compatibility and electromagnetic modeling. Candace is a mom with interests in MOM.

John Suriano is a graduate of GMI Engineering & Management Institute (BSEE) and has graduate degrees from Purdue University (MSME, Ph.D.). He supervises an EMC laboratory for Nidec Motors and Actuators in Auburn Hills, Michigan. He has interests in electric motors and electromagnetic modeling.

DAN Hoolihan
Hoolihan EMC Consulting
Lindstrom, Minnesota USA

In late 2011, The International Standards Commission’s (IEC’s) Special Committee on Electromagnetic Interference (CISPR) passed a Final Draft International Standard (FDIS) which had been under development for a number of years. The FDIS was actually developed by CISPR’s Subcommittee I - Electromagnetic Compatibility of Information Technology Equipment, Multimedia Equipment and Receivers. The Standard is called “CISPR 32” and it is titled: Electromagnetic Compatibility of Multimedia Equipment - Emission Requirements. This article outlines the contents of the New Standard and describes some of its specific criteria.

OUTLINE OF THE STANDARD
The layout of the standard follows the normal paragraph/clause orientation of most International Standards. That is: Scope, Normative References, Classification of Equipment, Requirements, Measurements, Equipment Documentation, Applicability, Test Report, Compliance with this Publication, and Measurement Uncertainty.

SCOPE
CISPR 32 applies to Multimedia Equipment (MME) having a rated Alternating Current or Direct Current supply voltage not exceeding 600 Volts. The standard is written for equipment that will be tested in an EMC Testing Laboratory.

It does contain the following two objectives:

1. To establish requirements which provide an adequate level of protection of the radio spectrum, allowing radio services to operate as intended in the frequency range 9 kHz to 400 GHz.

2. To specify procedures to ensure the reproducibility of measurements and the repeatability of results from one testing laboratory to another.

NORMATIVE REFERENCES

TERMS, DEFINITIONS AND ABBREVIATIONS
Some key definitions in the standard include:

3.1.6 - Audio Equipment - Equipment which has a primary function of either (or a combination of) generation, input, storage, play, retrieval, transmission, reception, amplification, processing, switching or control of audio signals

3.1.7 - Broadcast Receiver Equipment - Equipment containing a tuner that is intended for the reception of broadcast
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services

3.1.15 - Entertainment Lighting Control Equipment
- Equipment generating or processing electrical signals for controlling the intensity, color, nature or direction of the light from a luminaire, where the intention is to create artistic effects in theatrical, televisual or musical productions and visual presentations

3.1.20 - Information Technology Equipment (ITE)
- Equipment having a primary function of either (or a combination of) entry, storage, display, retrieval, transmission, processing, switching, or control of data and/or telecommunication messages and which may be equipped with one or more ports typically for information transfer

3.1.29 - Video Equipment
- Equipment which has a primary function of either (or a combination of) generation, input, storage, display, play, retrieval, transmission, reception, amplification, processing, switching, or control of video signals.

3.1.23 - MultiMedia Equipment (MME)
- Equipment that is Audio Equipment, Broadcast Receiver Equipment, Entertainment Lighting Control Equipment, Information Technology Equipment, and Video Equipment

There are 67 abbreviations listed that are used in the Standard.

CLASSIFICATION OF EQUIPMENT
The standard defines two classes of equipment associated with two types of end-user environment.

Class B requirements are intended to offer adequate protection to broadcast services within the residential environment. Equipment intended primarily for use in a residential environment shall meet the Class B limits.

Note that all Broadcast receiver equipment is considered to be Class B equipment.

Class A requirements are for all non-Class B equipment; Class A equipment shall comply with the more relaxed Class A limits.

REQUIREMENTS
The requirements are covered in Annex A of the standard.

MEASUREMENTS
This part of the standard defines the measurement facilities and measurement instrumentation specific to the investigation of electromagnetic emissions from MultiMedia Equipment. The philosophy of the standard is that, unless otherwise specified, the basic international standards (for example, the CISPR 16 series of documents) shall be used for all measurement details.

The procedures to be used for measurement of emissions include: (1) the type of Equipment Under Test (EUT), (2) the type of port, (3) the types of cables used, (4) the frequency range, and (5) the mode of operation. Where a port is specified for use with both shielded and unshielded cables, the port shall be tested with both cable types.

The difference between two types of systems is covered in this clause. EUTs are either (1) a host system or (2) a modular system.

When the EUT is a host, it will be configured with modules so that the resulting system is representative of typical use.

When the EUT is a modular system; it can be comprised of different types of modules; (1) External (infra-red remote control), (2) Internal (computer hard-disk), (3) Plug-In (memory stick), and (4) Mounted (sound or video card). Modules intended to be marketed and/or sold separately from a host shall be assessed with at least ONE representative host system. The ports of any module tested shall be terminated in accordance with Annex D of the standard.

Measurements shall be performed using appropriate tables, annexes, and guidelines from the Standard. Preamplifier measurements shall be used to determine the cable arrangement giving the maximum emission level.

EQUIPMENT DOCUMENTATION
The standard requires that documentation shall contain details of any special measures required to be taken by the user of the EUT to assure compliance with the standard requirements.
Class A equipment must have the following warning statement in the user instructions:

**WARNING:** This equipment is compliant with Class A of CISPR 32. In a residential environment, this equipment may cause radio interference.

**APPLICABILITY**

If a manufacturer determines, from the electrical characteristics and intended usage of the EUT, that one or more measurements are unnecessary; the decision and justification for the decision shall be recorded in the test report.

**TEST REPORT**

The requirements for a test report that documents the results of testing to CISPR 32 are consistent with Clause 5.10 of ISO/IEC 17025. Reproducibility of the measurements is a key element of the test report and, where appropriate, photographs of the measurement configuration shall be included in the report.

The test report shall state: (1) the mode of operation, (2) how the EUT’s ports were exercised (using Annex B as a guide), and (3) the product compliance status relative to Class A or Class B limits.

The measurement results of at least the six highest emissions from the type of port being assessed relative to the limit shall be recorded in the report unless they are 10 dB or more below the limit. The results shall include the following information for each emission: (1) the port assessed, (2) for AC power line measurements, the line under test, (3) frequency and amplitude of the emission, (4) margin with respect to the specified limit, (5) the limit at the frequency of the emission, and (6) the detector used.

Additional information that shall be included in the report includes:

1. the frequency of the highest internal frequency source unless radiated emissions are measured up to 6 GHz
2. the calculated instrumentation measurement uncertainty for each measurement type unless $U_{\text{CISPR}}$ is not defined for the relevant measurement type
3. the category of the cable simulated by the Asymmetric Artificial Network (AAN), where emissions from wired network ports are measured using an AAN
4. the measurement distance for radiated emission measurements as defined in appropriate tables in the standard. If a non-standard measurement distance is used, the report shall include a description of how the limits were calculated.

**COMPLIANCE WITH THIS PUBLICATION**

Compliance with CISPR 32 requires that the EUT has emissions either below Class A (more relaxed) limits or Class B (more stringent) limits. An Equipment Under Test that satisfies the requirements in Annex A of the standard is determined to fulfill the requirements in the entire frequency range from 9 kHz to 400 GHz.

### Table A.2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Frequency range</th>
<th>Measurement</th>
<th>Class A limits dB(μV/m)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Distance m</td>
<td>Detection type/bandwidth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A2.1</td>
<td>30 – 230</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>230 – 1 000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A2.2</td>
<td>30 – 230</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>230 – 1 000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Note: Apply only A2.1 or A2.2 across the entire frequency range.*

### Table A.3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Frequency range</th>
<th>Measurement</th>
<th>Class A limits dB(μV/m)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Distance m</td>
<td>Detection type/bandwidth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A3.1</td>
<td>1 000 – 3 000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3 000 – 6 000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A3.2</td>
<td>1 000 – 3 000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3 000 – 6 000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Note: Apply A3.1 and A3.2 across the frequency range from 1 000 MHz to the highest required frequency of measurement derived from Table 1.*
Where CISPR 32 gives options for testing particular requirements with a choice of test methods, compliance can be shown by applying any one of the test methods using the appropriate limit.

Determination of compliance with CISPR 32 shall be based solely on contributions from the Equipment Under Test. Also, compliance can be shown by measuring the EUT’s emission when operating its functions simultaneously, individually in turn, or any combination thereof.

**MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY**

However, measurement uncertainty shall not be taken into account in the determination of compliance.

**ANNEX A - REQUIREMENTS (NORMATIVE)**
The requirements for equipment covered under CISPR 32 are given in Tables A.1 through A.12 in Annex A of the newest CISPR document.

Compliance with the radiated emission requirements may only be proven at measurement distances for which compliant-facility or site-validation results exist for the measurement location being used for the radiated emission test.

The requirements for Class A equipment are shown in Tables A.2 and A.3 from the standard, as shown below. Note that Class A equipment may be measured at a 3 or 10-meter horizontal measurement distance at frequencies below 1 GHz.

The requirements for Class B equipment are shown in Tables A.3 and A.5 from CISPR 32.

The requirements for Class B equipment for conducted emissions on the Alternating Current power lines are shown in Table A.9, as below, and graphically displayed in the amplitude versus frequency plot following the Table.

**ANNEX B - EXERCISING THE EUT DURING MEASUREMENT AND TEST SIGNAL SPECIFICATIONS (NORMATIVE)**
This Annex of the CISPR 32 standard specifies the methods for exercising the EUT during the emission measurements. The EUT shall be operated in the selected mode(s) while the ports are exercised in accordance with this annex.

Clause B.2 is one of the more controversial parts of the standard since the standard (as specified in Table B.1) will require labs to test the video displays with both (1) standard TV color bar signals and (2) scrolling H characters. This will double the length of the test.
ANNEX C - MEASUREMENT PROCEDURES, INSTRUMENTATION, AND SUPPORTING INFORMATION - NORMATIVE
This Annex provides additional information, measurement procedure, and requirements to supplement the normative references defined in Annex A.

Annex C is divided into 3 main clauses:
(1) Instrumentation and supporting information
(2) General measurement procedures
(3) MME-related measurement procedures

ANNEX D - ARRANGEMENT OF EUT, LOCAL ASSOCIATED EQUIPMENT, AND ASSOCIATED CABLING - NORMATIVE
This Annex in CISPR 32 contains a Table D.1 which covers spacing and distances with associated tolerances for a variety of elements for both conducted and radiated emissions.

ANNEX E - PRESCAN MEASUREMENTS - PRESCAN MEASUREMENTS - INFORMATIVE
The purposes of a prescan are to determine the frequencies at which an EUT produces the highest level of emissions, and to help select the configurations to be used in the formal measurements. Prescan measurements may be performed with spectrum analyzers without pre-selection provided that precautions are used to ensure that the instrument is not overloaded.

ANNEX F - TEST REPORT CONTENTS SUMMARY - INFORMATIVE
Guidance for compiling a test report can be found in ISO/IEC 17025:2005 - General Requirements for the Competence of Testing and Calibration Laboratories. The appropriate clause in 17025 is 5.10 - Reporting the Results. Table F.1 in CISPR 32 summarizes the information to be included in the test report for a CISPR 32 test.

ANNEX G - SUPPORT INFORMATION FOR THE MEASUREMENT PROCEDURES DEFINED IN C.4.1.1 - INFORMATIVE
Annex G has a series of schematic diagrams to assist measurement procedures defined in Annex C of the standard. It includes diagrams for asymmetric artificial networks with various screened and unscreened pairs of wires.
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Antenna To Antenna Coupling On An Aircraft: Mitigation Techniques

DAVID A. WESTON
EMC Consulting, Inc.
Merrickville, Ontario, Canada

The number of RF systems available for use in mission specific aircraft has grown dramatically over the last few decades, with numerous systems operating across very wide bandwidths (some covering almost the entire 1MHz to 40GHz range).

Compatibility issues have become almost a certainty on all but the simplest of aircraft installations, and numerous mitigation techniques have been developed to address these issues. These compatibility issues include:

1. Passive Intermodulation (PIM) incident power on these structures.
2. IF interference, where the transmitter is at the receiver IF frequency.
3. Harmonic interference, where a harmonic of the transmitter is at the intended receive frequency.
4. Cross modulation interference, where a high level transmission close to the receiver frequency is not sufficiently attenuated by the receiver input filter (if any). Here compression, intermodulation and spurious responses can occur in the receiver.
5. Adjacent channel interference from a transmitter close enough to lie within either the receiver IF bandwidth or the receiver bandwidth.
6. Broadband noise from a transmitter which is in band for a receiver.

This paper explains some of the mitigation techniques that can be used to improve intersystem compatibility on an aircraft installation.

PRELIMINARY EMC PREVENTION
Improving intersystem compatibility begins at the initial design stage. When choosing on-board systems, careful consideration should be given to the transmit and receive frequencies of the desired equipment as well as the output power levels, sensitivity levels, blanking capabilities, and intended usage.

A table of all systems and relevant information should be prepared, and this table can be used to determine potential conflicts and the possibility of Simultaneous Operations (SIMOPS). It is important to consider intended usage as some conflicts are quite acceptable. For example the emergency locator transmitter (ELT) transmits in the same range as regular communication radios, however the ELT will only be used in the case of a downed aircraft, and under those circumstances many of the other systems will not be required to operate.

For systems that are required to operate in the same or similar bandwidths, the primary preventative measure is antenna placement. Conflicting system antennas can be mounted at opposite ends of the aircraft or in some cases at the top and bottom of the aircraft. This reduction in coupling increases the isolation between the antennas. The coupling around an
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Aircraft is typically a composite of reflections from the wings and engine nacelle as well as the coupling due to the creeping wave around the fuselage. Various techniques, including measurement and computer modeling, are available to determine the coupling between antennas mounted at various locations around an aircraft. These techniques are described in more detail in references 1 and 2 and in practical terms allows an EMC engineer to decide if it is worthwhile to re-position two co-located antennas to the top and bottom of a fuselage or wing or increase the distance between them.

Effective reduction in coupling may be achieved for horizontally polarized transmitting antennas which operate over a narrow frequency range and which couple to either a vertically or horizontally polarized receiving antennas in close proximity. The location of the two antennas may be chosen so that the direct wave and the wave reflected from the surface of the aircraft tend to cancel. This cancellation may be more effective than locating antennas on opposite sides of the fuselage at low frequency.

Many pieces of equipment also include blanking capabilities. For example, a transmitter may provide a DC output signal when transmitting, and this DC output can be used to disconnect receiving signal paths of local sensitive equipment. Similarly some equipment includes blanking input connections which disconnect the received RF signal when a DC level is present at the blanking input.

**Absorber**

Absorber can also be placed on surfaces, such as the wings, engine, vertical stabilizer and tail plane to reduce reflection as well as for damping surface waves. The absorber may be flexible silicone rubber lossy sheet which is weather proof and can glued to surfaces.

These are typically effective at microwave frequencies, such as used by radar, with some frequency tuned and others effective over a broad band of frequencies. Also a thin ceramic ferrite absorber is available effective from 10MHz to 1GHz. One manufacturer is Cuming Corporation.

**Filters**

The use of low pass, band pass and band stop filters at the input of receivers can be effective at reducing compression, generation of spurious response, and desensitization of the receiver. High power filters are commercially available for use at the output of transmitters and these are
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used to reduce harmonics and broad band noise from the transmitter.

For transceivers it is possible to design an input filter which can transmit the output power safely and without excessive attenuation.

As an example a weather radar transceiver or a SLAR system operating at 9.375 GHz may cause interference to adjacent receivers, and these receivers can be protected using a band pass filter centered at 9.375 GHz. The insertion loss for this filter is negligible at frequencies below 2GHz. The attenuation characteristics of this filter is shown in figure 1. EMC Consulting have designed, built a band stop filter tunable from 700MHz to 1.1GHz with 30dB of attenuation at the center frequency. With the center frequency of this filter adjusted to 1GHz the insertion loss for the filter is less than 0.5dB up to 300MHz and 2dB up to 400MHz. All of the filters described in this report will fit into the small enclosure shown in figure 2 and have been constructed and tested with either BNC or TNC connectors. This size is ideal for connecting directly at the input of the receiver.

Low or high pass filters can be used where one transmitter frequency comes close to, but does not overlap a receiver. For example a receiver operating in the 1 to 30MHz range would benefit from a low pass filter which attenuates above 30MHz when used in close proximity to a transmitter operating in the 30 MHz to 88MHz range.

Low pass filters are also effective when the interfering signal is at a significantly higher frequency than the in band receiver frequencies. The performance of a range of these filters is shown in table 1 and for the 200MHz in the plot of figure 3.

Filters with sharper roll off above the upper frequency are available commercially but are typically larger then the enclosure seen in figure 2.

### LIMITER

Limiters can be used in conjunction with other mitigation techniques or alone. If a high level signal is being received at the input to a receiver either in band or out of band a limiter can be used to reduce the input level to an acceptable level without interfering with the desired received signal, unless the two are tuned to exactly the same frequency.

For example, a receiver which is sensitive to −50 dBm but which compresses when an out of band signal exceeding 0 dBm is applied may compress when placed in close proximity to a high power transmitter. The introduction of a 0 dBm limiter would reduce the high power received levels to a manageable level while allowing the intentional

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Upper band pass frequency (MHz)</th>
<th>Attenuation at upper band pass frequency</th>
<th>Out of band frequency (MHz) and Attenuation (dB)</th>
<th>1030MHz Out of band frequency Attenuation (dB)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>100MHz 90dB</td>
<td>1030MHz 38dB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>100MHz 45dB</td>
<td>1030MHz 55dB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>500MHz 50dB</td>
<td>1030MHz 45dB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>406</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>800MHz 50dB</td>
<td>1030MHz 38dB</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Performance of typical low pass filters.
received levels to pass without compression. The insertion loss for a typical limiter is -2dB from 50 – 500MHz with a useful frequency range of 5 to 3000MHz. EMC consulting has manufactured a PCB on which the limiter was mounted and placed in the enclosure shown in figure 2. The input voltage is 28V and the limiter is protected against all of the EMC power line conducted susceptibility and power characteristics specified in DO-160 or MILSTD-461 by additional components mounted on the PCB. The operating temperature range of the limiter and associated components is -54°C to 85°C.

**RF SWITCH AND BLANKING**

When transmitters have blanking outputs and receivers blanking inputs then these may be connected to blank the receiver when the transmitter is operational. Some additional components may be required to make the control signal levels compatible. Also when the RF output of the transmitter remains powered up broadband noise is still transmitted. If the transmitter has a blanking output but a receiver does not have a blanking input an RF switch may be used at the receiver input. One such switch is the Mini-circuits ZFSWA-2-46 which can be used from DC-4.6GHz. It has maximum 0.8dB attenuation from DC-200MHz, 1.3dB up to 1GHz and 2.6dB from 1-4.6GHz. It requires two signal inputs to switch from “off” to “on”, -8V on one and 0V on the other or vice versa. It is possible to use a simple sine wave oscillator connected to the +28V power, transformer, rectifier and linear regulator to obtain the –8V and the circuit can be protected against all of the DO-160 or MIL-STD 461 power line conducted susceptibility test levels. The maximum input level for this switch is +24dBm and the video leakage (the control level feedthrough conducted out of the RF output) is 30mV pk-pk.

**DELAY AND PHASE SHIFT CANCELLATION**

The concept of in band cancellation is introduced in section 10.2.2.2 of reference 3. When the transmit and receive frequency bands overlap then filters are clearly not applicable.

When the interferer received level is extremely high and may result in damage to the receiver the limiter may be used. However even with the limiter in circuit the level after limiting may be high enough to result in receiver de-sensitization, cross modulation or spurious generation.

To cancel or reduce the interferer level a propagation delay, 180 degree phase shift and summation circuit has been developed. This is shown in the block diagram figure 4. The output of the transmitter is tapped off with no reduction in power level between the transmitter and antenna. This can be achieved anywhere on the transmit cable from the transmitter end to the transmit antenna end. The ideal location depends on the total propagation delay of the transmit cable, the coupling path in air between the antennas and the receiver cable, as described later.

The output of the transmitter is attenuated and matched to the impedance of the cable which connects to the circuit at point A. The interferer signal is then passed through a bulk delay. The bulk delay can be in the tens of microseconds the only limit is the attenuation inherent in

![Figure 3. Insertion loss of 200MHz low pass filter.](image)

![Figure 4. Delay, phase shift, and summation circuit block diagram.](image)
the delay elements and the physical size of the enclosure. In practice delays up to 500nS are adequate. For the final selection of the total delay thin film delay lines can be connected in or out of circuit and a fine tuning performed with a variable capacitor and an inductor. With 0.5nS, and 3nS thin film delay circuits the selectable delay can be from 0.5nS to 18nS in 0.5nS increments and the tuned delay can be adjusted over 0.5nS. The total transmitter to circuit cable delay, bulk, and variable delays are chosen to be exactly equal to the transmitter to receiver total path propagation delay. This means that the input signal to the circuit from the receiving antenna and the signal at location B in the circuit are in phase. The signal at point B then undergoes a 180 phase shift which is virtually frequency independent.

The signal at point C is then adjusted in level and summed with the receiver input signal.

This achieves an almost complete cancellation at a specific frequency. At either side of this frequency the amplitude at the output of the delay circuit is different from the receiving antenna level. This is because the attenuation with frequency of the transmitter to receiver path is different from the phase shifter cancellation circuit. As the intentional signal from the receiving antenna is routed through the circuit the attenuation of the signal is 1.8dB. Figure 5 shows the path between transmitter and receiver. An input filter is shown in figure 5 but is not necessary.

The transmit path propagation delay can be determined approximately by transmitting a pulse amplitude modulated RF from a signal generator, using the modulation pulse to trigger an oscilloscope, and measuring the delay. An external modulator or RF switch may be used if the generator does not allow pulse modulation. The fine tuning of the delays in the circuit can be accomplished by again using a pulse amplitude modulated signal and comparing the output of the circuit to the input of the receiver. The two channels of the scope used to measure the two signals must have no delay between them (chopped display may be required).

The circuit was adjusted and tested from 1MHz to 30MHz, with the maximum attenuation adjusted at 30MHz. The attenuation achieved is shown in table 2. With the circuit adjusted for 108MHz the attenuation from 60MHz to 108MHz is shown in table 3.

At a single frequency such as 152MHz the cancellation can result in an attenuation of 28dB.

The delay circuits within the phase shift and summation circuit have some temperature coefficient as does the permittivity of the transmit and receive cables and
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**Figure 5. Delay, phase shift, and summation circuit with filter.**

**Figure 6. Delay, phase shift, and summation circuit configurations.**
this changes the delay. However the measured change in
temperature attenuation of the delay and cancellation circuit was only
1.5dB from 4°C to 47°C.

The location of the T and the circuit depend on the
location of the transmitter, receiver, transmit antenna
and receive antenna. The goal would be to reduce the
amount of cable delay and therefore the amount of bulk
delay required in the circuit. Two possible configurations
are shown in figure 6.
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Shortcomings of Simple EMC Filters

ANTONI JAN NALBORCZYK
MPE Ltd.
Liverpool, United Kingdom

Oversimplification of EMC filter selection to reduce size and cost can often be a false economy as anticipated performance may not be achieved.

INTRODUCTION
EMC design principles are best considered at the equipment design stage, where good mechanical design including component layout and cable routing can help reduce EMC problems at source. Even with good EMC practice, it is invariably necessary to provide a certain amount of filtering. Cost and size considerations will usually encourage the use of a simple filter design. This can sometimes be a false economy as simple designs may not always give expected results. This can have serious compliance implications if EMC specifications have to be met. Some of the commonly encountered problem areas and their solutions are discussed in this article.

PROBLEMS & SOLUTIONS
When using suppression capacitors either on their own or in filters, it is most important to keep lead lengths as short as possible. An ideal capacitor of capacitance value C would have a linear impedance characteristic Z expressed by $Z=1 / 2\pi fC$, where f is the measurement frequency.

However, a real two-terminal capacitor will resonate at a frequency determined by its capacitance and the inductance L of its leads. The resonant frequency is given by $f=1 / 2\pi \sqrt{LC}$. Below the resonant frequency, the capacitor impedance follows the ideal response, but, above the resonant frequency, the capacitor suppression performance reduces dramatically. Increasing the lead length reduces the resonant frequency and causes a loss in performance of the capacitor.

This can be seen in Figure 1, which compares the impedance of a 1μF capacitor with 20mm and 100mm leads. The leads of a two-terminal capacitor will typically have an inductance of about 7nH per 10mm lead length, which gives a resonant frequency of about 800kHz for a 1μF capacitor with 20mm leads. The shaded area on the graph indicates the loss in performance caused by increasing the lead length from 20mm to 100mm.

Above its resonant frequency, the two-terminal capacitor behaves as an inductor with the inductance L of its lead wires. Its impedance then becomes $Z=2\pi fL$. If suppression performance is needed above the resonant frequency in line-to-earth applications, then a feedthrough capacitor must be used. Apart from a few minor resonances related to the dimensions of the capacitor element, the feedthrough capacitor has a performance close to the ideal.

Figure 2 shows the physical differences between two-terminal capacitors and feedthrough capacitors. Figure 3 compares
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the performance of a 1μF feedthrough capacitor with a 1μF two-terminal capacitor. The shaded area shows the significant filtering performance not attainable from a two-terminal capacitor, which can be achieved by using a feedthrough capacitor of the same value.

For the same reason, good high-frequency performance in filters can only be obtained if the filter incorporates feedthrough capacitors. As an example, Figure 4 shows the insertion loss performance of a simple DC pi filter built with feedthrough capacitors, compared to the same filter built with two-terminal capacitors. The shaded area indicates the extra performance obtained by using feedthrough capacitors in the filter design. Note that this graph is displaying insertion loss as opposed to imped-
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Figure 3. Impedance and insertion loss performance of a 1μF feedthrough capacitor compared with a 1μF two-terminal capacitor.

Figure 4. Performance of simple DC rated pi filter built with and without feedthrough capacitors.

ance on previous graphs so is plotted in the more usual direction for insertion loss.

Many older EMC specifications specify equipment emissions and susceptibility requirements only up to 30MHz, and usually a filter containing two-terminal capacitors will be adequate to comply with these specification requirements. Newer specifications are now demanding EMC compliance up to 1GHz or beyond. This is to provide some protection against the effects of increased high-frequency noise pollution generated by faster processors, mobile phones, faster power control switches and so on.

The user should be aware that, even if his equipment has a CE mark to demonstrate compliance with existing
EMC specifications, he could still experience problems. Unless his equipment is fitted with a suitable high-frequency filter containing feedthrough capacitors, it is unlikely to be protected against incident high-frequency interference above 30MHz. He could still therefore be responsible for problems caused by his equipment malfunctioning as a result of susceptibility to high-frequency interference.

Even when using feedthrough capacitors, performance can be compromised if the filter or capacitor is not mounted correctly to suitably screen the input from the output terminals. Bypass coupling owing to radiation and pick-up on interconnecting wires is more pronounced at higher frequencies, so greater care is needed to avoid this. The filter should ideally be mounted on or through a bulkhead to completely isolate input from output cables. Alternatively, screened cables should be used on one or both sides of the filter to prevent coupling. Figure 5 shows the effect of not mounting such a filter on a bulkhead or using screened cables. The shaded area shows the loss in high-frequency performance when the filter is not mounted or screened correctly.

**ELECTROMAGNETIC INTERFERENCE**

Electromagnetic Interference (EMI) occurs in two modes, asymmetric between line and earth, and symmetric between lines. Suppression components fitted to remove one mode of interference may have little or no effect on the other mode, which requires a separate set of components connected differently. When choosing a filter circuit, it is important to know whether only one, or both modes of interference require suppression, so that a filter contain-
ing the necessary circuit components can be selected. In simple terms, asymmetric filter performance requires common mode inductors and capacitors from lines to earth, whereas symmetric mode performance requires single-line inductors and capacitors between lines.

Where single-line inductors are used in filters, they will saturate as load current increases, and performance will be lost. The user should always check to see that performance figures quoted relate to full load conditions, as performance at full load current can be a lot worse than no load performance.

In most filtering applications, some asymmetric performance is normally required across the frequency

---

**Figure 6A.** Frequency response of a simple DC rated pi filter with feedthrough capacitors in a 50Ω system compared to a 0.1/100Ω system.

**Figure 6B.** Frequency response of the same filter used in Figure 6A, but measured down to lower frequencies to show resonance and gain around the cut-off frequency.
spectrum up to 1GHz. Symmetric performance, where needed, is usually only required below about 10MHz. Some symmetric performance is often provided by board level components.

The insertion loss performance of filters and suppression components is always quoted in a 50Ω system. This has traditionally been considered to represent the characteristic impedance of power lines at radio frequencies. With the widespread use of switching power supplies and power controllers, a much lower source impedance is now more typical. In such cases, a different performance will normally be provided by the capacitor or filter compared to the 50Ω performance shown in the catalogue or datasheet. For most simple filter circuits used in these applications, the actual performance obtained will be worse than expected.

Figure 6A shows an example of the performance of a simple pi filter in a 50Ω system compared with that measured in an impedance of 0.1/100Ω (0.1Ω source and 100Ω load impedance) which might be more typical for a switched mode power supply application. The shaded area shows the significant loss in performance produced in the practical system compared to the quoted 50Ω figures. Although the graph shows a filter with feedthrough capacitors as an example, a filter using two-terminal capacitors would show a similar reduction in performance in the different impedance system. To obtain the required performance in the practical system, it is necessary to tailor the filter circuit to obtain a maximum impedance mismatch between filter and system impedance. This usually means using a filter with an inductive input to face a low impedance noise source.

Another issue of which many users are unaware is that filters can actually produce a gain at certain frequencies due to the mismatch in the impedance between the filter and the source and load impedances. This gain usually occurs at around the cut-off frequency of the filter and is often not apparent or not present when the filter is measured in a 50Ω system. However, in a more practical situation where the source and load impedance are not 50Ω, then the gain can be significant at around 10dB or more.

As an example of this, Figure 6B shows a measurement of the same filter as used in Figure 6A but measured down to lower frequencies to show this effect. It can be seen that, in a 50Ω system, there is a resonance around the cut-off frequency of 10kHz but no gain. However, the same filter measured in a 0.1/100Ω system does show a gain of around 12dB at 7kHz.

It must be stated that, although this gain is real, its magnitude and frequency will depend on the actual source and load impedances of the practical circuit, as well as the component values used in the filter circuit. If there is no EMI noise present at the frequency of the gain, then there will be no gain, so the phenomenon should be of no concern. This will usually be the case in practice, as the filter will normally be designed for filtering higher frequency noise. However, there could be applications where there is an issue, and the user should be aware of this possibility.

**STANDARD RANGES**

There are many types of simple circuit filters available from numerous manufacturers, but most of them could be subject to some or all of the problems described above when used in certain applications. Becoming increasingly important are standard ranges of feedthrough capacitors, and filters incorporating feedthrough capacitors, which are designed to address some or all of the above problem areas. Some of the standard ranges of filters now available not only incorporate feedthrough capacitors but also have filter circuits designed to give the best response with low source impedance. Some manufacturers’ catalogues now also quote performance in both 50Ω and 0.1/100Ω systems, which is more helpful.
Figure 7 shows an example of standard filters incorporating feedthrough capacitors, and which have bulkhead mounting to provide optimum high-frequency performance.

Figure 8 shows an example of a standard filter designed for switched mode power supply applications to offer good performance in a 0.1/100Ω system.

As an alternative, Figure 9 shows an example of a standard range of feedthrough capacitors, which will offer excellent, cost-effective, high-frequency performance where full filter performance is not needed.

**SUMMARY**

This discussion has identified a few pitfalls, which can cause the anticipated filter or capacitor performance not to be achieved in practice. If a standard catalogue filter is to be used, then the user should ensure that the selected filter design addresses any problem areas above relevant to the application.

This may involve the selection of a slightly more specialised filter. For critical applications, the best approach is to obtain advice on the selection of the best filter circuit to use from a specialist filter company with established practical experience in filtering for EMC. The supplier should be familiar with the type of problems discussed here and therefore be able to provide rapid and accurate advice on the most cost-effective solution for a given application.

**Jan Nalborczyk** graduated from Birmingham University, UK, with a BSc degree in Physics in 1973. He has worked for MPE Ltd since 1979 and is currently Technical Director of the company, which is based in Liverpool, UK. Email: jnalborczyk@mpe.co.uk
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Utilities operating nuclear power plants have been dealing with electromagnetic interference (EMI) problems for over two decades. Many early problems that affected the operation of instrumentation and control (I&C) equipment in plants stemmed from the use of wireless transmission devices (WTDs) (e.g., radio walkie-talkies, cellular phones, etc) inside the plant in the vicinity of system cabinets and cable trays carrying bundles of cables. A simple and partially effective method of reducing EMI events caused by WTDs has been to mark off exclusion zones around system cabinets and areas where I&C equipment is installed. The use of these zones has presented some problems for existing plants. For example, some plants have had to expand the area of some zones that became ineffective upon the use of new WTDs that evidently presented an increased risk to the operation and EMI protection of I&C equipment. The sizes of some expanded zones are larger than 2,000 square feet. In addition, some zones encroach upon human traffic areas used by plant personnel to move from area to area within a plant.

Exclusion zones have also been recognized as a problem in the design of new plants. Some plant planners and designers have elected not to use exclusion zones realizing that even a well-planned program designed to limit the use of WTDs in these zones simply presents too high of a risk in causing an EMI event. Success of the exclusion zone strategy depends upon limiting the use of WTDs in those zones. Plant engineers and technicians must be able to use their WTDs in areas close to I&C equipment during maintenance and troubleshooting and possibly even in situations where cabinet doors must be open. Moreover, controlling the inventory of WTDs, especially radio walkie-talkies, will also present problems for plant staff. If radios are categorized by power level, then a plant worker may need a low-power radio when none are available. In this situation, a high-power radio may be the only option available during an emergency situation in the plant.

This article is Part 1 of 2 addressing the issue of exclusion zones in existing plants. Past EPRI research has provided useful guidance in EMC helping to avoid EMI events given the state of plant EM environments in the last 17 years. However, with increasing use of digital I&C equipment in existing plants, the planned widespread use of this equipment in new plants, and the increasing demand to use WTDs in nuclear plants, changing EM environments require the development of new and more effective approaches to manage EMC and the risks associated with EMI events in the plants of today and tomorrow.
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BACKGROUND – HISTORY OF EXCLUSION ZONES IN PREVIOUS EPRI REPORTS

Nuclear power plants require a very high degree of protection from EMI. To achieve this, previous guidelines published in a series by the Electric Power Research Institute (EPRI) used a methodology of performing plant electromagnetic (EM) surveys and from that data establishing recommended emissions and immunity levels, tests and EM management strategies. EPRI TR-102323 Revision 1 states in its abstract:

Nuclear power plants undertaking digital upgrades have been required to conduct expensive, site-specific electromagnetic surveys to demonstrate that electromagnetic interference (EMI) will not affect the operation of sensitive electronic equipment. This study was prompted by utilities desiring a more complete understanding of the EMI problem and to provide technically sound alternatives. 

.... Based on the emissions levels and expected types and levels of interference in nuclear power plants, guidelines for equipment susceptibility tests were developed. ... the levels are conservative based on the analyzed data. The working group defined specifications to obtain additional emissions data to validate these guidelines, develop a basis for equipment emissions testing, bound highest observed emissions from nuclear plants and eliminate the need for site surveys. ..... The report includes minimum EMI limiting practices and guidance on equipment and plant emission levels.

One of the major changes made from the original report by the first revision (Rev. 1) was “an increase of the margin between the allowable plant emissions limit and the susceptibility limit from 6 dB to 8 dB”. However, a technical basis is not given in the report for the change. A discussion of the 8 dB buffer is provided in Chapter 7 of that report stating:

The limit for plant emissions was chosen to be 8 dB below the recommended equipment susceptibility testing level ... This limit is selected only to provide a reference point by which the utility engineer may determine if the emissions data from his plant are adequately bounded by the recommended susceptibility testing levels, thus allowing application of the generic susceptibility limits in this report. The plant emissions limit was chosen to be 8 dB below the recommended susceptibility levels to provide additional conservatism in when determining if the recommendations in this report can be applied to a particular facility.

While the reports utilize a strategy of studying plant emissions, and from that and other information, developing an EM protection plan, even in the conclusions of Rev. 1 in that report, the danger of relying too heavily on plant EM survey data is noted.

Operating experience from group members has shown that the nuclear power industry EMI/RFI problems are primarily due to infrequent transient interference and not steady-state EMI. Transient interference is well understood and documented in various industry standards. The industry standards do not require site emissions testing (mapping), but instead define equipment susceptibility testing levels based upon expected maximum plant EMI/RFI levels. Steady-state emissions recorded over a short period of time are unlikely to capture a transient event. The only EMI/RFI emitters that could affect digital equipment operation are portable transceivers. It is reasonable to conclude that steady-state mapping is not useful for identifying threats to digital systems.

Based on an understanding of sources of EMI in nuclear power plants, generic emissions measurements were performed to characterize both steady-state and transient EMI. Procedures were developed to describe the highest observed environment for key safety systems.

What is evident is that while previous versions of the
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Eliminating the Need for Exclusion Zones in Nuclear Power Stations

Report gave a central role to data obtained in plant EM surveys, they also recognized the dangers of relying on that data exclusively. In particular, the fact that most interference events occur due to infrequent, transient events was recognized. Guidance solely centered around the statement, “The only EMI/RFI emitters that could affect digital equipment operation are portable transceivers.” must be revised to address the risks posed by the broader availability and use of intelligent WTDs that are appearing in existing plants as well as the ones that will be used in new plants. While certainly portable transceivers are a well-identified risk, EMI events caused by the use of today’s modern cellular telephones and other WTDs in the vicinity of I&C equipment present real risks that must be addressed in any plan defining the management of EMC for nuclear plants.

After surveying the data available on plant EM environment, both steady-state and transient, a strategy is recommended for assuring the required level of interference protection. Emissions and immunity levels and tests are recommended for equipment. In order to assure that the immunity levels are not exceeded, the previous versions of the EPRI TR-102323 report recommended the use of exclusion zones to keep electromagnetic and RF sources away from I&C systems. In Chapter 6 of Rev. 1, the following section discusses the method of providing protection from portable transceivers.

Controlling Emissions Sources

Portable Transceivers (Walkie-Talkies)

1. Proper administrative control of portable transceivers is necessary to protect EMI/RFI sensitive equipment. To provide at least 8 dB margin between the transceiver emissions limit (4 V/m) and the recommended equipment susceptibility limit (10 V/m), a minimum transmitter exclusion distance must be maintained. The transceiver field intensity can be estimated knowing the device power level and assuming the highest antenna gain factor of one according to the equation:

\[
V_d = \frac{(30 P)^{0.5}}{d}
\]

Eq. 4.1 from EPRI TR-102323 Revision 1

where \( P \) is the effective radiated power of the transceiver in watts; \( d \) is the distance in meters from the transceiver and \( V_d \) is the field strength in volts/meter.

A portable transceiver with an effective radiated power of 3 watts generates a field strength of 9.5 V/m at a distance of 1 meter; 4.75 V/m at 2 meters and 0.95 V/m at 10 meters. The field strength falls of linearly with distance. Alternatively, the
transceiver field strength can be measured at 1 meter by testing in accordance with Electronic Industry Standard (EIA), EIA-329 , Part II for Mobile Radios (20).

To determine the minimum transceiver exclusion distance:

1. Calculate the transceiver field strength for a distance, \( d \) of 1 meter using Equation 6.1.

2. Referring to Figure 1 (Figure 6-1 in EPRI TR-102323 Rev. 1), determine the minimum transceiver exclusion distance corresponding to the calculated transceiver field strength at 1 meter.

The minimum exclusion distance is required to ensure a margin of at least 8 dB between the transceiver emissions and sensitive equipment susceptibility testing levels. It is acceptable to increase the minimum transceiver distance or to even restrict their use in rooms where EMI/RFI sensitive equipment is located. The group recognizes the need to use these devices and has developed this guidance to support their use where transceivers and EMI/RFI sensitive equipment must operate in a shared environment.

As can be seen by the section title, "Portable Transceivers (Walkie-Talkies)," at the time the report was written the primary concern was walkie-talkies. The report next goes on to discuss arc welding and gives guidance on how to control emissions from that source. The report assumes that the types of portable wireless devices are limited, generally hardware based radios, serving primarily a single function, for practical purposes the only concern was walkie-talkies. For these transceivers, exclusion zones were an effective strategy. Since that time and increasingly, wireless is being utilized in a rapidly growing variety of ways.

Devices increasingly are using digital techniques, controlled by software, in contrast to the traditional hardware-based radios. The trend is more toward multifunction devices that are equipped to transmit on multiple bands using a variety of protocols. Witness the very popular eBook readers, which often are equipped with a cell phone interface, capable of operating on any of several frequency bands, using a variety of RF protocols and in addition have a WiFi radio.

Increasingly, these devices aggressively use power control to maximize battery life. This means that the very same device may operate any of its several radios at different frequencies, using a different protocol and with a wide variation in its transmit power. MIMO (multiple-input, multiple-output) is widely used, allowing some devices to simultaneously transmit on multiple frequencies over any of several antennas. One highly successful smart phone has three different antennas built into its edge.

By the Rev. 2 of the EPRI TR-102323 report, the graph (shown in Figure 2 below) was modified to indicate a 4 V/m maximum emission limit, reduced from the 5 V/m defined in Rev. 1. In addition, a \( \frac{1}{3} \) meter absolute minimum protection distance was added. The total distance scale was reduced from 10 meters to 4 meters. In addition, a second scale was added to the vertical axis showing the effective radiated power as well as the field strength. While the guidance and verbiage remains relatively the same, these differences indicate a growing need for additional EMC protection while also the difficulty of enforcing an exclusion zone over larger areas.

The Rev. 3 version of EPRI TR-102323 (2004) keeps the graph unchanged but refines the equation by adding a gain factor:
While the changes in Rev. 2 and 3 of the EPRI TR-102323 report show a growing sophistication with both threat presented by portable transceivers and the difficulties of effectively implementing and enforcing an exclusion zone strategy, the view of portable transceivers remains relatively constant, with walkie-talkies remaining in the section title for all three revisions.

However, exclusion zones have in some cases failed to provide the required protection and are becoming increasingly burdensome to establish and enforce. This was the consensus, lead by one lead I&C engineer from a major US utility in the south who is currently designing advanced nuclear plants (with one under construction) at the December 2008 EPRI Nuclear EMI Working Group Meeting held in Washington, DC.

Interference incidents which have occurred give evidence to the failure of the exclusion zone strategy to provide the desired level of EMC protection for I&C systems in existing nuclear plants. There are many documented cases of malfunction and upset of I&C systems in existing plants caused by operation of a portable wireless transmission device (not always a walkie-talkie) too close to a standard system cabinet with its doors closed.

At times, the failure is caused a source of EM energy was not recognized as such where an exclusion zone was not involved. One example occurred when the starter for a high intensity discharge (HID) lighting system (magnetically-ballasted) emitted an EM pulse when it attempted to strike a burned out lamp. Because the lamp was burned out, the starter repeatedly attempted to ignite it, emitting a continuing stream of EM pulses as a result. These emissions caused false detections to be registered in a radiation monitor located in another room in the plant. Radiated EM pulses from failed lamps were converted into a band of conducted emissions coupled into the signal loop of the radiation monitoring system. This caused frequent false alarms in the control room.

Another reason for the failure of exclusion zones is that with the increasing use of wireless technology, enforcement of exclusion zones is increasingly problematic. As wireless technologies are adopted and become a more significant part of the work equipment for various personnel, like maintenance workers and security personnel, conflicts are created when enforcement of the exclusion zone would deprive a worker of the tools they rely on to perform their job. This kind of conflict is likely to become increasingly prevalent as wireless technologies are used for an ever increasing variety of functions. Moreover, in today’s culture of increased security required to protect nuclear plants and instantly respond to any potential threat, security and plant personnel, any restriction on the use of portable wireless devices will only limit the effectiveness of these personnel to protect the staff and the plant from a possible catastrophic situation. Security personnel must be focused on protecting the plant and staff without having to worry about tripping a critical safety-related I&C system.

The job of an I&C engineer and other plant personnel on the plant floor frequently involves the use of portable wireless devices when the doors of system cabinets are open. Communications are needed with other personnel out in the plant to maintain and troubleshoot I&C systems. Without these communications, standard procedures needed to bring I&C systems back up on line could not be performed.

Additionally, one concern of planners for advanced plants is that use of the exclusion zone strategy will lead to the ‘approved use’ and ‘not approved use’ of the inventory of portable wireless devices in the plant. If wireless device began to be segregated based on approval from whether or not they are likely to cause an EMI problem, additional confusion will result when plant personnel strive to manage this divided inventory. One engineering planner was worried that all ‘approved’ wireless devices would be in use by plant personnel when one was needed. This would result in the selection of a ‘non-approved’ device for use on the plant floor even though it might be against a plant’s policy.

Today, plants are now approving the use of some cell
phones and wireless telephones while not approving others. The decision to ‘approve’ or ‘not approve’ is sometimes based on misleading information, incorrect test results, incomplete test procedures, or data for the wireless device that may lead plant personnel to suspecting that a device may or may not cause an EMI problem.

Fortunately, exclusion zones are one of three methods for protecting equipment from electromagnetic interference (EMI). Those methods are:

1. Keep unwanted energy out of sensitive I&C equipment by separating the emitting equipment from sensitive equipment. This is the exclusion zone strategy.
2. Protect sensitive equipment from the unwanted energy by using additional shielding or filtering either at the system cabinet level or inside the cabinet but external to the sensitive equipment.
3. Design sensitive equipment to be inherently immune to the effects of unwanted energy.

In Rev. 3 and earlier versions of EPRI TR-102323, Guidelines for Electromagnetic Interference Testing of Power Plant Equipment, an exclusion zone strategy for dealing with portable transceivers, guided by a simple logic, implemented the first of these strategies.

**ADVANTAGES & LIMITATIONS OF EXCLUSION ZONES**

Exclusion zones have significant advantages in existing nuclear plants early on when there were fewer portable wireless devices. However, they have also presented a number of sound limitations, which will continue to be used with digital I&C upgrades in existing plants and rolled over to design advanced plants unless a different strategy is taken. Among the advantages of exclusions zones are:

- They are directly controlled by each individual plant.
- They can be customized to the specific needs and conditions in each plant or area of a plant.
- Exclusion zones do not require specialized training or equipment.
- They are not dependent on equipment vendors, outside labs or other external entities.
- They can focus on specific classes of equipment that are problematic.
- Exclusion zones do not increase the cost of equipment or require specialized equipment installation practices.

One of the very real advantages of exclusion zones is that they are directly under the control of each individual plant. A plant is not dependent on an outside entity, such as an equipment vendor or test lab. If the exclusion zone fails, it is because the plant where the failure occurred did not enforce it adequately. An exclusion zone can also fail in a sense if its bounded area is too small or if its dimensions are not adequate to provide EMC protection for the expected inventory of portable wireless devices used in a specific plant. Thus, the responsibility to maintain quality control and enforce the exclusion zone rests with the plant, which will suffer the consequences if there is a failure.

A further advantage of exclusion zones is that they can be customized for each individual plant or for specific areas in a plant. For example, if a plant has one area in which the equipment is highly immune to interference, it may not need an exclusion zone in that area at all. However, another plant, using different equipment that is more interference susceptible may require a significant level of protection for a corresponding area. Also, a plant may adjust exclusion zones from time to time, such as relaxing them during maintenance activities, when an area is off-line, or when an I&C system is upgraded to a system thought to be more immune to electromagnetic energy. Exclusion zones offer a high degree of flexibility for local conditions.

Exclusion zones also do not require specialized training or equipment. RF testing is expensive and requires a high degree of expertise to do well. These factors increase the cost of testing and also increase the chance that testing may fall short of what is required. It is not uncommon for testing to be performed with inadequate equipment, by a non-accredited test lab or by personnel who are not appropriately trained and experienced. The use of exclusion zones avoids these issues.

Another advantage of exclusion zones is also an im-
important weakness. If only portable transceivers, especially walkie-talkies are the problem, then an exclusion zone can keep those devices away from I&C systems. This avoids requiring I&C systems take on the cost and complexity of providing significantly higher levels of immunity. If walkie-talkies are the problem, then keeping them away is an effective and efficient solution. How this becomes a weakness will be discussed later, under the disadvantages.

Exclusion zones also have the advantage that they do not increase equipment or installation costs. Requiring I&C systems take on the cost and complexity of expensive shielding and filtering. One reason for this is that they seldom have the expertise on staff to develop alternative solutions. It also occurs because they want to avoid the cost of equipment redesign and find a solution that simply protects their existing and typically vulnerable circuitry or equipment.

Over time vendors usually learn how to design equipment that has inherent RF immunity. This kind of solution typically adds little or even no cost to the equipment, but requires considerably more design expertise. This approach is usually introduced as vendors acquire the requisite expertise on their staff and are driven to provide immunity and lower prices by competitive pressures. Design changes may also be introduced to enhance EMC protection after the vendor is made aware of an EMI problem, especially one that ended up costing them money back to the customer. The result is that in the long run requiring higher levels of RF immunity does not inherently raise the cost of the equipment much, but in the short term it typically does.

These advantages of exclusion zones are significant and explain why this strategy was adopted in earlier versions of EPRI TR-102323. It must also be noted that exclusion zones are very amenable to use in a hybrid strategy. Indeed, the EPRI TR-102323 report does not rely exclusively on exclusion zones, but recommends them as part of a EMI control strategy that includes testing for emissions and immunity. From this viewpoint, the question is not whether exclusion zones should be used or not, but rather is their use, coordinated with other components of a total control strategy optimal for the current and future EM environment that plants will operate in.

The disadvantages of exclusions zones are also significant and well understood by those who are responsible for implementing and enforcing them. These include:

- It can be difficult or even impossible to implement exclusion zones.
- Enforcement of exclusions zones is increasingly difficult and even impossible.
- They are the direct responsibility of each individual plant costing time and resources.
- Exclusions zones can take on different shapes and areas even across plants that use similar designs; there are enough differences in exclusions zones across these plants to create enough differences in the design and implementation of system-wide policies designed to limit the use of wireless transmission devices.
- Exclusion zones often come in conflict with the legitimate need to use wireless enabled technologies to perform necessary job functions.
- Exclusion zones are a product of oversimplifying the problem and as a result are a flawed solution.
- Exclusion zones must use general rules that are often overly conservative.
- Exclusion zones often cannot be fully implemented around I&C systems because of physical barriers (e.g., rails, steps, other equipment) in the way.
- Exclusion zones can extend into areas that must remain clear and walk way areas that must support the heavy traffic of plant personnel.
- Exclusion zones are designed to protect I&C equipment from EM energy emanating from a known inventory of wireless transmission devices (typically portable walkie-talkies). Plants strive to control the use of wireless transmission devices, especially cell phones, owned by contractors and visitors. If these devices are allowed in a plant, then specific exclusion zones may not
Exclusion zones can be difficult or even impossible to implement. They require control of a substantial area around sensitive equipment. However, at times the required protection area is difficult or impossible to control. An example is an I&C system installed near a wall adjacent to an area where it is permissible to use wireless transmission devices, or an external wall, adjoining a parking lot. What radios will be in vehicles entering the parking lot is difficult to control, if it is possible to control them at all with any certainty. Especially when the required protection distance grows to be 3 to 10 meters, it expands beyond the typical room and takes in a significant area. Some exclusion zones take up a very large area of plant floor.

The explosive growth in the use of wireless makes enforcement of exclusion zones increasingly problematic. Wireless devices are now incorporating intelligent decision-making technologies and code making more effective use of unused spectrum. The cell phone illustrated in Figure 3 is an example. Wireless is used in a wider and wider variety of products and applications. It is increasingly difficult to even identify what is a wireless device. Even medical implants are including wireless transceivers, albeit to date those are operating at lower power. How do you enforce an exclusion zone if the transceiver is in an implant inside the body of a plant worker?

When exclusion zones are used, every plant must assign personnel and expend time and effort to implement and enforce them. When a plant elects to use a new wireless technology capable of reaching power levels higher than technologies previously used, new calculations must be made to determine the layout of new exclusion zones. It is not one zone that must be revised but many. (Why should plant personnel strive to keep exclusion zones updated when other more effective strategies can be applied?) This is an ongoing cost, using resources that typically are needed elsewhere. Further, enforcement of exclusion zones is an ongoing responsibility that has potentially significant consequences if there is ever a failure. Enforcement must be ongoing and vigilant to assure that there is never a failure. Assuring such continued vigilance typically requires overly conservative and redundant monitoring to assure continual and effective compliance.

Another problem with exclusion zones is that they regularly create conflicts between the need to protect sensitive I&C systems and the need to use wireless services. The increasing use of wireless for an ever expanding variety of purposes promises to make this kind of problem increasingly common. A worker uses and comes to rely on wireless tools to perform their job but then is told he or she cannot use the tools that have become necessary for their job in the exclusion zone, where they may be required to go to perform maintenance, maintain security or some other job function. These kinds of conflicts occur and create what appear to many as rules without reason. These kinds of conflicts are exacerbated because exclusions zones must be implemented as general rules, without regard for the differences in wireless services. If, for example cell phones are discovered to cause an EMI problem in a nuclear plant then all cell phones, in all frequency bands and at all power levels must be excluded. However, personnel will often discover that their cell phone creates no interference, making the exclusion zone seem arbitrary and needless. This may lead some plants to issue ‘blanket approval’ for the use of all cell phones—a strategy that presents undefined risks to the operation of I&C equipment.

The fact is that originally cell phones operated in the 800 MHz band, using RF power of up to two (2) watts. Today, most cell phones still use the 800 MHz band but are also equipped to operate in the 1,900 MHz band, where the maximum power is one (1) watt. Further, the 700 MHz band frequencies have already been auctioned, although equipment has not been deployed there yet. The Advanced Wireless Services (AWS) band is scheduled to be auctioned, adding frequencies up to 2,100 MHz. Other mobile services are moving forward in the 2,300 MHz and 3,500 MHz bands. The future will see an increasing variety of mobile services, using different frequencies and power levels. Exclusion zones must treat them all equally, not only because most people cannot tell one device from another, but increasingly devices can operate on multiple bands and which band they use is determined dynamically by the network.
A further complication is that cell phones and many other wireless services use very aggressive power control. They only use as much RF power as is necessary to sustain their communications link. Cell phones will vary their RF power by up to 15 dB, a factor of more than 30. The same cell phone in one location, where it has good signal conditions to the network, will operate at 1/10th the power as the same cell phone in another location with poor signal conditions. Exclusion zones must assume the worst and control these devices as if they are operating at maximum power. Indeed, the plant has no control over how much RF power they will use, and it is changing dynamically. So, how is the plant supposed to know if a cell phone provider changes the operation of its network? This could result in changing how the RF power levels are managed. The only option with the exclusion zone strategy is then to be conservative in order to assure the required level of protection.

The use of exclusion zones in existing nuclear plants comes from an analysis that finds portable transceivers, particularly walkie-talkies, to be the only EM threat, so simply keeping them away from I&C systems is an effective and efficient solution. But are portable transceivers the only problem? More specifically are portable transceivers a significantly worse source of EM fields than other sources? If they are, then exclusion zones are an effective remedy.

However, there are many sources of EM fields, both natural and man-made. Can a relatively low level of immunity in I&C systems provide adequate protection against most sources and then by using exclusion zones the more powerful fields from portable transceivers are effectively dealt with? In fact, exclusion zones only give the delusion of protection.

In particular, there are low-frequency, high-impact events that present a rare but important risk category. Two examples of low-frequency events that produce very high levels of EM are Electromagnetic Pulse (EMP) and terrorist use of EM fields. While these events are rare, they are real risks. If they do occur, should nuclear plants be protected against them? Having I&C systems with sufficient immunity to protect against portable transceivers also will increase their ability to withstand EM fields coming from other sources.

IN GENERAL PLANT ENVIRONMENTS
Exclusion zones have been used as an EMC control measure in a variety of plant environments, even those outside of the nuclear power industry. It can be an effective method for controlling EMI. A critical element in the use of an exclusion zone is the degree to which the zone can be controlled. The more reliably an environment can be controlled, the higher the effectiveness of the exclusion zone strategy. As the ability to control the environment is compromised, the effectiveness of the exclusion zone strategy also degrades. So, a fundamental requirement for an exclusion zone strategy to be effective is the ability to control the environment around sensitive equipment. The exclusion zone strategy is not recommended when the area around sensitive equipment cannot be reliably controlled.

Two specific times of plant operation when exclusion zones collapse are when the plant is under unscheduled shutdown and when the plant is under scheduled shutdown. Under unscheduled shutdown, the number one goal of every single plant personnel is to work towards getting the problem resolved and the plant back online. When power is not being generated, money is lost and lots of it. Plant workers simply work without interruption and barriers to aid in getting the plant up and running again. During this time, extremely heavy radio usage takes place. However, not all systems are fully offline. This is absolutely the case in nuclear power plants. Thus, a good number of I&C systems will need to remain online to preserve certain safety functions. Some of these systems may employ the use of exclusion zones.

Under scheduled shutdown, the plant and its personnel are given a fixed number of days to perform the scheduled work (typically refueling). Plant personnel are rewarded for getting the work done and the plant back online early. During this time, certain I&C systems must be functional in order to get the work done correctly and on time. Some of these systems may employ the use of exclusion zones.

The exclusion zone strategy also comes under pressure when competing legitimate interests come into conflict. For the purposes of EMC, an exclusion zone might be desirable. However, there might be very legitimate reasons why wireless equipment should be brought into close proximity to equipment inside an exclusion zone. Maintenance personnel are more effective if they can use their cell phones or walkie-talkies to verify equipment functionality or get needed technical support from another plant engineer. Many exclusion zones are so large that troubleshooting some I&C systems requires the use of three personnel: one at the system cabinet to observe indicators and make measurements, one in the middle of the exclusion zone acting as a ‘repeater’ to deliver the message to personnel outside the exclusion zone so the information can be radioed to personnel in the control room or another area of the plant. Other examples are created when space is limited and different equipment must be put into close proximity, due to its functionality. There are a wide variety of reasons that can arise and put pressure on the use of exclusion zones.

A simple reason exclusion zones are problematic is that real estate inside a plant is in high demand and is generally expensive. Having a lot of unused space in any environment is generally inefficient. Spreading equipment out requires more building space, costing money. Often, the space simply is not available. However, even when space is available, it comes at a cost, usually a high cost. Mapping out an exclusion zone for a specific I&C system may permanently mark that area as unusable for any other function.

For these reasons, the use of exclusion zones is increas-
ingly rejected. Planners engaging in digital upgrades in existing plants and in specifying digital equipment for advanced nuclear plants do not want to see exclusion zones in their plants. Other methods of EMC control are found more effective. Shielding, filtering or improved immunity, but implemented at the right level, are increasingly the preferred methods for EMC control.

IN ADVANCED NUCLEAR PLANT ENVIRONMENTS
The disadvantages of exclusion zones become increasingly relevant when considering the environments of advanced nuclear power plants. Looking to the future, the use of wireless for communications, data transmission and sensor networks is a growing reality. The ability to exclude these services from areas were I&C systems operate is not only increasingly problematic but also undesirable. Indeed, some I&C systems will greatly benefit from wireless connectivity, for example, to distributed sensor networks. The ability to enforce an exclusion zone will be a growing problem as wireless is integrated into an ever increasing variety of equipment types. Therefore, a different method for providing the required level of protection is required.

CONCLUSION
This article, Part 1 of 2 on the topic of exclusion zones and their strategies in nuclear power plants, presented a history of the development and use of exclusion zones originally defined by EPRI research in the area of EMC for nuclear power plants. Early strategies served their purpose in a time when wireless devices were few. Moving towards a more effective strategy for protecting digital I&C equipment from radiated threats in plant environments requires an understanding of the advantages and disadvantages of exclusions zones as presented in this article. Effective and dynamic protection of digital I&C equipment against radiated threats must be an inherent part of I&C systems allowing plant engineers to focus on plant safety, operation, maintenance, and upgrades without the challenges presented by the use of exclusion zones. Nuclear power plants are facing more challenges, and those that can be resolved providing a higher degree of safety and reduced risk will help utilities maintain safe plants that are profitable. Part 2 of this article will address Elements of the Exclusion Zone Strategy with a focus on peeling back the layers of immunity for I&C systems to establish whole-system immunity.
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## ANECHOIC CHAMBER CALIBRATION TO IEC 80-3
ETS-Lindgren
Panashield

## ANECHOIC CHAMBERS
Albatross Projects GmbH
ETS-Lindgren
Videon Central Inc.

## ANECHOIC CHAMBERS – FIRE PROTECTION
ETS-Lindgren

## ANECHOIC MATERIALS
ETS-Lindgren
Fair-Rite Products Corp.

## ARCHITECTURAL SHIELDING PRODUCTS
Alco Technologies, Inc.
Kemtron Ltd.
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Federal-Mogul Corporation
Systems Protection
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Kensington Electronics Inc.
Mech-Tronics
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## BRAID
Alco Technologies, Inc.
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Federal-Mogul Corporation
Systems Protection
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FIBOX Enclosures
Fotofab

## CONDUCTIVE CONTAINERS
MyShield Company, Inc.
Swift Textile Metalizing LLC
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## CONDUIT, ELECTRICAL, SHIELDED, MAGNETIC & RF
Federal-Mogul Corporation
Systems Protection
Ja-bar Silicone Corporation
Kemtron Ltd.
VitaTech Electromagnetics

## CRT ELECTRO-OPTICAL SHIELDS
Dontech, Inc.
MyShield Company, Inc.

## DIE CUT SHIELDING MATERIAL
Apex Die & Gasket Inc.
Dontech, Inc.
W. L. Gore & Associates, Inc.
Identification Products Corp
Ja-bar Silicone Corporation
Kemtron Ltd.
Orion Industries Inc.
P&P Technology Ltd.
Spira Manufacturing Corp.
Tech-Etch, Inc.

## EMI GASKETS
ACS Industries, Inc.
Boyd Corporation
CGS Technologies
Connors Company
FabricTech, Inc.
GETELEC
W. L. Gore & Associates, Inc.
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Intermark (USA) Inc.
Ja-bar Silicone Corporation
Kemtron Ltd.
P&P Technology Ltd.
Spira Manufacturing Corp.
Stockwell Elastomers, Inc.
Tech-Etch, Inc.
United Seal and Rubber Co., Inc.
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## FACILITIES & SHIELDED ENCLOSURE SERVICES
AR Tech
Compac Development Corp.
DNB Engineering, Inc.
ETS-Lindgren
Rittal Corp.

## FIBER OPTIC CABLES
ETS-Lindgren

## FINGERSTOCK
Ja-bar Silicone Corporation
Kemtron Ltd.
P&P Technology Ltd.

## GROUNDING RODS
Intermark (USA) Inc.

## GTEM CELLS
ETS-Lindgren
Fischer Custom Communications Instruments for Industry (IFI)
Noise Laboratory Co., Ltd.

## HARNESSES
Captor Corp.

## HONEYCOMB SHIELDING
ETS-Lindgren
Intermark (USA) Inc.
Ja-bar Silicone Corporation
Kemtron Ltd.
P&P Technology Ltd.
Spira Manufacturing Corp.
Tech-Etch, Inc.

## IRON CORE POWDERED MAGNETIC MATERIALS
Fair-Rite Products Corp.

## MAGNETIC SHIELDING
VTI Vacuum Technologies, Inc.

## MAGNETIC SHIELDING GASKETS
Kemtron Ltd.
Spira Manufacturing Corp.
VTI Vacuum Technologies, Inc.

## MAGNETIC SHEIELDS
VTI Vacuum Technologies, Inc.

## MICROVAVE ABSORBERS
ARC Technologies, Inc.
ETS-Lindgren
Intermark (USA) Inc.
Kemtron Ltd.

## MOBILE SHIELDED ROOMS
EMI Technologies, Inc.
Select Fabricators, Inc.
Source1 Solutions
Swift Textile Metalizing LLC

## MRI SHIELDING
Dontech, Inc.
ETS-Lindgren
MyShield Company, Inc.
Select Fabricators, Inc.

## RF SHIELDING GASKETS
ARC Technologies, Inc.
W. L. Gore & Associates, Inc.
Ja-bar Silicone Corporation
Kemtron Ltd.
P&P Technology Ltd.
Spira Manufacturing Corp.
Tech-Etch, Inc.
VTI Vacuum Technologies, Inc.

## RF SHIELDING MATERIAL
Axonics, Inc.
Cybershield
Dexmet Corporation
Federal-Mogul Corporation
Systems Protection
Feuerherdt GmbH
W. L. Gore & Associates, Inc.
Intermark (USA) Inc.
Ja-bar Silicone Corporation
Kemtron Ltd.
P&P Technology Ltd.
Precision Manufacturing Group
Spira Manufacturing Corp.
Tech-Etch, Inc.
TWP Inc.
Universal Shielding Corp.

## SCF DESIGN CONSTRUCTION & MAINTENANCE
ETS-Lindgren

## SHIELDED AIR FILTERS
ETS-Lindgren
Ja-bar Silicone Corporation
Kemtron Ltd.
P&P Technology Ltd.
Spira Manufacturing Corp.
Tech-Etch, Inc.

## SHIELDED BUILDINGS
ETS-Lindgren

## SHIELDED CABINETS & HARDWARE
MyShield Company, Inc.
Swift Textile Metalizing LLC

## SHIELDED CABLE ASSEMBLIES & HARNESSSES
Brim Electronics, Inc.
Captor Corp.
Federal-Mogul Corporation
Systems Protection
Fischer Connectors Inc.
Fotofab
W. L. Gore & Associates, Inc.
Lapp USA
MegaPhase LLC
SHIELDED COMPONENTS
Federal-Mogul Corporation
Systems Protection
Ja-bar Silicone Corporation
Kensington Electronics Inc.
Schurter Inc.
Spire Manufacturing Corp.
VTI Vacuum Technologies, Inc.

SHIELDED CONDUITS
ANAMET Electrical, Inc.
Electri-Flex Company
Federal-Mogul Corporation
Systems Protection
Zero Ground LLC

SHIELDED CONNECTORS
Binder-USA
Fischer Connectors Inc.
Ja-bar Silicone Corporation
Kensington Electronics Inc.
Kycon
Lutze Inc.
PennEngineering
Schurter Inc.
Southwest Microwave, Inc.

SHIELDED DOORS
Dontech, Inc.
ETS-Lindgren
Swift Textile Metalizing LLC

SHIELDED ENCLOSURES
ClickFold Plastics
Electrorack Enclosure Products
EMP-tronic AB
IMS Engineered Products
Modpak, Inc.
MyShield Company, Inc.
Select Fabricators, Inc.
VTI Vacuum Technologies, Inc.

SHIELDED FANS
ETS-Lindgren
Spire Manufacturing Corp.

SHIELDED FUSE HOLDERS
Schurter Inc.

SHIELDED FUSE FILTERS
Captor Corp.
Dontech, Inc.
ETS-Lindgren
Fotofab
TDK-EPC Corp.

SHIELDED ROOMS
Contest Engineering bv
ETS-Lindgren
Holland Shielding Systems BV
I. Thomas GmbH
R. A. Mayes Company, Inc.
Select Fabricators, Inc.

SHIELDED ROOMS, ACCESSORIES
Ad-Vance Magnetics, Inc.
Dontech, Inc.
ETS-Lindgren
Gavan Industries Inc.
Leader Tech, Inc.
National Technical Systems
Shielding Resources Group, Inc.
Swift Textile Metalizing LLC

SHIELDED ROOMS & ENCLOSURES
Alco Technologies, Inc.
Allied Moulded Products, Inc.
AR Tech
Braden Shielding Systems
Bud Industries
Captor Corp.
Contest Engineering bv
E&C Anechoic Chambers Asia Ltd.
EMI Technologies, Inc.
EMP-tronic AB
ETS-Lindgren
Fotofab
Frankonia EMC
Global EMC Ltd.
Holland Shielding Systems BV
IMS Engineered Products
Instruments for Industry (IFI)
K-Form, Inc.
Modpak, Inc.
Noise Laboratory Co., Ltd.
ORBIT Advanced
Electromagnetics, Inc. (AEMI)
R. A. Mayes Company, Inc.
Rainford EMC Systems Ltd.
Select Fabricators, Inc.
Source1 Solutions
Spire Manufacturing Corp.
Stahlin Enclosures
Swift Textile Metalizing LLC
Video Central Inc.
VTI Vacuum Technologies, Inc.

SHIELDED ROOMS, LEAK DETECTORS / MONITORS
ETS-Lindgren

SHIELDED SCANS, Monitors & CRTS
Dontech Incorporated

SHIELDED SWITCHES
Schurter Inc.

SHIELDED TRANSPARENT WINDOWS
Dontech, Inc.
Instrument Plastics Ltd.
Kemtron Ltd.
P&P Technology Ltd.
Tempest Security Systems Inc.

SHIELDED TUBING
Federal-Mogul Corporation
Systems Protection
Ja-bar Silicone Corporation
Kemtron Ltd.
MyShield Company, Inc.
Zippetuning Company

SHIELDING
3M Electronics Markets
Materials Division
A&R Tarpaulins, Inc.
Alco Technologies, Inc.
Amuneal Manufacturing Corp.
ANAMET Electrical, Inc.
ARC Technologies, Inc.
Autosplice, Inc.
Axonics, Inc.
Bal Seal Engineering, Inc.
Calmont Wire & Cable, Inc.
Central Coating Company
Chomerics, Div. of Parker Hannifin Corp.
Cima NanoTech, Inc.
Connors Company
Dexmet Corporation
Dontech, Inc.
East Coast Shielding
Ed Fagan Inc.
Emerson & Cuming Microwave Products, Inc.
ETS-Lindgren
Fabtech, Inc.
Federal-Mogul Corporation
Systems Protection
Feuerherdt GmbH
Field Management Services
Fotofab
W. L. Gore & Associates, Inc.
HFC Shielding Prod. Co. Ltd.
Insulfab
Intermark (USA) Inc.
Ja-bar Silicone Corporation
JEMIC Shielding Technologies
JRE Test, LLC
Kemtron Ltd.
Magnetic Radiation Laboratories
Magnetic Shield Corporation
MAJR Products Corp.
Mekoprint A/S Chemigraphics
MH&W International Corp.
MyShield Company, Inc.
Nolato Silikonetik
Orbel Corp.
P&P Technology Ltd.
Plastic-Metals Technologies, Inc.
Precision Manufacturing Group
RFI Controls Company
Roxtec
Rubbercraft
Saint-Gobain High Performance Seals
SAS Industries, Inc.
Schurter Inc.
Sollani EMC SRL
Specialty Silicone Products

Spectrum Advanced Specialty Products
Spira Manufacturing Corp.
Swift Textile Metalizing LLC
Syscom Advanced Materials
Tech-Etch, Inc.
United Western Enterprises, Inc.
Universal Air Filter
Universal Shielding Corp.
Vanguard Products Corp.
Vermillion, Inc.
VitaTech Electromagnetics
WaveZero, Inc.
Zero Ground LLC
Zippetuning Company
Zukun

VTI Vacuum Technologies, Inc.

SHIELDED COMPONENTS
Tech-Etch, Inc.

SHIELDED FOILS
Federal-Mogul Corporation
Systems Protection
Ja-bar Silicone Corporation
Kemtron Ltd.
Less EMF Inc.
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Simple Method for Predicting a Cable Shielding Factor, Based on Transfer Impedance

MICHEL MARDIGUIAN
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For a shielded cable, an approximate relationship valid from few kHz up to the first cable resonance can be derived from its Transfer Impedance (Zt) allowing to predict the cable shielding factor. This Cable Shielding factor, as a figure of merit, is often preferred by engineers dealing with product specifications and early design. Being not necessarily EMC specialists, they can relate it directly to the overall shielding performance required for a system boxes or cabinets.

This article comes up with very simple, practical formulas, that directly express the cable shielding factor Kr, given its Zt and frequency.

INTRODUCTION
Expressing the effectiveness of a cable shield has been a recurrent concern among the EMC Community, and more generally for the whole Electronic industry. This comes from a legitimate need to predict, measure, compare and improve the efficiency for a wide variety of shielded cables like coaxial cables or shielded pairs and bundles, having themselves various types of screens: braids, foils, spiral, corrugated, woven etc.

However, when it comes to decide what would be a convenient, trustworthy characteristic for a cable shield, several methods are in competition: Shielding Effectiveness (SE, dB), Surface Transfer (Zt, Ohm/m) or Screen Reduction Factor (Kr, dB).

Although Transfer Impedance Zt is a widely used and dependable parameter, SE or Reduction Factor Kr as a figure of merit are often preferred by engineers dealing with product specifications and overall design, because they can relate it directly to the whole shielding performance required for the system. It would be a nonsense to require 60dB of shielding for a system boxes or cabinets if the associated cables and their connecting hardware provide only 30dB, and vice-versa.

a) Shielding Effectiveness, as defined for any shielding barrier is given by:

\[ SE (\text{dB}) = 20 \log \left( \frac{E \text{ (or H) without shield}}{E \text{ (or H) with shield}} \right) \]

By illuminating the tested sample with a strong electromagnetic field, this approach is coherent with Shielding Effectiveness definition for a box, a cabinet or any enclosure, with SE being a dimensionless number. Since it would be unpractical to access the remaining E (or H) field inside a cable shield, meaning between the sheath and the core, it is the effect of this incident field that is measured instead, for instance the core-to-shield voltage.

However, there are several drawbacks to this method:

- It requires a full range of expensive instrumentation: generator, power...
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amplifier, antennas, shielded/ anechoic room (or stirred mode reverberating chamber) etc ...

- It carries the typical uncertainties of radiated measurements ( mean value for ordinary radiated EMI test uncertainty being 6dB)
- It is very sensitive to the tested cable set-up: height above ground, termination loads and type of excitation in near field conditions. For instance, a transmit antenna at 1m from the test sample will create near field conditions for all frequencies below 50MHz. If the antenna is of the dipole family, the near-field will be predominantly Electrical, i.e. a high-impedance field and the SE results will look excellent. If the transmit antenna is a magnetic loop, the field will be a low-impedance H field, and the SE results will be much less impressive.

b) **Transfer Impedance** (Zt), in contrast to SE, is a purely conducted measurement method, with accurate results, typically within 10% (1dB) uncertainty. But Zt, being in Ohm/meter has a dimension and cannot be directly figured as a shield performance.

c) **Shield Reduction Factor, Kr** reconciles the two methods, by using the best of Zt - the benefit of a conducted measurement, and of SE : the commodity of a direct figure in dB.

### DEFINITION OF THE SHIELD REDUCTION FACTOR

We can define Shield Reduction factor (Kr) as the ratio of the Differential Mode Voltage (Vd) appearing, core-to-shield at the receiving end of the cable, to the Common Mode Voltage (Vcm) applied in series into the loop (Figure 1).

\[
Kr (\text{dB}) = 20 \log \left( \frac{V_d}{V_{cm}} \right)
\]

This figure could also be regarded as the Mode Conversion Ratio between the external circuit (the loop) and the internal one (the core-to-shield line).

Slightly different versions of this definition are sometimes used like:

\[
Kr (\text{dB}) = 20 \log \left( \frac{V_{d1}}{V_{d2}} \right)
\]

Where,

- Vd1: differential voltage at the receive end when the shield is not there (disconnected)
- Vd2: differential voltage at the receive end with the shield normally grounded, both ends.

This latter definition would be more rigorous, somewhat reminiscent of the Insertion Loss used in EMC terminology, i.e. it compares what one would get without and with the shield, for a same excitation voltage (see Fig. 1, B). This eliminates the contribution of the core wire resistance and self-inductance, since they influence identically Vd1 and Vd2.

### CALCULATED VALUES OF Kr FOR SIMPLE CASES, FOR LENGTH \( l < \lambda/2 \)

Let express Vd, using the classical Zt model, assuming that the near end of the cable is shorted (core-to-shield):

\[
V_d = Z_t \times l \times I_{\text{shield}}
\]

where \( l \) : length of the shielded cable

Expressing the shield current, \( I_{\text{shield}} \):

\[
I_{\text{shield}} = \frac{V_{cm}}{Z_{\text{loop}}}
\]

We can replace Vd by its value in the expression of Kr:

\[
Kr = \frac{Z_t \times l \times V_{cm}}{Z_{\text{loop}}}
\]

\[
Z_{\text{loop}} = \frac{Z_t \times l}{Z_{\text{loop}}}
\]

Zloop itself is a length-dependent term, since it is simply the impedance of the shield-to-ground loop, which for any decent shield is a lesser value than that of the core wire plus the terminal impedances.

\[
Z_{\text{loop}} (\Omega) = \left( R_{sh} + j \omega L_{ext} \right) \times l
\]

where,

- \( R_{sh} \) = shield resistance
- \( L_{ext} \) = self-inductance of the shield-to-ground loop

Replacing \( Z_{\text{loop}} \) by its expression:

\[
Kr = \frac{Z_t \times l}{R_{sh} + j \omega L_{ext}}
\]

Zt (Fig. 2) consists in shield resistance \( R_{sh} \) and shield transfer (or leakage) inductance \( L_t \).

Thus, we reach an expression for Kr as a dimensionless...
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number, independent of the cable length:

\[
K_r = \frac{(R_{sh} + j\omega L_l)l}{(R_{sh} + j\omega L_{ext})l}
\]

\[
K_r = \frac{R_{sh} + j\omega L_l}{R_{sh} + j\omega L_{ext}}
\]

This expression is interesting in that it reveals three basic frequency domains:

a) **for Very Low Freq.**, where the term \(\omega L_l\) is negligible, \(Z_t\) is dominated by \(R_{sh}\):

\[
K_r = R_{sh} / (R_{sh} + j\omega L_{ext})
\]

\(\approx 1\) (0dB) below few kHz, since the lower term, loop impedance reduces to \(R_{sh}\)

b) **at medium frequencies** (typically above 5-10kHz for ordinary braided shield):

\[
K_r = (R_{sh} + j\omega L_l) / (j\omega L_{ext})
\]

Reduction Factor improves linearly with frequency

c) **at higher frequencies** (typically above one MHz), up to first < \(\lambda/2\) resonance:

\[
K_r = L_l / L_{ext}
\]

The Reduction Factor stays constant, independent of length and frequency.

A quick, handy formula can be derived, which is valid for any frequency from 10kHz up to first < \(\lambda/2\) resonance:

\[
K_r (dB) = -20 \log \left[ 1 + \frac{6. \text{FMHz}}{Z_t (\Omega/m)} \right]
\]

The value for \(Z_t\) being that taken at the frequency of concern.

(*) Several formulas have been proposed in the past for expressing a cable shield effectiveness based on its \(Z_t\). An often mentioned quick-rule is: \(K_r\) (or SE) dB = 40 - 20 Log \((Z_t \cdot l)\). Although it are correct above the ohmic region of \(Z_t\), it can give widely optimistic results, like 50dB or 70dB at 50/60Hz where an ordinary shield has no effect at all against Common Mode induced Interference.

**CALCULATION OF \(K_r\) WHEN LENGTH IS APPROACHING OR EXCEEDING \(\lambda/2\)**

When the dimension of the cable reaches a half-wave length, one cannot keep multiplying \(Z_t(\Omega/m)\) by a physical length which is no longer carrying a uniform current. In fact, the “electrically short line” assumption becomes progressively less and less acceptable when cable length \(l\) exceeds \(\lambda/10\).
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With the cable being exposed to a uniform electromagnetic field or to an evenly distributed ground shift, a typical case with CM interference, the shield grounded both ends behaves as a dipole exhibiting self-resonance and anti-resonance for every odd and even multiple of $\lambda/2$, respectively. Accordingly, current peaks will take place periodically for every odd multiple of $\lambda/2$, resulting in a worst-case value of $K_r$.

*Some tests set-up for measuring $K_r$ are based on end-driving of the cable shield by a 50Ω generator, which introduces also $\lambda/4$ resonances. A quick discussion on this artefact is presented.
One must also take into account $C'$, the actual propagation speed in the cable-to-ground transmission line, where $C'$ is slower than the ideal free-space velocity $C$. Typically $C' = 0.7$ to $0.8$ $C$. Therefore, the actual wavelength in the cable to ground loop is:

$$\lambda' = 0.7 \text{ to } 0.8 \times \lambda$$

If we align our calculations to the most detrimental conditions, the worst is reached (Figure 3) at the first

---

**Figure 5.** $Kr$ for a 5m coaxial, shield grounded with 10cm pigtail (courtesy of AEMC Grenoble, France).

**Figure 6.** $Kr$ for 5m shielded computer cable, with good quality SubD25 shielded connector (courtesy AEMC Grenoble, France).
\( \lambda/2 \) where the received voltage \( V_d \) is maximum (due to a current peak) resulting in a low value for \( K_r \). This is translating correctly the actual situation where, for a uniform field exposure, the victim receiver circuit will see a higher interference.

Beyond this first resonance point, for a constant CM excitation, the termination voltage \( V_d \) will run through a succession of peaks (at odd multiples of \( \lambda/2 \)) and nulls. Yet, the amplitude of the peaks will not exceed that reached at first resonance.

Simply considering that the length of “electrically active” shield segment is limited to \( \lambda/2 \), \( V_{d_{\text{max}}} \) can be predicted as follows:

\[
V_{d_{\text{max}}} = Z_t (\Omega/m) \times 0.5 \lambda' \times I_{\text{shield}}
\]

\[
\text{where,}
\]

\[
\lambda' = \text{corrected wavelength for propagation speed } C' = 0.7 \text{ to } 0.8 \lambda
\]

\[
\lambda' = 0.75 \times 300.10^6 / \text{F(Hz)} = 220.10^6 / \text{F(Hz)} \text{ (average value)}
\]

(Eq. 4) for \( V_d \) (max) can be rewritten as:

\[
V_{d_{\text{max}}} = L_t(\text{H/m}) \times 0.5 \times 220.10^6 / \text{F(Hz)} \times I_{\text{sh}}
\]

\[
= L_t(\text{H/m}) \times 2\pi \times F \times 0.5 \times 220.10^6 / \text{F(Hz)} \times I_{\text{sh}}
\]

Frequency cancels-out in the equation, so reducing all the variables and using more practical units like \( L_t \) in nH/m:

\[
V_{d_{\text{max}}} = L_t \text{ (nH/m)} \times 0.7 \times I_{\text{sh}}
\]

(5)

We can furthermore express \( I_{sh_{\text{max}}} \) for a shield grounded both ends illuminated by a uniform field (typical EMI susceptibility scenario):

\[
I_{\text{sh_{max}}} = I_{\text{loop_{max}}} = V_{\text{cm_{max}}} / Z_c
\]

where,

\[
Z_c = \text{characteristic impedance of cable-above-ground transmission line}
\]

\[
= 150\Omega \text{ for a height/diam ratio } = 4 \text{ (typical of MIL-STD 461 test set-up)}
\]

\[
= 300\Omega \text{ for a height/diam ratio } = 50
\]

Thus, \( Z_c \) can be given an average value of 210\( \Omega \) (a +/- 3dB approximation)

Combining Eq. 4 and 5 we get a simple expression for worst case \( K_r \) above resonance:

\[
K_r (\text{min}) = V_{d_{\text{max}}} / V_{\text{cm}}
\]

(6)

A FEW PRACTICAL RESULTS FOR \( K_r \), BELOW AND ABOVE FIRST CABLE RESONANCE

The following figures show some calculations using the formulas of this article, and test results.
Figure 4 shows calculated results on a 5m long good quality single braid coaxial cable, 1 meter above ground, with perfect 360° contact at connector backshell. On Figure 5, the curve shows the test results of a 5m coaxial cable where the shield has been intentionally spoiled by a 10cm pigtail. The deterioration of Kr above 8 MHz is spectacular.

**APPENDIX**

We have seen that when dimension of the cable approaches, or exceeds a half-wave length, the current on the shield follows a sinusoidal distribution with alternating phase reversals every λ/2 segment. This is complicated by the fact that, if the test set-up is based on a 50Ω generator driving one end of the shield, this latter appears as a transmission line shorted at the other end, subject to standing waves. This mismatch causes nulls and peaks of current at every multiple of λ/4.

For the odd multiples like λ/4, 3λ/4, 5λ/4 ... etc., the null of current correspond to the generator seeing an infinite impedance. While the driving voltage is equal to the open-circuit value, the current minimum on the shield is causing a drop in the terminal voltage Vd, therefore the value of Kr artificially jumps to higher values. This effect is visible on the figures, where Kr appears periodically better, then worse, than its average values. In the present paper, we have preferred to align the calculations on the worst case situation, not the most favorable one.
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Protecting Security Systems in a Healthcare Facility from Lightning Induced Transients
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A healthcare facility is a multifunctional building or campus that provides health care to citizens and when designated, also provides protection to the population in times of crisis. This includes common functions associated with patient care (e.g. operating rooms, emergency rooms, recovery rooms, etc), but also areas for educational centers, exercise facilities, food service, and other non-patient care activities. Significant amounts of advanced information technology for patient records and accounting functions, laboratories, various imaging systems, (e.g. magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), ultrasounds, x-ray, computerized axial tomography, etc), and security systems are used to meet the mission of a healthcare organization.

Protecting the healthcare facility from environmental conditions is important for service continuity. Lightning is an environmental condition that can cause damage to the facility, the equipment, and rare cases, people. Because of the criticality of the healthcare facility and the risks associated with a lightning protection system (LPS) is required for most U.S. healthcare facilities.

Equipotential bonding is the fundamental principle concept within a LPS. All components of the facility, including the LPS, the electrical system, the mechanical structure of the facility, and all external components and structures should be effectively bonded to provide a level of immunity from lightning induced transients [1,2,3]. External components include roof top mounted equipment (e.g. HVAC exchangers, communication receivers, etc), and ground level equipment (e.g. security components, parking lights, automatic gates, etc). External structures include remote power stations, remote MRI facilities, and remote security and parking offices.

When a LPS is installed, surge protective devices (SPDs) are required at the service entrance for the electrical distribution system and all communication systems [3]. SPDs are recommended to be deployed throughout the facility in a staged approach [4]. SPDs deployed in a staged or cascade approach have a SPD installed at the service entrance location, SPDs installed at distribution or branch locations, and SPDs installed at point of use equipment. SPDs should be sized in accordance with their location within the lightning protection zone (LPZ) (Figure 1) [1].

HEALTHCARE POWER SYSTEM
The electrical distribution system of a healthcare facility is complex. It is comprised of at least two power sources, power control devices, and separate infrastructure equipment (Figure 2). Normal power is typically provided by the local utility while emergency power is provided by on-
site generators [2]. Within the healthcare facility, electrical power is divided between the essential electrical system and the non-essential electrical system. The essential electrical system comprises the equipment system, the life safety branch and the critical branch of the emergency system, and is intended only for those systems intended for life safety [2]. This includes the equipment needed for emergency egress, which includes the security system.

Electrical power provided to the security system is required to be connected to the equipment branch of the essential electrical system in a healthcare facility [2]. When a LPS is installed, SPDs are required to provide protection to the electrical system and critical processes in a staged approach. (Figure 2, Item 1) [4,5]. When the generators are located outside, additional SPDs are needed to provide protection (Figure 2, Item 2) [5]. The cascading of SPDs throughout the facility provides a complete and effective approach to reducing transient overvoltages from affecting equipment and processes.

HEALTHCARE SECURITY SYSTEM

A security system for healthcare facilities can consist of numerous cameras and other detection and monitoring devices (Figure 3). Security systems require operation specific cameras, recording devices, uninterruptable power supplies (UPS) for back-up power, precision HVAC equipment, and SPDs.

The preferred method of recording devices uses stand alone digital video recorders (DVRs). DVRs are commonly provided in rackmount enclosures for ease of connection, ability to maintain required environmental conditions, and overall security. Monitors are provided remotely within the security center.

Connection of cameras to the security center’s DVR(s) can be accomplished by a wired or wireless infrastructure. While the wireless infrastructure is advancing, it is still hindered by the inability of wireless frequencies to penetrate reinforced structures of a healthcare facility. The preferred connection for security systems is the wired infrastructure, with a growing popularity towards Category 5e and Category 6 infrastructures.

Point of use protection should be provided within the environmental controlled rackmount system. Using a rackmount SPD topology can provide convenient installation of surge protection that will protect the DVR, the environmental system, and any accompanying Ethernet communication systems. Using rackmount topology for Ethernet protection is advisable over discrete devices as it provides easy installation and ensures that all grounds for remote cameras and other devices are bonded together.

POWERING AND PROTECTING REMOTE CAMERAS

All security cameras require power and communication...
circuitry to communicate to the security office. Powering a security camera is achieved with 12 VDC or 24 VDC from an external power supply. The external power supply of security cameras are typically hardwired to the electrical distribution panel as they are more tamper resistant than connecting to NEMA 5-15R receptacles. Video transmission to the security central office and control of the pan, tilt, zoom (PTZ) functions of the camera are accomplished through Ethernet communications.

Protection from lightning induced transients is required on the Ethernet and AC power lines (Figure 5). Security cameras installed in outdoor LPZ0 or indoor LPZ1 environments requires the same level of protection as those installed at the service entrance locations [1]. For AC power SPDs the minimum required surge current handling capability is 20 kA of 8/20 µs current per mode [3]. Ethernet communication SPDs are required to have a minimum surge current handling capability is 10 kA of 8/20 µs current per mode [3]. Security cameras installed in indoor applications also need SPDs for protection, but the current handling capability is less demanding.

Protection of the Ethernet system can be troublesome if two basic fundamental rules are not followed. First, SPDs are required to attenuate lightning induced transients and allow Ethernet signals to pass without attenuation. Effective lightning transient mitigation for Ethernet systems is best achieved through using hybrid model that incorporates components that are capable of diverting high-energy transients, and components that capable of attenuating or diverting low-energy transients (Figure 6).

Gas discharge tubes (GDT) are effective components for reducing high-energy transients. Additional attenuation of lightning induced transients is achieved by thyristors (CR). When properly designed, positive temperature coefficient (PTC) resistors provide effective isolation between the GDT and the thyristor thereby allowing devices with differing specifications to work in conjunction with each other. PTC resistors are also effective at minimizing transient currents that may be caused by differing ground potentials between the central security office equipment and security cameras. Additional components may be required to ensure that Ethernet signals are not attenuated.

Secondly, if a shielded Ethernet cable is provided, the shield should not connect solidly to ground at the
DVR (source) and the security camera (load). To eliminate circulating ground currents, a solid connection to ground should occur at the DVR, but be isolated at the security camera [6]. The shield of the Ethernet cable can be connected to a ground connection at the security camera if it is achieved through a high-frequency connection (Figure 6). A high-frequency connection of the shield to ground is accomplished by either a discrete capacitor (C), through the parasitic capacitance of a GDT, or other components.

CONCLUSION
Advanced technology continues to be deployed throughout the healthcare system to meet various newly
imposed regulations. Advanced technology is not only used to improve patient outcomes, but also to improve emergency and security systems within the healthcare facility. Security systems are included as a part of the essential electrical system and should be protected from lightning induced transients. The best protection is provided by proper bonding of the system grounds.

Whenever an LPS is installed, SPDs are also needed to provide effective protection against lightning. AC Power SPDs should be installed on the electrical distribution system, and point of use locations. For security systems, AC Power SPDs should be installed on the incoming AC power of the environmentally controlled rackmount system located in the security office. Ethernet SPDs should be installed on all conductors prior entering/exiting the security system enclosure.

At the remote security cameras, AC Power and Ethernet SPDs should also be installed. Hardwired SPDs provide the best protection against tampering. Equipment located in LPZ0 or LPZ1 should be properly rated to provide adequate protection. Ethernet SPDs require design technologies that are capable of providing effective transient protection, but not hindering the quality of the video signals or the communication of the control system designed to move the camera or its lens: pan, tilt, zoom (PTZ) functions. If Ethernet cables with shields are used, the shield should use high-frequency grounding techniques to reduce ground loop currents.
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Many disturbance sources can affect the correct functioning and therefore the safety of an aircraft. The latest commercial airliner designs include many technological changes that have necessitated a review of avionic system test requirements.

The trend today is to move toward structures made from Carbon Fibre Reinforced Plastic (CFRP) materials that offer all the strength of previous materials but with a significant saving in weight. The last few decades have seen increasing amounts of composite material used in aircraft construction, culminating in the latest designs from Airbus (A350) and Boeing (787). The latter includes over 50% CFRP materials.

In parallel the type and amount of electrical and electronic systems used has also increased dramatically. Some of the latest systems relying on variable frequency power and electrical systems for everything from the galley coffee machine to replacing traditional hydraulic control of the flying surfaces.

The requirement RTCA DO-160 and EUROCAE ED-14 embody many of the tests to be performed on commercial aircraft. Both documents are in fact published under an agreement between the RTCA, EUROCAE and the Society of Aerospace Engineers so that the content is identical.

All parts of DO-160 are equally important in their own right, but sections 22 and 17 are the focus for this discussion.

Section 22 discusses test requirements for indirect lightning testing of equipment mounted within an aircraft.

Latest generation aircraft require tests to the avionics equipment for which no experience existed. The responsibility is very much on the aircraft manufacturer to ensure that appropriate testing is performed. DO-160 / ED-14 remains the base for these tests, but separate standards are emerging for specific aircraft types with requirements to take account of construction, materials and amount of electronics.

INDIRECT LIGHTNING REQUIREMENTS

DO-160 / ED-14 section 22 defines a series of waveforms that represent impulse energy entering an aircraft and being induced into cable bundles within the structure. This can occur through resistive coupling or via induced E and H fields. Based on many decades of experience, six waveforms have been defined and are applied as damage assessment (PIN Injection) with fixed test system impedances or cable and ground injection disturbance (Single Stroke, Multiple Stroke & Multiple Burst) tests.

Cable bundle and ground injection tests specify a Test level (voltage of current) that should be achieved in the cable. This
is coupled with a Limit level for either current or voltage. Waveforms are defined as having a predominately voltage form (WF2, 3, 4), current form (WF1, 3, 5A, 5B, 6) or a hybrid form (WF3). This latter can be defined in terms of either voltage or current.

There is no definition of test equipment impedance and the cable bundle impedance can have a significant influence on the test result.

To take account of changes in designs, aircraft manufacturers are basing their requirements on the principles in DO-160 but with some deviations.

**WHAT DOES THIS MEAN FOR THE TEST EQUIPMENT AND THE TEST ENGINEER?**

The DO-160 / ED-14 method requires constant monitoring of the impulses as the test signal is increased to the desired level. This is labour intensive and there is a risk that the cable impedance could prevent either the TEST or LIMIT level from being reached.

This situation requires a further test process and much more time. As these test types can already run for many days or even weeks, a further extension is undesirable.

Boeing test requirements set a Voltage level that should be reached in the cable, even if it means exceeding the current limit. This is still dependent on the cable impedance and may necessitate changing test equipment.

Airbus has arrived at a solution that is independent of cable impedance and in fact in line with most international impulse standards. The test system (generator, coupler and cables) is calibrated with fixed impedance as for PIN injection. After calibration, the test can be performed without monitoring impulse levels in the cable bundle.

A hybrid generator is a circuit design where the dynamic behavior is well known. The impulse waveform is specified in open circuit and again in short circuit. The advantage of this design is that independent of the load impedance (e.g. cable length, aluminium or carbon fibre structure) the test results are repeatable and comparable. A hybrid solution is the only generator design that gives comparable test results over the complete EUT load range.

**VOLTAGE SPIKE REQUIREMENTS**

The DO-160 / ED-14 section 17 specifies requirements for voltage spikes in an aircraft power system. There have always been voltage spikes transmitted around system cabling in aircraft. In modern times, increasing complexity of electronic systems and the advent of full computer control of platforms has meant that voltage spikes need to be addressed at a whole different level to the past. Aircraft contain many sources that can "generate" voltage spikes. The most likely source of voltage spikes are electric motors. All motors are inductors fitted in the power line. Energy is stored in the inductors magnetic field and when power is removed, is released as a spike with amplitude proportional to the inductor and therefore the motor size.

In modern platforms there is a tendency to add more and more motors to automate certain functions. Aircraft have huge motors for flap and landing gear actuation. Because of the motor size, spikes can attain many times the nominal voltage level.

The phenomenon is fairly well known and many standards already exist, however, with the increasing technological challenges, existing ideas need to be challenged and revised where necessary. In particular the use of "fly-by-wire" technology requires a new level of immunity to voltage spikes to ensure aircraft safety.

**REQUIREMENTS**

DO-160 and ED-14 are identical and in section 17 address the voltage spike test requirements. The "classic" 2/10us impulse is used with a generator impedance of 50ohm to test AC and DC systems.

The latest edition of Airbus ABD0100.1.8 specifies test-
ing requirements for the A350XWB aircraft. It is tailored specifically to include the experience gained by Airbus over many decades. The voltage spike tests included in this document, were originally proposed as specification AMD-24 C intended for the A400M military transport aircraft and A380 double decker. Based on the 2/10us impulse, this requirement adds a further 4 waveforms. The 2/50us, 2/100us, 2/200us and 2/400us. As pulse width increases, the test amplitude reduces. The intent is to inject higher energy levels into EUT cables, thus improving on the basic DO160/ED14 requirements. In order to achieve this aim, the generator impedance is reduced to 50ohm for all pulses except the 2/10us, this remains at 500ohm. There is a logical explanation for reducing the impedance. Power supplies tend to have very low impedances, so to transfer maximum energy from the generator into the cable the two should, ideally, be matched.

The longer impulses generate more energy at lower frequencies. A generator with high output impedance feeding a low impedance load will burn energy internally rather than transfer it to the load. The 2µs rise-time generates frequencies (at the 3dB point) of approximately 200kHz, so the majority of the energy is at lower frequencies.

In all cases, DO-160, ED-14 and ABD0100.1.8, specify injection on cable bundles only. The preferred application method in all cases is SERIAL injection. This requires the impulse to be coupled into the EUT cable bundle using an inductive coupling clamp. Serial injection is most widely used due to the non-intrusive nature of the coupling. The type of coupler used must have the correct bandwidth to accurately transmit the impulse and not saturate when AC or DC power from the EUT is passed through it.

For power line coupling, there would be a tendency for impulse energy to flow into the low impedance power supply rather than the EUT. This is often overlooked but is simply addressed by inclusion of a 10µF capacitor fitted between phase and neutral or phase to phase for 3-phase supplies. This adds a high impedance block for the impulse energy, directing it to the EUT.

A common thread running through the various standards is the need to superimpose the impulse on all lines simultaneously. What does this mean? Should every cable attached to an EUT be subjected to the impulse at the same time, or does this requirement refer to a all the wires in a single cable bundle. The diagram in DO160 / EUROCAE which shows the testing one cable at a time.

However, ABD0100.1.8 does request SIMULTANEOUS testing on ALL CABLES containing power lines connected to any one EUT. This is logical as voltage spikes circulating in a system will impinge on all power interfaces simultaneously.

**CONCLUSION**

Safety of airliners and the travelling public is paramount. To maintain the current high standard as technology evolves, there is a need to re-think test requirements.

Nicholas Wright was educated at Worcester College, England. He has worked on military projects for the UK M.O.D., Marconi and GEC Avionics. Moving into the field of EMC, he has held posts as product manager and regional sales manager, culminating in his current position as international sales manager for EMC Partner based in Switzerland.
Fundamentals of EMC Design: Our Products Are Trying To Help Us
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1. INTRODUCTION

We often design electronic products only to find that when we test them for electromagnetic compatibility (EMC), their emissions and/or immunity are not as good as we need them to be.

Usually, at this time, we feel as if we are fighting against the laws of physics to contain the conducted and radiated emissions, or to reduce susceptibility.

But in fact the laws of physics — Maxwell’s Equations — are causing our design to have the best emissions and immunity that the physical structure allows. We might say that our product is doing the very best it can to reduce its emissions and improve its immunity!

(I am using the word “product” to mean every type of electronic assembly, from modules, subsystems, equipment and systems, to installations.)

The key issue — is that all currents (including strays) always flow in closed loops, and always take the path of least impedance, whether this path is along conductors or through the air (or other dielectrics) between them.

Current flows in the path of least impedance to minimize the energy in its associated electric and magnetic fields, rather like the way a drop of water in air assumes a globular shape to minimize the energy in its surface tension.

Because currents naturally take the paths that result in the lowest EM field energies, they automatically give us the best emissions and immunity of which our design is capable. Rather than fighting the laws of physics, what we are fighting is our own lack of understanding of how the laws of physics work. Once we understand this we can work with these laws from the start of our design, to easily and quickly create cost-effective products that meet their EMC specifications.

Unfortunately, the way that Maxwell’s Equations are taught doesn’t show how easy it is to derive (without any mathematics!) the easiest, simplest, most profitable way to design products using good EMC engineering techniques [1].

Signal Integrity (SI) and Power Integrity (PI) are subsets of EMC engineering, so employing good EMC design techniques from the start of a new project ensures excellent SI and PI (see [2]).

This has the effect of considerably reducing the number of design iterations, generally reducing overall cost of manufacture, and reducing time-to-market.

Time-to-market has, since 2000, become the most important issue for a financially successful electronic product. This is shown by the industry responses to Question 6 in [3], see Figure 1, and I have seen other reports from similar prestigious organizations that show the same for most electronic applications.
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It is often found in practice that employing good EMC design techniques from the start of a project improves functional performance, sometimes even giving signal quality and functional specifications better than anything that had ever been achieved before.

Unfortunately, some project/engineering managers insist on the lowest Bill Of Materials (BOM) cost, believing that this will somehow lead to the most profitable product.

Where it prevents us from working with the laws of physics, we often find ourselves fighting this ill-advised and plainly incorrect approach (see [4]). The result is a number of additional delays and cost-increases (e.g. adding filtering and shielding to pass EMC tests) that increase the overall cost-of-manufacture, delay market introduction, reduce profitability and increase financial risks.

For example, the ideal printed circuit board (PCB) layer stack for good EMC design of a given product might have eight layers, but the minimum SI and functional specifications can be met with just six. The cost-saving achieved by using the six-layer board is considerably outweighed by the extra delay and cost of adding filtering and shielding at the end of the project to meet its EMC specifications.

The overall cost of manufacture ends up being much higher than would have been achieved with an eight-layer PCB, and the (more important) time-to-market is delayed by several weeks – which in some situations can make the difference between a product’s success and its failure.

This article briefly introduces the laws of physics as they apply to our products’ SI, PI and EMC design issues, developing an “EM Design Toolkit”. It then briefly describes applying that toolkit to a PCB assembly example.

I wrote a similar type of article on applying these same laws of physics to ease the EMC design of systems and installations or any size, [5], which might be of interest to some readers.

### 2. EXTERNAL AND INTERNAL EMC

Apart from DC issues such as the fan-out of DC signals or the voltage drop caused by resistance in DC power conductors, all SI and PI issues are just subsets of EMC, as Figure 2 tries to show (also see [2]). They might be called “internal EMC” – the product interfering with itself. For more detail on this, see Chapter 8 of [6] or 2.10 of [7].

#### 3. EVERYTHING HAS PERMEABILITY (µ) AND PERMITTIVITY (ε)

All media and materials in this universe have conductivity, permeability (µ) and permittivity (ε).

- In vacuum (and air): $\mu_0 = 4\pi \times 10^{-7}$ Henrys/meter
  $\varepsilon_0 = (1/36\pi \times 10^{-9})$ Farads/meter

Other media and materials are characterized by their relative permeability (µR) and permittivity (εR) – dimensionless numbers, just multipliers for the vacuum permeability and permittivity – so their overall permeability is: $\mu_0 \mu_R$ and their overall permittivity is: $\varepsilon_0 \varepsilon_R$.

Permeability is associated with inductive EM energy, which we draw as magnetic field contour lines.

Permittivity is associated with capacitive EM energy, which we draw as electric field contour lines.

Conductivity (and its reciprocal, resistivity) is associated with energy loss, i.e. the conversion of EM energy (magnetic or electric) into thermal energy.

The shape and size of conductive structures carrying current, and the $\mu_0 \mu_R$ and $\varepsilon_0 \varepsilon_R$ of the media or materials they are embedded in, cause inductance (L) and capacitance (C), respectively.

This means that whenever there is a fluctuating voltage (V) there is always an associated current (I).

And vice-versa: whenever there is a fluctuating current (I) there is always an associated voltage (V).

Some digital designers assume that because the input resistance of a CMOS gate is several MΩ, PCB traces carrying digital signal voltages carry no (or a very tiny) current. This is incorrect because it ignores the inevitable (and unavoidable) stray capacitance of the traces and the gate input.

For example, with a gate input capacitance of 3pF and a 3 Volt digital signal rise-time of 300ps (quite slow these days) the peak current required just to charge up this single input gate alone is about 30mA. This intense current “spike” must flow in a loop that includes the DC power supply distribution network, so can cause all manner of SI, PI and...
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EMC problems.

In insulators and dielectrics (e.g. air, PVC, fiberglass) $\mu_0 \mu_R$ and $\varepsilon_0 \varepsilon_R$ cause analogous effects to inductance and capacitance – so whenever there is a fluctuating electric field (E) there is always an associated magnetic field (H).

And vice-versa: whenever there is a fluctuating magnetic field (H) there is always an associated electric field (E).

Chapter 2 of [6] and 2.3 of [7] have more details on the above.

4. BECAUSE OF MAXWELL’S EQUATIONS...

Every fluctuating voltage or current is really EM power (Watts, i.e. rate of flow of electrical energy), propagating as a wave in the medium with velocity $v = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mu_0 \mu_R \varepsilon_0 \varepsilon_R}}$ m/s ($\approx 3.108$ m/s in air or vacuum) and creating electromagnetic (EM) fields as it does so.

This applies to every kind of electrical event, whether we call it electrical power; electronic or radio signals; infra-red; light; lightning, etc., and including all mains 60Hz power; analogue, digital and switch-mode power and signals; data communications; radio-frequencies (RF) and microwaves, etc., including all electrical, electronic, or radio “noises”.

Figure 3 is an attempt at visualizing a single vector of an EM wave at a single frequency, as it propagates in free space. Its shows that the E and H fields are perpendicular to each other, and that they both fluctuate in directions perpendicular to the direction in which the EM power is propagating.

The usual analogy is with waves on the ocean, which propagate wave energy across the surface of the ocean even though the molecules of seawater in a wave only move up and down.

A common way of visualizing the E and H fields associated with voltages and currents in conductors, is shown in Figure 4, for a send/return pair of conductors shown in cross section. E-field lines always terminate on conductors, perpendicular to their surface, and H-field lines never terminate on anything.

These lines should be considered like contour lines on a geographical map – they are not real, but their density (number of lines per inch) indicates the strength of the field (like the slope of a hill). So we can see that the E and H field strengths are highest in between the send and return conductors.

The electrical power associated with the current in the wires propagates along the length of the wires. Because Figure 4 shows the wires in cross section, the electrical power (i.e. propagating EM energy) is flowing perpendicular to the surface of the page or screen with which you are reading these words, and the E and H fields it sketches are fluctuating in the plane of the paper or screen.

Maxwell’s famous four equations include Amperes Law, which says that currents always flow in closed loops, and Faraday’s Law of electromagnetic induction, which says that currents always flow in such a way as to minimize their loop areas.

Maxwell himself invented the concept of displacement current, showing how a fluctuating current could flow through capacitance even though there was no conductive path for it.

5. BECAUSE OF THE LAW OF CONSERVATION OF ENERGY...

Ignoring the virtual particles in the “quantum vacuum”, [8], there is always zero EM power at any point in space. The EM power entering a point must be exactly balanced by the EM power leaving it.

This is Kirchoff’s current law, which is often described as: “the sum of the currents at any point equals zero”, and is equivalent to Ampere’s Law.

Another way of putting this is to say that all currents flow in closed loops. If some current could escape from a loop and go wandering off on its own, never to return, then at the point where it left the main loop there would be an imbalance in the current. Current would accumulate at that point, and the Law of Conservation of Energy tells us this can’t happen (in our universe, anyway).

So we see that Conservation of Energy (in this context...
sometimes called the Law of Conservation of Charge) means we could rewrite Kirchhoff’s current law as: “the sum of the EM power at any point equals zero”.

This means that at any circuit node that sends a current (whether power, signals, noise, etc.) also simultaneously emits an antiphase current that we call the return current. These send and return currents propagate through the impedances of the various media (air, conductors, etc.), eventually meeting up to create what we think of as send/return current loops. At any instant in time, the currents in the send and return current paths balance each other out.

Notice that because all power, signal and (stray) noise currents, of any kind, flow in closed loops, this means that the connection to the safety earth/ground electrodes generally has no relevance at all for good SI, PI or EMC design.

(In poor EMC designs, stray current loops can travel through the safety earth/ground, using it as a convenient conductive structure, and causing high levels of emissions and poor immunity.)

6. BUT IT’S REALLY ALL BECAUSE OF QUANTUM ELECTRODYNAMICS (QED)

How did the return currents “know” what paths to follow to exactly match up with their respective send currents?

Prof. Feynman’s slim book, [9], says that propagating EM energy (light is also EM energy) takes the path of least time – which is also the path of least energy – which is also the path that gives the best SI, PI and EMC possible for a given geometry and media/materials (although this last conclusion is not found in [9]).

To find out how propagating EM energy “knows” to do this, we have to integrate over the whole of space and time, including negative time. This was Prof. Feynman’s great insight, which made the world of quantum electrodynamics amenable to calculation, and is responsible for much of modern electronic technologies.

But when Prof. Feynman’s students asked him what underpinned this natural behavior, he said no one knew and there was simply no point in even asking the question. It was just the way nature worked. However, some progress is now being made in answering this question, with the favored solutions being the “many worlds” or “parallel universes” theory, which is known to be true because otherwise quantum computers wouldn’t work.

A characteristic of QED is that it defies common sense and destroys the time relationship between cause and effect, with some outcomes that can seem very weird. Apparently, with sensitive enough instruments listeners could hear what the outcome of a ball game would be by listening to radio broadcasts from the future! Unfortunately it only reaches a few femtoseconds into the future – not enough time to place a winning bet.

Also, QED permits the power budget for a point to deviate from zero for a few femtoseconds, but after that the Law of Conservation of Energy insists that the power books have to balance to zero once again, as described in 5 above.

Maxwell’s Equations and related laws of physics describe a common-sense, cause-and-effect world in which understanding basic concepts makes it quite easy and quick to design low-overall-cost good SI, PI and EMC – but the QED concepts that underpin this are very weird and wonderful.

Despite its weirdness, QED is the most well-proven theory ever known, and has been proven to be accurate to about 11 orders of magnitude more than has (so far) been possible for gravity.

Happily, for all SI, PI and EMC work, engineers need go no deeper than Maxwell’s Equations and Conservation of Energy (or Charge).

7. WHAT DOES ALL THE ABOVE MEAN FOR SI, PI AND EMC?

7.1 EM power divides between alternate paths according to their admittances

In the “far field” of an EM source, E and H fields experience the “wave impedance” of the media or materials their EM power is propagating through:

- in air or vacuum: \( \sqrt{\frac{\mu_0}{\varepsilon_0}}=120\pi \Omega \) (near enough 377\( \Omega \))
- in other media (e.g. PVC, oil, fiberglass, etc.): \( 120\pi\sqrt{\frac{\mu_R}{\varepsilon_R}} \Omega \)

\( \mu_0 \) and \( \varepsilon_0 \) are the magnetic and electric constants, respectively, in free space.

7.2 How to deal with return currents

How did the return currents “know” what paths to follow to exactly match up with their respective send currents? Prof. Feynman’s slim book, [9], says that propagating EM energy (light is also EM energy) takes the path of least time – which is also the path of least energy – which is also the path that gives the best SI, PI and EMC possible for a given geometry and media/materials (although this last conclusion is not found in [9]).

To find out how propagating EM energy “knows” to do this, we have to integrate over the whole of space and time, including negative time. This was Prof. Feynman’s great insight, which made the world of quantum electrodynamics amenable to calculation, and is responsible for much of modern electronic technologies.

But when Prof. Feynman’s students asked him what underpinned this natural behavior, he said no one knew and there was simply no point in even asking the question. It was just the way nature worked. However, some progress is now being made in answering this question, with the favored solutions being the “many worlds” or “parallel universes” theory, which is known to be true because otherwise quantum computers wouldn’t work.

A characteristic of QED is that it defies common sense and destroys the time relationship between cause and effect, with some outcomes that can seem very weird. Apparently, with sensitive enough instruments listeners could hear what the outcome of a ball game would be by listening to radio broadcasts from the future! Unfortunately it only reaches a few femtoseconds into the future – not enough time to place a winning bet.

Also, QED permits the power budget for a point to deviate from zero for a few femtoseconds, but after that the Law of Conservation of Energy insists that the power books have to balance to zero once again, as described in 5 above.

Maxwell’s Equations and related laws of physics describe a common-sense, cause-and-effect world in which understanding basic concepts makes it quite easy and quick to design low-overall-cost good SI, PI and EMC – but the QED concepts that underpin this are very weird and wonderful.

Despite its weirdness, QED is the most well-proven theory ever known, and has been proven to be accurate to about 11 orders of magnitude more than has (so far) been possible for gravity.

Happily, for all SI, PI and EMC work, engineers need go no deeper than Maxwell’s Equations and Conservation of Energy (or Charge).

7. WHAT DOES ALL THE ABOVE MEAN FOR SI, PI AND EMC?

7.1 EM power divides between alternate paths according to their admittances

In the “far field” of an EM source, E and H fields experience the “wave impedance” of the media or materials their EM power is propagating through:

- in air or vacuum: \( \sqrt{\frac{\mu_0}{\varepsilon_0}}=120\pi \Omega \) (near enough 377\( \Omega \))
- in other media (e.g. PVC, oil, fiberglass, etc.): \( 120\pi\sqrt{\frac{\mu_R}{\varepsilon_R}} \Omega \)

\( \mu_0 \) and \( \varepsilon_0 \) are the magnetic and electric constants, respectively, in free space.
These simple wave impedance formulae are only true in the “far field”, typical for radio transmission and reception, whereas in the “near field” the impedance situation is more complex, and the dominant effects on the impedance of a path through the air or other dielectric are inductive and capacitive coupling – often called “stray” or “parasitic” inductance and capacitance. See Chapter 2.4 of [6] or 2.3.3 of [7], for more on this, including how to calculate whether we are in the near or far field.

For EM waves propagating along conductive structures (what we call power, signals or stray currents flowing in cables and PCB traces), the medium surrounding them has an important effect on impedance, but so does the shape of the structures carrying the current and the shape and proximity of nearby conductors – most especially the return conductor(s), but any other conductors in the near field will also have an effect.

So EM waves propagating along conductors can experience impedances that are lower, or higher, than the impedance of the medium surrounding them.

This means that for a fluctuating current travelling along a conductor there are always alternative paths in the air and other dielectrics, so its send/return current loop is never a simple one.

In fact, all currents always split and flow in multiple alternative paths, in proportions according to the admittances of each of the paths (a path’s admittance is the reciprocal of its impedance).

This is conceptually no different from the way that a DC current flowing through a bunch of parallel resistors will divide up according to their various conductances (reciprocal of their resistances) – with the highest current flowing in the resistance with the lowest value (i.e. the highest conductance).

The big difference for fluctuating currents is what is sometimes called “the invisible schematic” – the impedances of the stray capacitances and inductances, which are alternative paths for EM energy to flow in, which successful practical EMC engineers learn to visualize whenever they look at conductive structures.

Each part of a current loop has several alternative paths. The paths can be along conductors or through components and devices, or through the stray paths in the insulation, PCB substrate, air, etc.

It simply doesn’t matter to a propagating EM wave. The conductors, components and devices that we designed, and the stray capacitive/inductive coupling and “accidental antenna” emissions (see 7.2) that we didn’t design and not wanted (but can’t be prevented entirely) all just look like different admittances (reciprocals of their impedances).

For example, a significant portion of the EM wave power might leave a conductor and continue on its path by travelling through the air – for example as a (capacitive, E-field) displacement current – if it sees that air path as having impedance comparable with that of the conductor.

When a conductor resonates (i.e. is not a well-matched-impedance transmission line, see 7.6) in a way that creates a high impedance, a “stray capacitance” path through the air can easily create a lower loop impedance, causing most of the current to travel as displacement currents.

And where an air path resonates in a way that creates a low impedance, it could easily create a path with much less loop impedance than that of the intended conductors, so once again most of the current can travel as E-field displacement currents in the air.

We could say that our main task of SI, PI and/or EMC designers is to reduce the proportion of the EM waves (wanted currents) that “leak out” of our conductors – “escaping” into nearby conductors via stray capacitance and inductance (what we call crosstalk), and also “escaping” into the air as far-field EM waves (what we call EM emissions and measured with antennas in test labs).

It is important to understand that every current loop, however formed, with however many branching current paths going wherever, always has to return exactly 100% of the EM energy back to its source, to comply with the law of conservation of energy.

Actually, the reality of power and signal propagation is not that a current starts off from a voltage source and eventually returns back to it – having flowed around a loop or loops – but that the send and return currents are actually generated simultaneously by the source, and balance each other out at every instant thereafter.

Anyway, this perspective that current flows in multiple paths according to their admittances, shows that – to achieve good SI, PI and/or EMC – all we need to do is control the impedances in the various paths that are available to our wanted signals or power currents, so that they travel predominantly in the loops we want them to.

For example, if it was possible to design so that no signal or power current was “lost” to alternative paths, then we must have no crosstalk, no emissions, and – as a direct result – our product’s SI and PI must be perfect and its EM emissions zero (see [2]). Also, by the Principle of Reciprocity (see 7.2 below), its RF immunity would be perfect.

Of course, perfection is never achieved but we can get close enough to reduce emissions to sufficiently small amounts, and improve immunity by as much as is needed, without adding significantly to the overall cost of manufacture, simply by working with the laws of physics.

For more detail on this topic, see Chapter 2 of [6], 2.3 of [7] or 10.1.4 in [10].

7.2 All conductors are “accidental antennas”

A transmitting antenna is merely a conductor that intentionally leaks its voltages and currents as EM power into the air. A receiving antenna is simply a conductor that intentionally picks up voltages and currents from the EM fields around it.

Of course, the more usual situation is that we don’t want our conductors to transmit (leak) some of their EM power, or pick up noise from the environment. EMC engineers usually call the fact that they always do leak and pick-up: “accidental antenna behavior” or “unintentional
When a conductor is exposed to E, H or EM waves propagating in its insulating medium (e.g. the air), its electrical/electronic circuit experiences the same voltage and current noise that we would need to create if we wanted to generate the exact same field pattern at the conductors. This is called the Principle of Reciprocity.

The Principle of Reciprocity also applies to accidental antennas, so when a conductor carrying a current has imperfect control of the wanted current loop that results in noise emissions, it will suffer noise pick-up from its EM environment in exactly the same way.

When electronic engineers are discussing SI or PI, they usually call accidental antenna behavior crosstalk, and they notice that the same techniques that reduce the noise coupled from the crosstalk’s “aggressor” or source also help reduce the noise picked up by the crosstalk’s “victim” – another example of the Principle of Reciprocity.

### 7.3 Current loop size and coupling

The transfer of EM power from one conductive circuit to another – whether intentional or not – is called EM coupling. It can be described by “coupling coefficients” which are (of course) frequency dependent because they represent stray capacitance and inductance.

Up to the first resonant frequency, the larger the area of the send/return current path’s total loop, the larger its impedance, the smaller its admittance, and the larger its E and H field patterns and hence its coupling with other conductors.

As shown in Figures 5 for E-fields and Figure 6 for H-fields (and Figure 10, see later) the larger the current loop, the higher is the proportion of its wanted current that couples with (leaks into) “victim” circuits, causing higher levels of noise currents flowing in unwanted loops, increasing the waveform distortion in wanted signals, and worsening emissions and immunity.

Figures 5 and 6 show us that it is important to minimize the send/return current loop areas, for all circuits – whether they are accidental transmitters or receivers of EM noise – to maximize their SI, PI and EMC. For more detail on this, see Chapter 5 of [6], 2.7 of [7] or 10.1 of [10].

### 7.4 All currents (including strays) naturally take the path of least impedance

The fact that currents naturally “prefer” to flow in the path with the smallest loop area and lowest impedance (described in 6 above) is the only way that I know of where the laws of physics work with SI, PI and EMC designers, instead of against us.

Computer field solvers show this phenomenon very clearly. Figures 7 and 8 are copied from [11], and show that when a bent wire carrying a current is routed close to a sheet metal chassis that it is using as a return path, the return current flows almost exclusively in the metal that lies underneath the wire, following its bent path, at frequencies above about 1kHz.

This is because the return path in the metal sheet below the bent wire creates the current loop area with the lowest possible overall impedance for that structure, even though the return current has to go around a bend to achieve it.

The red dotted lines in Figure 8 were drawn by the authors of [11] to help readers understand where the mean or average current return paths lie, because the EM field solver simply provides color gradients.

Notice that above 1kHz, although the return current is flowing in part of the metal sheet, the rest of the sheet is “quiet” – i.e. it has no currents flowing in it and so no voltage drops across it.

Circuits using those quiet parts of the sheet for their current return paths (e.g. as their 0V plane) do not suffer any voltage noises from the bent wire’s return currents. (At 100Hz and below the impedance of the sheet is so low that the voltage noise caused in the other circuits by the now-widely-spreading return current are generally negligible).

This is a very important result that shows that we can have many different segregated areas of circuits (e.g. digital, analogue, switch-mode, etc) sharing the same 0V plane (which I will start to call the RF Reference in 8 below) without their “ground noise” currents causing crosstalk or interference between the areas. See Chapter 7 of [7] and all of [12] for more detail on using this fact to help achieve low-cost SI, PI
and EMC.

I have seen this sort of simulation done many times, with wire-over-sheet structures like Figure 7 or with PCB traces over planes (e.g. slides 46-50 in [13]), and I have also seen it done as practical demonstrations using close-field probes. The results are the same, up to however many GHz one cares to go.

### 7.5 Power and signals in conductors have two modes of wave propagation

**Differential Mode**, DM (also called transverse or metallic mode) is what we call our “wanted” power and signals.

**Common Mode**, CM (also known as “longitudinal mode” or “antenna mode”) is caused by the stray, leaked, “unwanted” EM energy when a DM loop’s near-field E or H fields meet another conductor, as shown in Figures 5 and 6. It also occurs when far-field EM waves couple power from the wanted signal in its intended circuit, to another circuit – accidental radio transmission and reception.

Figure 9 shows the relative paths of the DM and CM currents in a simplified system.

Paraphrasing 7.1 above – the electricity does not all stay in the wire!

Some of it travels as stray CM currents, which – like all currents – must flow in closed loops.

Because CM loops are generally very much larger than the DM loops that caused them, their E and H field patterns are much more widely spread. The result that CM is generally the major cause of “accidental antenna” effects causing EM problems for emissions and immunity over the frequency range from 1MHz to 1GHz.

Figure 10 shows that CM currents also couple with “victim” circuits through H-field coupling, similar to how DM currents couple (in Figure 6).

Reducing the size of the CM loop reduces its H-field coupling into the victim, in the same way that reducing the size of the DM loop does in Figure 6. And reducing the size of the CM current loop also reduces the amount of E-field coupling into the victim, in the same way as for the DM E-field in Figure 5.

So, just as it is important for good SI, PI and EMC to minimize the area enclosed by all wanted (DM) current loops, it is also important for all unwanted, accidental, CM current loops. For more detail on this topic, see Chapter 5.5 of [6], 2.7.5 of [7] or 10.1.5 of [10].
7.6 Resonating conductors make perfect accidental antennas

There are various causes of resonances in conductive structures, at certain frequencies...

a) When the L and C reactances happen to be equal
b) Due to geometry interacting with wavelength

The second item concerns transmission-line matching. When mismatched conductor characteristic impedances cause propagating waves to be reflected, under certain conditions and at certain frequencies they can cause standing waves to arise, which are a type of resonance.

At resonant frequencies, loop impedances fluctuate wildly, in the range between the conductor’s series resistance (possibly just a few mΩ), up to the stray shunt resistance (possibly a few MΩ).

Accidental antenna effects (stray couplings, whether near-field or far-field) are significantly amplified by resonances, often between 10 and 100 times (20 to 40dB), possibly more, affecting both emissions and immunity equally due to the Principle of Reciprocity.

7.7 There is no such thing as “earth” or “ground” for SI, PI and EMC

Currents always flow in closed loops. So the idea that the earth/ground electrodes provide a perfect zero-impedance sink that we can use to absorb, or otherwise make unwanted electrical power, signals or noises go away, can’t possibly be true – it is a total myth, pure and simple, having no basis in reality in this universe. [13] has more on this, especially its slides 32, 33 and 79.

Because it is natural to assume that something called “earth” or “ground” is an infinite sink for noise currents – even though such a thing simply cannot exist – the use of such words or their graphical symbols encourages incorrect design for SI, PI and EMC, and I have seen millions of dollars have been wasted over the years for this exact reason.

So I always strongly recommend that the words “earth” or “ground” and their graphical symbols are never used in electronic design (except when a safety earth or ground is actually intended – and then for electrical safety purposes only). Instead, call the conductive structures by other names that mean what they say, e.g. RF Reference (see 8 below), CM Return Path, or whatever.

Using words such as “chassis”, “frame”, “enclosure”, “shield” or “Faraday Cage” can also lead to the same conceptual design errors as “earth”
or “ground” – so it is important to be very careful to only use them to mean what they actually are (i.e. mechanical structures made of metal) rather than assume they are (mythical) infinite sinks for noise currents.

For more detail on this, see 5.7 of [6] or 2.7.7 of [7], also 11.1.2 and 11.1.3 of [10].

8. APPLYING THESE “EM DESIGN TOOLS” TO A REAL-LIFE PCB ASSEMBLY

8.1 Introduction to the example

Sections 2 to 7 above have given us a set of EM design tools – really just mental concepts for how the EM energy that we call our power and signals actually prefers to flow to maximize SI, PI and EMC.

Notice that in sections 2 through 7 I intentionally used very little math; it is not necessary for an understanding of these important concepts. In fact, using equations can obscure what is really going on, which every successful EMC designer learns to “see” with his/her “mind’s eye” just by looking at the conductive structure of a product.

With the complexity of modern products it is best for the designer to understand the concepts and have “the eye” for them, leaving the calculations to the appropriate types of EM field solvers.

Anyway, now for a real-life example – controlling the EM emissions and immunity of the typical electronic product sketched in Figure 16.

To minimize the overall cost of manufacture, this PCB assembly should have good EMC characteristics, so that a lot of money and time does not have to be spent (and add weight and size) by shielding and filtering it to get it to pass its EMC tests.

Because our EM design tools are all concerned with controlling EM field patterns to minimize unwanted “noise” coupling, the exact same tools also improve immunity (e.g. maximizing immunity to nearby walkie-talkies, cellphones, GPRs, 3G, Wi-Fi and Bluetooth transmitters, and also transients, ESD and lightning).

The assumptions made in the initial design of the example were not in accordance with the “Laws of Physics Based EM Design Tools” outlined in 2 through 7 above. Instead, they represent what are unfortunately still commonplace bad practices in many electronic product design departments.

One bad practice used in our example is the use of so-called “single-point earthing/grounding” (sometimes called “star earthing/grounding”), using 0V plane splits between (and on) the PCBs. This is assumed to keep devices’ circulating return currents confined to certain circuit areas, preventing crosstalk of noise between them (e.g. digital noise in analogue) – but it only works well below a few tens of kHz.

Splitting 0V planes ignores the fact that fluctuating currents always divide up according to the admittances of the various alternative paths, including “stray” paths through the air or insulation (see 7.1 above). For this reason, since 1980, the author has always found that when microprocessors and switch-mode converters are used, single-point earthing/grounding has always been a bad design practice for SI, PI and EMC. Others will no doubt be able to give examples from before 1980.

Another bad design practice used in the example is the assumption that achieving the lowest BOM cost is sufficient to produce the most profitable product. So the number of board layers and amount of power decoupling was reduced to the minimum that achieved the functional specifications. Also, provision has not been made for fitting EMI filters to all of the cable connections, because this would have increased the board’s area.

Section 1 mentioned that relying on achieving the lowest BOM cost to create profitable products has been known to be an incorrect practice since 2000. Plain common sense easily reveals the fallacy inherent in this overly-simplistic approach – we only have to consider a product that had a BOM cost that was half (or less) of that of all its competitors – but suffered a 100% warranty return rate. Clearly, this would not be a successful product, so there is very much more to a product’s profitability than its BOM cost.

I see many designs like the example in Figure 16 every
year. They all suffer poor functional performance at first, especially poor signal-to-noise (S/N) ratios and unreliable software that take many design iterations to solve, causing project delays, increasing costs and reducing profitability.

Once the functional problems are solved, they then fail their EMC tests, requiring many more design iterations to solve, causing more delays and more project costs, plus requiring the addition of filters and shielding that increase BOM cost, weight and size and reduce profitability even more. They also suffer higher-than-hoped-for warranty return rates, which erode profitability even more.

A plot of the near-field emissions 20mm above the PCB assembly, at the stage where it meets its functional specifications but has not yet been tested for EMC, is shown in Figure 17.

What do such near-fields mean? This close to the PCB and its components they are the wanted DM signals, plus DM and CM crosstalk and noise. High levels mean reduced S/N ratios in analogue circuits, and reduced noise margins in digital circuits – leading to unreliable software.

In EMC testing, high levels of near-fields over large areas indicate high levels of conducted and radiated emissions, and correspondingly poor conducted and radiated immunity.

In real life, high levels of near-fields over large areas means a lower proportion of satisfied customers (increasing the cost of future sales, because it is easier to sell products that customers like), and higher levels of warranty costs. All causing lower profitability.

We understand, from the laws of physics discussed in sections 2 through 7, that:

- all currents (including DM and CM “noise” currents) flow in closed loops
- current loop shape and area govern field patterns
- currents naturally “prefer” to flow in the loops that have the lowest impedance – hence the smallest field patterns and best internal and external EMC.

So we can see how to make a number of improvements to the circuit design and PCB layout, to reduce the areas of the DM and CM current loops and make their near fields more compact.

8.2 Improvement #1: Create an RF Reference
We replace the multiple PCBs, with a single PCB that has a common conductor (almost always a 0V plane) over its entire area, which I shall call the RF Reference. You may choose your own name for it, as long as it is not “earth” or “ground”.

The RF Reference in a PCB is at least one solid, continuous, copper plane layer, which lies underneath – and extends well beyond – all devices, components, traces and power plane areas.

There should be no traces “snuck into” this plane layer, and any gaps in it must be unavoidable and as small as possible.

Cellphone designers found that their products’ close proximity between 2 Watt UHF or microwave RF transmitters, microphone amplifiers and digital processors meant that even the clearances around via holes added too much impedance to their RF Reference planes, so developed microvia PCB manufacturing technology (also called “High Density Interconnect” or HDI, or “Build Up”) that provides 100% solid copper RF Reference planes.

An RF Reference achieves very low impedance (Z), the value of which depends on the devices and the EMC requirements specification to be met – but it must always be <<1Ω over the frequency range that must be controlled to avoid causing/suffering EMI.

“The frequency range that must be controlled to avoid causing/suffering EMI” is all of the DM frequencies created in the devices on the PCB, and all of the frequencies existing in the operational environment and/or in the immunity test standards (if they require immunity over a larger frequency range).

Designing a profitable product is all about satisfying customers whilst selling a legal product at an overall profit, and there can be many more EMI requirements involved in satisfying customers than merely passing the minimum requirements of the minimum set of EMC test standards required for legal sales.

The point of creating an RF Reference is that it automatically provides a low-impedance (high-admittance) return path for all possible power/signal/noise currents, and CM noise currents on the PCB. Because it is in very close proximity to the PCB’s components, devices and traces, all these current loop areas are small – just what we need for good SI, PI and EMC.

It is important to realize that we don’t have to “make” the return currents flow in the RF Reference and so have the least E and H field emissions – we only have to provide an RF Reference plane and they will naturally “prefer” to flow in it rather than elsewhere! (See Figures 7 and 8). The RF Reference plane works best with lower-profile components, so we also replace any tall components and devices with ones that lie close to the PCB and its RF Reference plane layer(s).
See Chapter 7.4 of [7], 3 and 4 of [12] and 11.2.2 of [10] for more detail on creating effective low-impedance RF Reference Planes in PCBs.

8.3 Improvement #2: Decoupling the DC supplies
We design the decoupling between DC power rails and the RF Reference to achieve low Z, the value of which (as for 8.1) depends on the devices and the EMC requirements specification to be met – but must always be <<1Ω over the frequency range that must be controlled to avoid causing/suffering EMI.

This permits the fluctuating DM currents in the power rails to flow in much smaller loops very close to the devices that cause them – which they naturally “prefer” to do, rather than flowing more widely in the RF Reference – making small areas of DM near-fields that create less CM noise emissions than larger areas would.

PC motherboards now need to achieve power supply impedances of much less than 0.25 mΩ to frequencies much more than 1GHz. This is impossible to achieve with low-cost decoupling capacitors, because above about 300MHz they are beyond their self-resonant frequency and so act inductively – their impedance rises with frequency – making low-enough impedances impossible.

However, because we now have a RF Reference plane in the PCB, we can pair it with adjacent power planes to provide distributed decoupling capacitances within the PCB’s fiberglass dielectric, which can maintain very low impedances up to any number of GHz.

See Chapter 7.5 of [7], 5 of [12] and 12.1.3 of [10] for details on how to do effective decoupling on PCBs.

8.4 Improvement #3: Cable filtering
We add direct bonds or filters to the RF Reference on all traces connected to off-PCB conductors, whatever their electrical/electronic/other purpose (including metal mechanical parts; and metal hydraulic/ pneumatic pipes, etc.).

Filters on inputs can often be just a capacitor connected to the RF Reference, but filters on outputs will generally need a series resistor or soft-ferrite choke so that adding the capacitor to the RF Reference does not significantly increase the peak output current.

Of course, we might need to make more complex filters by combining capacitors with resistors and/or soft-ferrite chokes and/or CM chokes – but there are far too many details involved to even start to address this topic in this article. For more details on filtering, see Chapter 5 of [7], 2 of [12] or 13.2 of [10].

These direct bonds or filters are placed where the traces connect to the off-board conductors, to provide low-Z paths for CM currents that would otherwise “leak” from the PCB into the conductors. As for 8.1, the values of Z that are required depends on the devices and the EMC requirement specification, but must always be <<1Ω over the frequency range that must be controlled to avoid causing/suffering EMI.

8.5 Improvement #4: Using matched transmission lines
Where device data sheets specify the use of matched transmission-lines – usually for high-speed clocks or serial data lines – designers almost always remember to control their trace geometry and matching impedances.

But they generally do not consider treating all of the other traces as matched transmission lines, until they are investigating digital signal over/undershoots, ringing or other unwanted noises that cause incorrect or unstable software operation late in a project – the stage where delays and design changes are most costly.

These over/undershoots or ringing are indications of strong emissions (and poor immunity at the emission frequencies), as shown in [2]. Suppressing them to get good EMC, either by filtering at their drivers or by using matched transmission lines to reduce “accidental antenna” effects and prevent resonances, results in very low over/undershoots and no ringing. It also reduces crosstalk and makes (bug-free!) software work very reliably indeed.

EMC textbooks often make recommendations about when to treat a PCB trace or cable as a matched transmission line, but digital device rise- and fall-times are now generally so short (typically < 0.5ns for 74-series glue logic and < 0.2ns for microprocessors and memories) that almost all practical trace and cable lengths now need either to be filtered to significantly reduce their frequency content, or else be treated as matched-impedance transmission lines.

See Chapters 4.7 and 7.6 of [7] and 6 of [12] for more on designing with matched transmission lines.

8.6 The improved example
The appearance of the example PCB improved by 8.2 through 8.5 above, is shown in Figure 18. Notice that it still has one plane split, under the mains safety isolation transformer – which cannot be avoided.

Despite increasing the number of board layers to provide RF Reference and Power Supply planes, and additional planes for controlling transmission-line impedances, and despite increasing the number of decoupling capacitors and filters, it is quite normal to find that the overall cost of manufacture (not the BOM) is lower. This is because the inter-board connectors and their cables have been removed – significant causes of assembly errors and rework; unreliability and warranty returns.

Figure 19 shows the near-field plot 20mm above the improved PCB assembly, which now has only small red areas around the components. These are almost entirely the DM fields associated with the wanted power and signals, which we cannot eliminate without eliminating the power or signals themselves.

Remember, all fluctuating currents (whether power, signals or noise) are really EM energy propagating as waves, so the best we can do is provide structures that allow these currents to naturally flow in loops of low impedance (high admittance) so that they naturally create...
very small and local field patterns, with great benefits for SI, PI and EMC. When we have achieved this, as shown in Figure 19, we see very little field-spreading is seen due to CM noise currents.

8.7 Improving by using cable shielding
Where the use of filtering and unshielded cable techniques (Chapter 4.4 of [7], 2 of [12] and 13.1.8 of [10]) could not suppress the DM or CM fields around a cable by enough, shielding might be necessary for some (or all) cables and/or parts of (or the whole) PCB assembly.

9. CONCLUSIONS
All electrical and electronic activities are really EM energies travelling as propagating waves, and connecting to safety earth/ground has no effect on them so is unimportant and unnecessary for SI, PI and EMC.

We can easily design circuits and PCBs to create small, low-Z current loops for both the wanted DM and the stray CM currents, the EM waves naturally prefer to flow in these routes. So, by working with the laws of physics, we automatically achieve very compact field patterns, which are best for internal and external EMC and financial success.

Because these techniques control field patterns to minimize unwanted “noise” coupling, because of the principle or reciprocity the exact same techniques also minimize susceptibility, for example minimizing unwanted “noise” couplings.

The principles of good design techniques for SI, PI and EMC are very clear, easy to understand, and easy for everyone to implement at low cost in practice. Products really are doing their best to help us pass EMC tests and meet EMC requirement specifications – all we need to do is give them a little help, from the start of their design process.
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Figure 18. The improved example PCB assembly.

Figure 19. Near-field plot of the improved PCB assembly (simulated, or measured with near-field probes).
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electronica India and productronica India clearly demonstrate their close links to the world’s leading trade fairs electronica and productronica in Munich.

EMC EUROPE 2012 ROME
Sept. 17-21, Faculty of Engineering of “Sapienza” University of Rome, Rome, Italy
The Symposium will consist of 5-day oral and poster presentations, workshops, tutorials, special sessions, short-courses, industrial forum, and exhibits. The Preliminary Program, registration form, information on accommodation and social activities will be available in the web pages of the Symposium. The advanced registration fee will include the attendance to all technical sessions, social events, the electronic version of the Symposium Proceedings and Workshop Notes.
www.emceurope2012.it
2012 IEEE International Symposium on Electromagnetic Compatibility
August 5 – 10, 2012
Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania

Learn the Leading Edge Info on:
• EM Interference and Environments
• Shielding, Grounding, Bonding
• EMP, Lightning, ESD
• Transient Suppression
• EMC Measurement
• Signal Integrity
• EMC Management
• Nanotechnology
• Spectrum Management
• EM Product Safety

BRIDGE TO EMC
Cross over with us to the city of bridges. This event will have something for everyone — from the novice EMC engineer to the advanced practitioner. This is an opportunity to advance your knowledge, build new relationships, and reconnect with industry friends from around the world.

For Complete Event Details Visit: www.emc2012.isemc.org
Compliance with standards makes or breaks the marketing of any new product. This section recaps new and revised national and international EMC standards. The information below has been featured in our weekly Interference Technology eNews. Just go to InterferenceTechnology.com, subscribe to the eNews, and you’ll be updated on important changes in EMC standards weekly.

International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC)

EMC AND HEARING AIDS STANDARD
IEC 60118-13:2011 in principle covers all relevant EMC phenomena for hearing aids. Hearing aid immunity to high frequency electromagnetic fields originating from digital wireless devices operating in the frequency ranges 0.8 GHz to 0.96 GHz and 1.4 GHz to 2.48 GHz is currently identified as the only relevant EMC phenomenon regarding hearing aids. Future editions of this part of IEC 60118 may add tests for other frequency bands, as they come into more common use. IEC 61000-4-3 is the basis for relevant EMC tests to be conducted on hearing aids. Measurement methods and acceptance levels are described in this part of IEC 60118. This third edition cancels and replaces the second edition published in 2004 and constitutes a technical revision. It introduces a new set of requirements for use of hearing aids with mobile phones.

HIGH-VOLTAGE SWITCHGEAR AND CONTROLGEAR
Project IEC 62271-204 ed1.0, High-voltage switchgear and controlgear – Part 204: Rigid gas-insulated transmission lines for rated voltage above 52 kV, is an up to 3 months pre-release of the official publication.

This part of IEC 62271 applies to rigid HV gas-insulated transmission lines (GIL) in which the insulation is obtained, at least partly, by a non-corrosive insulating gas, other than air at atmospheric pressure, for alternating current of rated voltages above 52 kV, and for service frequencies up to and including 60 Hz.

It is intended that this international standard be used where the provisions of IEC 62271-203 do not cover the application of GIL (see NOTE 3).

At each end of the HV gas-insulated transmission line, a specific element may be used for the connection between the HV gas-insulated transmission line and other equipment like bushings, power transformers or reactors, cable boxes, metal-enclosed surge arresters, voltage transformers or GIS, covered by their own specification.

ELECTRICAL INSULATING MATERIALS AND SYSTEMS
IEC/TS 61934 ed2.0, Electrical insulating materials and systems - Electrical measurement of partial discharges (PD) under short rise time and repetitive voltage impulses, is applicable to the off-line electrical measurement of partial discharges (PD) that occur in electrical insulation systems (EIS) when stressed by repetitive voltage impulses generated from electronic power devices. Typical applications are EIS belonging to apparatus driven by power electronics, such as motors, inductive reactors and windmill generators. Excluded from the scope of this technical specification are:

- methods based on optical or ultrasonic PD detection,
- fields of application for PD measurements when stressed by non-repetitive impulse voltages such as lightning impulse or switching impulses from switchgear.

The principal changes with regard to the previous edition concern the addition of:

- an Introduction that provides some background information on the progress being made in the field of power electronics;
- impulse generators;
- PD detection methods;
- a new informative Annex C covering practical experience obtained from round-robin testing (RRT);
- example of noise levels, as shown in new informative Annex D.

IEC 61000-4-16 ED1.2 CONSOL. WITH AM162
Electromagnetic compatibility (EMC) - Part 4-16: Testing and measurement techniques - Test for immunity to conducted, common mode disturbances in the frequency range 0 Hz to 150 kHz IEC 61000-4-16:1998+A1:2001+A2:2009, establishes a common and reproducible basis for testing electrical and electronic equipment with the application of common mode disturbances to power supply, control, signal and communication ports. This standard defines test voltage and current waveform, range of test levels, test equipment, test set-up and test procedures. The test is intended to demonstrate the immunity of electrical and electronic equipment when subjected to conducted, common mode disturbances such as those originating from power line currents and return leakage currents in the earthing/grounding system.

ELECTROMAGNETIC ENVIRONMENTS
IEC/TR 61000-2-5:2011 is a Technical Report intended for guidance for those who are in charge of considering and developing immunity requirements. It also gives basic guidance for the selection of immunity levels. The data are applicable to any item of electrical or electronic equipment, sub-system or system that operates in one of the locations as considered in this Technical Report. It
has the status of a basic EMC publication in accordance with IEC Guide 107. Knowledge of the electromagnetic environment that exists at locations where electrical and electronic equipment and systems are intended to be operated is an essential precondition in the process of achieving electromagnetic compatibility. This knowledge can be obtained by various approaches, including a site survey of an intended location, the technical assessment of the equipment and system as well as the general literature.

**SEMICONDUCTOR DEVICE STANDARD**

Mechanical standardization of semiconductor devices - Part 6-12: General rules for the preparation of outline drawings of surface mounted semiconductor device packages - Design guidelines for fine-pitch land grid array (FLGA), IEC 60191-6-12:2011, provides standard outline drawings, dimensions, and recommended variations for all fine-pitch land grid array packages (FLGA) with terminal pitch of 0.8 mm or less. This edition includes the following significant changes with respect to the previous edition:
- scope is expanded so that this standard include the square type FLGA. The title of this standard has been changed accordingly; “Rectangular type” has been deleted from the title;
- ball pitch of 0.3 mm has been added;
- datum is changed from the body datum to the ball datum;
- combination lists of D, E, MD, and ME have been revised.

**NEW CONNECTORS STANDARD**

IEC 61076-2-106:2011 describes circular connectors with IP40 or IP65/67 protection degree, typically used for industrial process measurement and control. These connectors consist of fixed and free connectors, either rewireable or non-rewireable, with M16 x 0,75 screw-locking. Male connectors have round contacts Ø 1,5 mm or Ø 1,0 mm.

**MICROPROCESSOR SYSTEM STANDARD**

ISO/IEC/IEEE 60559:2011(E) specifies formats and methods for floating-point arithmetic in computer systems - standard and extended functions with single, double, extended, and extendable precision - and recommends formats for data interchange. Exception conditions are defined and standard handling of these conditions is specified. It provides a method for computation with floating-point numbers that will yield the same result whether the processing is done in hardware, software, or a combination of the two. The results of the computation will be identical, independent of implementation, given the same input data. Errors, and error conditions, in the mathematical processing will be reported in a consistent manner regardless of implementation. This first edition, published as ISO/IEC/IEEE 60559, replaces the second edition of IEC 60559.

**CONNECTORS STANDARD**

IEC 60512-9-3:2011 defines a standard test method to assess the mechanical and electrical operational endurance, i.e. engaging and separating cycles, of a connector in an operating mode which includes a specified electrical load. This second edition cancels and replaces Test 9c of IEC 60512-5, issued in 1992, and constitutes a technical revision. The main technical changes with regard to the previous edition are as follows:
- An additional requirement to 4.1 stating that if more than one electrical circuit is wired for testing, the wiring shall be carried out in a parallel electrical circuit.
- Subclauses 4.3 through 4.7 were removed and replaced by 4.2 through 4.4.

**SEMICONDUCTOR STANDARD**

IEC 60749-40:2011 is intended to evaluate and compare drop performance of a surface mount semiconductor device for handheld electronic product applications in an accelerated test environment, where excessive flexure of a circuit board causes product failure. The purpose is to standardize test methodology to provide a reproducible assessment of the drop test performance of a surface mounted semiconductor devices while duplicating the failure modes normally observed during product level test. This international standard uses a strain gauge to measure the strain and strain rate of a board in the vicinity of a component.

**RF CONNECTORS STANDARD**

IEC 61169-35:2011(E) provides information and rules for preparation of detail specification of 2,92 series RF coaxial connectors together with the pro-forma blank detail specification. It also prescribes mating face dimensions for high performance connectors - grade 1, dimensional detail of standard test connectors - Grade 0, gauging information and tests selected from IEC 61169-1 applicable to all detail specifications relating to 2,92 series RF coaxial connectors. It cancels and replaces IEC/PAS 61169-35, published in 2009, of which it constitutes a minor revision. The only change is that the PAS has been changed into and International Standard.

**SEMICONDUCTOR STANDARD**

IEC 60749-30:2005+A1:2011 establishes a standard procedure for determining the preconditioning of non-hermetic surface mount devices (SMDs) prior to reliability testing. The test method defines the preconditioning flow for non-hermetic solid-state SMDs representative of a typical industry multiple solder reflow operation. These SMDs should be subjected to the appropriate preconditioning sequence described in this standard prior to being submitted to specific in-house reliability testing (qualification and/or reliability monitoring) in order to evaluate long term reliability (impacted by soldering...
This consolidated version consists of the first edition (2005) and its amendment 1 (2011). Therefore, no need to order amendment in addition to this publication.

**EMC REQUIREMENTS FOR POWER SUPPLY UNITS**

IEC 61204-3:2011 specifies electromagnetic compatibility (EMC) requirements for power supply units (PSUs) providing d.c. output(s) with or without auxiliary a.c. output(s), operating from a.c. or d.c. source voltages up to 600 V a.c. or 1 000 V d.c. The main changes with respect to the previous edition are listed below:

- Update of the scope to align with IEC 61204-7.
- Update of the normative references to the latest editions.
- Change of the definitions of environments to align with the latest editions of the applicable normative references.
- Revision of the applicability of tests to different power supply technologies.
- Revision of the emission limits and requirements to align with the latest editions of the applicable normative references.
- Revision of the immunity limits and requirements to align with the latest editions of the applicable normative references.
- Clarification of the different classes of PSU.

**INTEGRATED CIRCUITS STANDARD**

IEC 61967-8:2011 defines a method for measuring the electromagnetic radiated emission from an integrated circuit (IC) using an IC stripline in the frequency range of 150 kHz up to 3 GHz. The IC being evaluated is mounted on an EMC test board (PCB) between the active conductor and the ground plane of the IC stripline arrangement.

This publication is to be read in conjunction with IEC 61967-1:2002.

**ELECTROMAGNETIC COMPATIBILITY STANDARD**

IEC/TR 61000-3-15:2011(E) is concerned with the critical assessment of existing and emerging national and international standards for single and multi-phase dispersed generation systems up to 75 A per phase, particularly converters connected to the public supply low voltage network. This Technical Report intends to serve as a starting point and to ultimately pave the way for the definition of appropriate EMC requirements and test conditions. This Technical Report is limited to EMC issues (immunity and emission) up to 9 kHz and does not include other aspects of connection of generators to the grid. This Technical Report focuses on emission caused by distributed generation (mainly harmonics and inter-harmonics, DC emissions flicker, rapid voltage changes and fluctuations), as well as immunity aspects to normally occurring events in the public supply network (voltage dips and short interruptions, frequency variations, harmonics and interharmonics). Every effort has been made to utilize already existing emission and immunity standards, including the test set-up and existing test equipment in use.

**SEMICONDUCTOR AND MICROELECTROMECHANICAL DEVICES STANDARD**

IEC 62047-12:2011 specifies a method for bending fatigue testing using resonant vibration of microscale mechanical structures of MEMS (micro-electromechanical systems) and micromachines. This standard applies to vibrating structures ranging in size from 10 μm to 1 000 μm in the plane direction and from 1 μm to 100 μm in thickness, and test materials measuring under 1 mm in length, under 1 mm in width, and between 0.1 μm and 10 μm in thickness. The main structural materials for MEMS, micromachine, etc. have special features, such as typical dimensions of a few microns, material fabrication by deposition, and test piece fabrication by means of non-mechanical machining, including photolithography. The MEMS structures often have higher fundamental resonant frequency and higher strength than macrostructures. To evaluate and assure the lifetime of MEMS structures, a fatigue testing method with ultra high cycles (up to 1012) loadings needs to be established. The object of the test method is to evaluate the mechanical fatigue properties of microscale materials in a short time by applying high load and high cyclic frequency bending stress using resonant vibration.

**EMC EMISSION ASSESSMENT STANDARD**

IEC/TR 61000-3-14:2011(E) is a Technical Report which provides guidance on principles that can be used as the basis for determining the requirements for the connection of disturbing installations to low voltage (LV) public power systems. For the purposes of this part of IEC 61000, a disturbing installation means an installation (which may be a load or a generator) that produces disturbances: harmonics and/or interharmonics, voltage flicker and/or rapid voltage changes, and/or voltage unbalance. The primary objective is to provide guidance to system operators or owners for engineering practices, which will facilitate the provision of adequate service quality for all connected customer installations. In addressing installations, this report is not intended to replace equipment standards for emission limits. This report addresses the allocation of the capacity of the system to absorb disturbances. It does not address how to mitigate disturbances, nor does it address how the capacity of the system can be increased.

**SEMICONDUCTOR MAGNETIC AND CAPACITIVE COUPLER STANDARD**

IEC/PAS 60747-17:2011(E) gives the terminology, essential ratings, characteristics, safety test and the measuring methods of magnetic and capacitive couplers.
It specifies the principles of magnetic and capacitive coupling across an isolation barrier and the related requirements for basic isolation and reinforced insulation.

**RADIATION PROTECTION INSTRUMENTATION**

IEC 61577-3:2011 describes the specific requirements for instruments measuring the volumetric activity of airborne short-lived radon decay products and/or their ambient potential alpha-energy concentration outdoors, in dwellings, and in workplaces including underground mines. This standard applies practically to all types of electronic instruments that are based on grab sampling, continuous sampling technique and electronic integrating measurement methods. This new edition includes the following significant technical changes with respect to the previous edition:
- implementation of new requirements and tests concerning radiation detection performance;
- implementation of new requirements and tests concerning environmental performance;
- harmonization of the requirements and tests concerning electrical and mechanical performance with other standards in the area of radiation protection instrumentation.

**FIXED CAPACITORS STANDARD FOR USE IN ELECTRONIC EQUIPMENT**

IEC 60384-2:2011 applies to fixed capacitors for direct current, with metallized electrodes and polyethylene-terephthalate dielectric for use in electronic equipment. These capacitors may have “self-healing properties” depending on conditions of use. They are primarily intended for applications where the a.c. component is small with respect to the rated voltage. Two performance grades of capacitors are covered, Grade 1 for long-life application and Grade 2 for general application. Capacitors for electromagnetic interference suppression and surface mount fixed metallized polyethylene-terephthalate film dielectric d.c. capacitors are not included, but are covered by IEC 60384-14 and IEC 60384-19 respectively. This fourth edition cancels and replaces the third edition published in 2005 and contains the following significant technical changes with respect to the previous edition:
- Table 1, Sampling plan together with numbers of permissible non-conformance for qualification approval test, has been adjusted.
- Table 3, Lot-by-lot inspection, has been changed, highlighting assessment level EZ only.
- Table 4, Periodic inspection, has been changed, highlighting assessment level EZ only.
- The preferred values of rated voltages have been updated in conformance with the basic series of preferred values R5 and R10 given in ISO 3.

**CONNECTORS FOR ELECTRONIC EQUIPMENT**

IEC 60603-7:2008+A1:2011 covers 8-way unshielded free and fixed connectors and is intended to specify the common dimensions, mechanical, electrical and environmental characteristics and tests for the family of IEC 60603-7-x connectors. These connectors are interoperable and interoperable with other IEC 60603-7 series connectors. This new edition includes the following significant technical changes with respect to the previous edition:
- updated drawings and test schedules on the basis of IEC 60603-7-4;
- corrected figure illustrating a connector de-rating curve.

This consolidated version consists of the third edition (2008) and its amendment 1 (2011). Therefore, no need to order amendment in addition to this publication.

**COAXIAL COMMUNICATION CABLES**

IEC 61196-8-1:2012 is part of the IEC 61196 series and applies to coaxial communications cables described in IEC 61196-8. It specifies the requirements for semirigid coaxial cables, cables for use in microwave and wireless equipment or other signal transmission equipment or units at frequencies from 500 MHz up to 18 GHz. This blank detail specification is to be read in conjunction with IEC 61196-1 and IEC 61196-8. The blank detail specification determines the layout and style for detail. Detail specifications, based on the blank detail specification, may be prepared by a national organization, a manufacturer or a user.

**EMC METALLIC COMMUNICATION CABLE TEST METHODS**

Project IEC 62153-4-14 ed1.0 Final Draft International Standard is an up to 3 months’ pre-release of the official publication. It is available for sale during its voting period: 2012-02-17 to 2012-04-20. By purchasing this FDIS now, you will automatically receive, in addition, the final publication.
conformity evaluation in order to ensure repeatability of the conformity evaluation process, as well as consistency of decisions on conformity and the final result;
- aims to ensure that the output from the conformity evaluation process is objective, impartial, consistent, repeatable, complete and auditable;
- provides informative guidelines for determining the competence of the conformity evaluation teams;
- provides an example checklist to assist in the conformity evaluation of a Candidate FSM Method; and
- provides an example template for the conformity evaluation report.

**RFID CONFORMANCE TEST METHODS**

ISO/IEC 18047-2:2012 defines test methods for determining the conformance of radio frequency identification (RFID) devices (tags and interrogators) for item management with the specifications given in ISO/IEC 18000-2, but does not apply to the testing of conformity with regulatory or similar requirements.

The test methods require only that the mandatory functions, and any optional functions which are implemented, be verified. This may, in appropriate circumstances, be supplemented by further, application-specific functionality criteria that are not available in the general case.

The interrogator and tag conformance parameters in ISO/IEC 18047-2:2012 are the following:
- mode-specific conformance parameters including nominal values and tolerances;
- parameters that apply directly affecting system functionality and inter-operability.

The following are not included in ISO/IEC 18047-2:2012:
- parameters that are already included in regulatory test requirements;
- high-level data encoding conformance test parameters (these are specified in ISO/IEC 15962).

Unless otherwise specified, the tests in ISO/IEC 18047-2:2012 are to be applied exclusively to RFID tags and interrogators defined in ISO/IEC 18000-2.

---

**International Special Committee on Radio Interference (CISPR)**

**PRE-RELEASE ON ELECTROMAGNETIC COMPATIBILITY OF MULTIMEDIA EQUIPMENT**

This International Standard applies to multimedia equipment (MME) as defined in 3.1.23 and having a rated r.m.s. AC or DC supply voltage not exceeding 600 V. Equipment within the scope of CISPR 13 or CISPR 22 is within the scope of this publication. MME intended primarily for professional use is within the scope of this publication. The radiated emission requirements in this standard are not intended to be applicable to the intentional transmissions from a radio transmitter as defined by the ITU, nor to any spurious emissions related to these intentional transmissions. Equipment, for which emission requirements in the frequency range covered by this publication are explicitly formulated in other CISPR publications (except CISPR 13 and CISPR 22), are excluded from the scope of this publication.

**FCC ACCEPTANCE OF CISPR 22 DATA**

The FCC accepts measurement data for unintentional unlicensed Part 15 devices using CISPR 22 1997 standard. However, most manufacturers and some test laboratories believe that the FCC accepts measurement data based on any CISPR 22 standard, regardless of the year. In fact, 47CFR 15.38(a) lists only the third edition of the International Special Committee on Radio Interference (CISPR), Pub. 22, “Information Technology Equipment—Radio Disturbance Characteristics—Limits and Methods of Measurement,” 1997, IBR approved for §15.109. The FCC accepts data based only on this CISPR edition. For any other edition or year to be acceptable, it would have to be included in the list in 47CFR 15.38(a), or included in a policy from the FCC, as described here in FCC 47CFR 15.38(a) “Incorporation by reference” rule: “The materials listed in this section are incorporated by reference in this part. These incorporations by reference were approved by the Director of the Federal Register in accordance with 5 U.S.C. 552(a) and 1 CFR part 51. These materials are incorporated as they exist on the date of the approval, and notice of any change in these materials will be published in the Federal Register.”

We have no knowledge of FCC dismissals as a result of using other CISPR 22 standard versions and dates; nonetheless, FCC 47CFR15.38(a) lists CISPR 22 1997 standard as the only alternative standard for Part 15 device measurement data.

**EMC REQUIREMENTS FOR HOUSEHOLD APPLIANCES**

CISPR 14-1:2005+A1:2008+A2:2011 applies to the conduction and the radiation of radio-frequency disturbances from appliances whose main functions are performed by motors and switching or regulating devices, unless the R.F. energy is intentionally generated or intended for illumination. It includes such equipment as:
- household electrical appliances,
- electric tools,
- regulating controls using semiconductor devices,
- motor-driven electro-medical apparatus,
- electric/electronic toys,
- automatic dispensing machines as well as cine or slide projectors. Also included in the scope of this standard are separate parts of the above mentioned equipment such as motors, and switching devices relays (power or protective). However, no emission requirements apply unless formulated in this standard. The frequency range covered is 9 kHz to 400 GHz. Multi-
function equipment which is subjected simultaneously to different clauses of this standard and/or other standards shall meet the provisions of each clause/standard with the relevant functions in operation; details are given in 7.2.1. The limits in this standard have been determined on a probabilistic basis, to keep the suppression of disturbances economically feasible while still achieving an adequate radio protection. In exceptional cases radio frequency interference may occur, in spite of compliance with the limits. In such a case, additional provisions may be required. The effects of electromagnetic phenomena relating to the safety of apparatus are excluded from the scope of this standard. This consolidated version consists of the fifth edition (2005), its amendment 1 (2008) and its amendment 2 (2011). Therefore, no need to order amendments in addition to this publication.

**ELECTROMAGNETIC COMPATIBILITY OF MULTIMEDIA EQUIPMENT STANDARD**

CISPR 32:2012 International Standard applies to multimedia equipment (MME) having a rated r.m.s. AC or DC supply voltage not exceeding 600 V. Equipment within the scope of CISPR 13 or CISPR 22 is within the scope of this publication. MME intended primarily for professional use is within the scope of this publication. The radiated emission requirements in this standard are not intended to be applicable to the intentional transmissions from a radio transmitter as defined by the ITU, nor to any spurious emissions related to these intentional transmissions. Equipment, for which emission requirements in the frequency range covered by this publication are explicitly formulated in other CISPR publications (except CISPR 13 and CISPR 22), are excluded from the scope of this publication. This document does not contain requirements for in-situ assessment. Such testing is outside the scope of this publication and may not be used to demonstrate compliance with it. This publication covers two classes of MME (Class A and Class B). The objectives of this publication are to establish requirements which provide an adequate level of protection of the radio spectrum, allowing radio services to operate as intended in the frequency range 9 kHz to 400 GHz and to specify procedures to ensure the reproducibility of measurement and the repeatability of results.

**EMC FILTERING DEVICES STANDARD**

CISPR 17:2011 specifies methods to measure the radio interference suppression characteristics of passive EMC filtering devices used in power and signal lines, and in other circuits. The defined methods may also be applied to combinations of over-voltage protection devices and EMC filtering devices. The measurement method covers the frequency range from 9 kHz to several GHz depending on the device and test circuit. The standard describes procedures for laboratory tests (type tests) as well as factory tests. The suppression characteristics of EMC filters and components used for the suppression of EM disturbances, are a function of numerous variables such as impedance of the circuits to which they connect, operating voltage and current, and ambient temperature. This standard specifies uniform test methods that will enable comparison of filtering and suppression characteristics determined by test laboratories or specified by manufacturers. Measurement procedures are provided for unbiased and bias conditions.

**European Telecommunications Standards Institute (ETSI)**

**HARMONIZED EUROPEAN STANDARD**

ETSI EN 302 729-2 V1.1.2 specifies the requirements for Level Probing Radar (LPR) applications based on pulse RF, FMCW or similar wideband techniques. LPRs are used in many industries concerned with process control to measure the amount of various substances (mostly liquids or granulates). LPRs are used for a wide range of applications such as process control, custody transfer measurement (government legal measurements), water and other liquid monitoring, spilling prevention and other industrial applications. The main purposes of using LPRs are:

- to increase reliability by preventing accidents;
- to increase industrial efficiency, quality and process control;
- to improve environmental conditions in production processes.

LPR always consist of a combined transmitter and receiver and are used with an integral or dedicated antenna. The LPR equipment is for professional applications to which installation and maintenance are performed by professionally trained individuals only.

**EMC AND RADIO SPECTRUM MATTERS**

ETSI EN 305 550-2 V1.1.1 is a new standard that takes advantage of technical developments within the SRD industry. In particular this includes the development in technologies which makes applications in the higher frequency range possible. This standard is part 2 of a multi-part deliverable covering Electromagnetic Compatibility and Radio spectrum Matters (ERM); Short Range Devices (SRD); Radio equipment to be used in the 40 GHz to 246 GHz frequency range.

**RADIO EQUIPMENT AND SERVICES**

ETSI EN 301 489-1 V1.9.2 contains the common requirements for radio communications equipment and associated ancillary equipment, in respect of Electromagnetic Compatibility (EMC).

Product dependent arrangements necessary to perform the EMC tests on dedicated types of radio communications equipment, and the assessment of test results, are detailed in the appropriate product related parts of
EN 301 489 series [i.13].

The present document, together with the product related part, specifies the applicable EMC tests, the methods of measurement, the limits and the performance criteria for radio equipment and associated ancillary equipment. In case of differences (for instance concerning special conditions, definitions, abbreviations) between part 1 of EN 301 489 series [i.13] and the relevant product related part of EN 301 489 series [i.13], the product related part takes precedence.

Technical specifications related to the antenna port of radio equipment and radiated emissions from the enclosure port of radio equipment and combinations of radio and associated ancillary equipment are not included in the present document. Such technical specifications are normally found in the relevant product standards for the effective use of the radio spectrum.

The environment classification used in the present document refers to the environment classification used in:

- EN 61000-6-3 [i.4] and EN 61000-6-1 [i.5] for the residential, commercial and light industrial environment; or
- TR 101 651 [i.6] for the telecommunication centre environment; or

The EMC requirements have been selected to ensure an adequate level of compatibility for apparatus intended to be used in the environments mentioned above. The levels, however, do not cover extreme cases which may occur in any location but with low probability of occurrence.

PORTABLE VERY HIGH FREQUENCY RADIOTELEPHONE EQUIPMENT STANDARD

This standard states the minimum technical characteristics and methods of measurement required for portable Very High Frequency (VHF) radiotelephones with integrated handheld class D DSC operating in certain frequency bands allocated to the maritime mobile service using either 25 kHz channels or 25 kHz and 12.5 kHz channels.

Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers (IEEE)

NEW COMMUNICATION STANDARDS FOR SMART GRID METERING DEVICES

Among the efficiencies promised by the smart grid is the use of metering devices that not only report usage to utilities but also inform users how to schedule consumption to lower-cost off-peak hours or even control that consumption automatically. Two new IEEE standards provide multisource “plug and play” environments for the millions of metering devices in the field now and in the future. Both standards solve problems associated with single-source systems and with multisource systems based upon proprietary communications protocols.

IEEE 1702™, “IEEE Standard for Telephone Modem Communication Protocol to Complement the Utility Industry End Device Data Tables” is for devices using the ANSI C12.21 telephone modem communication interface. IEEE 1701™, “IEEE Standard for Optical Port Communication Protocol to Complement the Utility Industry End Device Data Tables,” (also known as MC1218 and ANSI C12.18) is for devices using the ANSI Type 2 optical port interface.

STANDARD LIMITING INSERTION OF HARMONICS INTO POWER GRID

The IEEE Standards Association (IEEE-SA) Standards Board approved two new projects to develop standards that will limit the injection of harmonic frequencies into the public electric transmission system. Harmonic pollution is a growing problem caused by the widespread use of power supplies and other non-linear loads. It can result in power loss and equipment damage and it may also be related to environmental safety issues. Both standards will address harmonic injection in 60Hz and 120V/240V systems such as those in use in the United States, Canada and other regions of the world. Both standards will also use the IEC SC77A and IEC 61000-3-12 standards as seed documents.

NEW WIRELESS NETWORK STANDARD FILLS IN THE WHITE SPACE

The wireless spectrum was carved up in the mid-20th century with protection of commercial radio and television signals as its primary concern. One example of this was the practice of leaving “white space” between broadcast channels to prevent interference by analog signals crowded too close together. Especially since the dawn of the digital age, technology has gotten better and better at reclaiming such underutilized slices of this spectrum, creating a wide array of new markets and applications that have opened up communication in revolutionary ways—without degrading performance by legacy spectrum users.

A new standard, IEEE 1900.4a™-2011, defines additional components of the IEEE 1900.4™ system to enable mobile wireless access service in white space frequency bands without any limitation on used radio interface (physical and media access control layers, carrier frequency, etc.).

WIRELESS LAN MEDIUM ACCESS CONTROL AND PHYSICAL LAYER SPECIFICATIONS

This revision specifies technical corrections and clarifications to IEEE Std 802.11 for wireless local area networks (WLANs) as well as enhancements to the existing medium access control (MAC) and physical layer (PHY) functions. It also incorporates Amendments 1, 2, 3, 4, 5,
Other News

**FCC PROPOSES RULES CHANGES TO IMPROVE WIRELESS COVERAGE**

The Federal Communications Commission adopted a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (NPRM) to facilitate the development and deployment of well-designed signal boosters, which hold great potential to empower consumers in rural and underserved areas to improve their wireless coverage.

Coverage gaps exist within those service areas and continue to pose a problem for residents, particularly in rural areas. Signal boosters are part of the solution to addressing coverage gaps in rural areas. The regulatory framework for signal boosters proposed in this Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (NPRM) is one element in a set of initiatives designed to promote deployment of mobile voice and broadband services in the United States.

**EMC AND ELECTRICAL PROTECTION**

This technical report addresses electromagnetic compatibility and protection of telecommunications equipment that is typically used by telecommunications service providers. Topics covered included ESD, EMI (both emissions and susceptibility), Lightning, Power Induction (transient and steady state), Power Contact, Corrosion, and DC Power.

**FCC FINALIZES RULES FOR BROADBAND FROM WALL SOCKETS**

The Federal Communications Commission affirmed its rules for Broadband over Power Lines with minor modifications. The new rules provide a balance between providing for Access BPL technology that has potential applications for broadband and Smart Grid while protecting incumbent radio services against harmful interference. The rules have been modified “to increase the required notch filtering capability for systems operating below 30 MHz from 20 to 25 dB; establish a new alternative procedure for determining site-specific extrapolation factors...; and adopts a definition for the ‘slant-range distance’ used in the BPL measurement guidelines to further clarify its application.”

BPL allows electrical utilities to deliver broadband service over medium voltage lines to homes and businesses through electrical wall sockets. It also allows them to monitor power usage in the form of Smart Grid applications.

**STANDARD TOPICAL OUTLINES FOR QUALIFICATION OF NONDESTRUCTIVE TESTING PERSONNEL**

Recommended Practice No. SNT-TC-1A: Personnel Qualification and Certification in Nondestructive Testing (2011) provides guidelines for employers to establish in-house certification programs for the qualification and certification of nondestructive testing personnel. Since 1966, employers have used this industry-valued document as the general framework for their NDT certification programs. This revision provides updated training and certification requirements for Level I, II and III personnel. New content on:

- Guided Wave and Ground Penetrating Radar as Methods
- Radiological Testing Method which includes: Radiographic Testing, Computed Radiography, Computed Tomography, and Digital Radiography.
- Ultrasonics, Time of Flight Diffraction and Phased Array as Techniques
- Additional Example Questions

**NEW LAW FocusES ON RARE METALS IN ELECTRONICS**

A provision on “conflict minerals” that was slipped into a 2010 financial reform law, the Dodd-Frank Act, will help educate American consumers on what is in their smart phones, computers and other electronics and where U.S. electronics manufacturers are getting those rare metals. In the jungles and mountains of the Democratic Republic of the Congo, armed groups have been wreaking havoc and getting much of their funding from mining rare metals during the area’s 13-year-long civil war.

**STANDARD FOR EM EXAMINATION OF FERROMAGNETIC STEEL WIRE ROPE**

ASTM E1571 - 11 outlines a procedure to standardize an instrument and to use the instrument to examine ferromagnetic wire rope products in which the magnetic flux and magnetic flux leakage methods are used. If properly applied, the magnetic flux method is capable of detecting the presence, location, and magnitude of metal loss from wear, broken wires, and corrosion, and the magnetic flux leakage method is capable of detecting the presence and location of flaws such as broken wires and corrosion pits.

The instrument’s response to the rope’s fabrication, installation, and in-service-induced flaws can be significantly different from the instrument’s response to artificial flaws such as wire gaps or added wires. For this reason, it is preferable to detect and mark (using set-up standards that represent) real in-service-induced flaws whose characteristics will adversely affect the service-ability of the wire rope.
IEEE Electromagnetic Compatibility Society (S-27)

Headquarters:
IEEE Operations Center
445 Hoes Lane, P.O. Box 6804
Piscataway, NJ 08855-1331
Phone: (732) 981-0060
www.emcs.org

President: Ghery Pettit, ghery.pettit@intel.com

The Institute of Electrical & Electronics Engineers (IEEE), the world’s largest professional engineering society, is a global organization of individuals dedicated to improving the understanding of electrical and electronics engineering and its applications to the needs of society. The parent organization has over 360,000 members, approximately 70 percent of whom belong to technical groups such as the EMC Society.

Membership in the IEEE is on a qualified basis, with a basic annual fee of between $140 and $180 depending on the region of the world. The U.S. fee is $183. The Institute offers major medical and life insurance at low group rates, and each member receives a copy of the monthly publication, Spectrum. Affiliate, associate, and student memberships are available for those who do not qualify for regular membership; and special arrangements are provided for those temporarily out of work. Members may join one or more of the 39 technical societies by paying the additional individual society fee(s). The EMC Society has an annual fee of $30. Student memberships are $15.

The EMC Society, which enjoys a membership of over 5000, functions through a Board of Directors elected by the Society membership. The Board includes 20 members-at-large who serve staggered 3-year terms. The Executive Board consists of the President, President-Elect, Immediate Past President, Secretary, Treasurer, and five Vice Presidents, who oversee the activities of standing and technical committees. The officers are elected by the Board of Directors. The annual IEEE International Symposium on Electromagnetic Compatibility is sponsored by the Board of Directors, which also coordinates activities of standing technical and ad hoc committees.

EMC Society publications include Transactions on EMC, a quarterly journal which features state-of-the-art papers on interference technology and EMC, and the EMC Society Newsletter, a quarterly newsletter of society activities, industry developments, practical papers, and notices of meetings, regulations, and new publications.

The EMC Society also has a group of distinguished lecturers who are available to present talks to IEEE and other organizations. The Society subsidizes the lecturers’ expenses, and organizations are encouraged to contact the society for further details.

Chairmen of these committees welcome assistance and indications of interest in committee activities from the EMC Society membership. EMC Society activities are provided by 54 chapters with members in 61 countries worldwide.

A Committee Directory, listing officer, board, committee, and chapter contacts’ names, addresses, and telephone numbers, is available on the IEEE EMC Society website at www.emcs.org.

The EMC Society is also active in technical conferences and symposia through its sponsorship of the annual International Electromagnetic Compatibility Symposium and participation in other worldwide symposia. Symposia and conferences are announced in the EMC Society Newsletter.


The EMC Society has published a number of standards. For information on EMC Society and other IEEE standards, contact the IEEE Operations Center, 445 Hoes Lane, P.O. Box 6804, Piscataway, NJ 08855-1331; Phone: (732) 981-0060.

2012 Events

IEEE EMC Society Board of Directors Meetings
- March 16-18, 2012, Scottsdale, Arizona
- August 5 and 9, 2012, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania
- November 16-18, 2012, Raleigh, North Carolina

IEEE EMC Chapter Colloquium and Exhibition “Table-Top Shows”
- March 5, Williamsburg, Virginia, Advances in Antenna Test and Measurement, Various speakers, with keynote address by Erik Vedeler, Head of Electromagnetics and Sensor Branch at NASA Langley Research Center
- March 27, Milwaukee, Wisconsin, Jeremy Campbell, PE, General Motors, Applied Technology Center, “Designing a Product to Meet Today’s Emission and Immunity Requirements”
- April 11, Beaverton, Oregon, Elya Joffe, Lead author of the book “The Grounds for Grounding”, will discuss grounding and other EMC-related topics
- May 8, Chicago, Illinois, Speakers and topics to be announced
- May 16, Detroit, Michigan, Todd Hubing of
IEEE Product Safety Engineering Society

While product safety had been addressed in various committees over the years, there was never a professional society or symposium solely devoted to product safety engineering as a discipline until recently. The IEEE Product Safety Engineering Society (PSES) began operation on 1 January 2004.

The field of interest of the Society is the theory, design, development and implementation of product safety engineering for electronic and electro-mechanical equipment and devices. This includes the theoretical study and practical application of analysis techniques, testing methodologies, conformity assessments, and hazard evaluations.

The Society’s mission is to strive for the advancement of the theory and practice of applied electrical and electronic engineering as applied to product safety and of the allied arts and sciences.

The Society provides a focus for cooperative activities, both internal and external to IEEE, including the promotion and coordination of product safety engineering activities among IEEE entities. In addition, the Society will provide a forum for product safety engineering professionals and design engineers to discuss and disseminate technical information, to enhance personal product safety engineering skills, and to provide product safety engineering outreach to engineers, students and others with an interest in the field. The Society is accepting members at any time during the calendar year, both full IEEE members and affiliate members. Membership is available at www.ieee.org/services/join/.

The IEEE Product Safety Engineering Society works closely with various IEEE Societies and Councils that also include product safety engineering as a technical specialty. Currently there are 14 chapters with more in the formation process.

Every year, the PSES hosts a Symposium on Product Compliance Engineering. The next conference will be in Portland, Oregon, USA on November 5-7, 2012. The Symposium will consist of Technical Sessions, Workshops, Tutorials and Demonstrations specifically targeted to the compliance engineering professional. Attendees will have the opportunity to discuss problems with vendors displaying the latest regulatory compliance products and services. For more information, visit http://www.ieee-pses.org/symposium/. Past papers from the Symposia are available in IEEE Xplore or on CD (for a fee).

IEEE Product Safety Engineering Society

www.ieee-pses.org/newsletters.html. For further information and details on the Society, including becoming an author, please visit the website at www.ieee-pses.org.

dB Society

This unique, interesting, and exclusive fraternity of EMC engineers was founded in 1975 by 10 eminent EMC engineers. The purpose of the dB Society is to open doors within the EMC community. Its primary objectives are to greet and to welcome new engineers, suppliers, vendors, and manufacturers to the EMC community and to assist them in establishing contacts in the EMC field.

The following membership requirements are unique and rigidly enforced:

- Ten years of service to the EMC community,
- Five years of service to a recognized professional, EMC organization,
- Sponsorship by two Duo-Decade members,
- Favorable recommendations by three other recognized individuals in the EMC community, and
- Acceptance by the Admissions Board.

Business meetings and informal, relaxed get-togethers take place during major EMC functions. A formal evening social function is the highlight of each year and is usually conducted during the IEEE EMC Symposium. All meetings are for members only.

U.S. membership is limited to 100 EMC engineers. There are Society affiliates in the United Kingdom, India, and Israel. Qualified candidates are invited to write to:

The dB Society
22117 NE 10th Place
Sammamish, WA 98074
FAX: (425) 868-0547
E-mail: j.n.oneil@ieee.org

ESD Association

Headquarters:
ESD Association
7900 Turin Road, Building 3
Rome, NY 13440-2069
phone: 315-339-6937
fax: 315-339-6793
email: info@esda.org
website: www.esda.org

Founded in 1982, the ESD Association is a professional voluntary association dedicated to advancing the theory and practice of electrostatic discharge (ESD) avoidance. From fewer than 100 members, the Association has grown to more than 2,000 members throughout the world. From an initial emphasis on the effects of ESD on electronic components, the Association has broadened its horizons to include areas such as textiles, plastics, web pro-
cessing, cleanrooms, and graphic arts. To meet the needs of a continually changing environment, the Association is chartered to expand ESD awareness through standards development, educational programs, local chapters, publications, tutorials, certification, and symposia.

**ELECTROSTATIC DISCHARGE (ESD) TECHNOLOGY ROADMAP**

In the late 1970s, electrostatic discharge, or ESD, became a problem in the electronics industry. Low-level ESD events from people were causing device failures and yield losses. As the industry learned about this phenomenon, both device design improvements and process changes were made to make the devices more robust and processes more capable of handling these devices. With devices becoming more sensitive through the year 2010, it is imperative that companies begin to determine the ESD capabilities of their handling processes. The ESD Technology Roadmap can be downloaded at: www.esda.org

**ANSI/ESD S20.20 CONTROL PROGRAM STANDARD AND CERTIFICATION**

A primary direction for the association is the continued implementation of a facility certification program in conjunction with ISO registrars. With the association’s ESD control program standard, ANSI/ESD S20.20: Protection of Electrical and Electronic Parts, Assemblies and Equipment (Excluding Electrically Initiated Explosive Devices), the Association offers a means of independently assessing a company’s ESD control program and of issuing a formal ANSI/ESD S20.20 certification.

The ANSI/ESD S20.20 standard covers the requirements necessary to design, establish, implement, and maintain an ESD control program to protect electrical or electronic parts, assemblies and equipment susceptible to ESD damage from Human Body Model (HBM) discharges greater than or equal to 100 volts. Developed in response to the Military Standardization Reform Act, ANSI/ESD S20.20 has been formally adopted for use by the U.S. Department of Defense.

Although ESD programs have been part of some ISO 9000 audits in the past, the assessment frequently has been cursory and actual judgment of the program has been left to the individual auditor. ANSI/ESD S20.20 provides a formal, consistent process standard that can be audited. It provides a single, auditable ESD standard for OEM’s, suppliers, and contractors. To date, there are approximately 132 facilities in 13 countries that have become ANSI/ESD S20.20 certified.

Accredited registrars conduct the actual assessments of the companies. The association has developed a training program for the registrars and supervises registrar witness audits. This independent assessment of a company’s ESD control program could be performed as part of the company’s ISO 9000 surveillance audit or as a separate audit. Currently, there are 161 trained auditors in 13 countries who have been certified to conduct ANSI/ESD S20.20 audits.

In addition, the ESD Association offers an ESD program documentation review service. For a fee of $1,500 (US), members of the ESD Association’s Facility Certification committee will review your ESD program documentation and will compare it to the requirements listed in ANSI/ESD S20.20-2007. Facilities that choose to become certified will use the ANSI/ESD S20.20-2007 standard as the basis for their certification. A report will be provided that describes the areas that need to be improved for documentation to be compliant with ANSI/ESD S20.20-2007. This service should be considered a MUST for any company that is preparing for facility certification based on ANSI/ESD S20.20-2007.

**SYMPOSIA, TUTORIALS, AND PUBLICATIONS**

As part of its commitment to education and technology, the association holds the annual EOS/ESD Symposium, which places major emphasis on providing the knowledge and tools needed to meet the challenges of ESD. Scheduled for September 9-14, 2012, at the Westin Tucson, La Poloma, Arizona, USA, the annual Symposium attracts attendees and contributors from around the world. Technical sessions, workshops, authors’ corners, seminars, tutorials, and technical exhibits provide a myriad of opportunities for attendees to expand their knowledge of ESD.

In addition to tutorials and seminars, the association offers a number of publications and reference materials for sale. These range from proceedings of past EOS/ESD Symposium to textbooks written by experts in the field of ESD.

**TechAmerica**

**Electromagnetic Compatibility Committee (G-46) Headquarters**

TechAmerica
1401 Wilson Blvd., Suite 1100
Arlington, VA 22209
Phone: (703) 284-5344
www.geia.org

TechAmerica is the association that was created by the merger of AeA and ITAA. Earlier in 2008, ITAA and GEIA merged. The result of these mergers is an organization that is the leading voice for the U.S. technology industry, which is the driving force behind productivity growth and jobs creation in the United States. TechAmerica is the technology industry’s only grassroots-to-global advocacy network. With nearly 1200 member companies, 20 regional councils and offices in Beijing and Brussels, the association represents the full spectrum of the technology industry.

TechAmerica is the technology industry’s only grassroots-to-global advocacy network. The organization has expanded initiatives in areas such as: information Assurance / Information Security, Identity Management, Cloud Computing, Global Sourcing / Globalization, Intelligence agencies, Department of Defense & NASA, and State & Lo-
cal programs and public policy advocacy.

TechAmerica provides programs for business development, networking and market intelligence in the Federal arena, dealing with government entities such as Department of Defense, Homeland Security, Federal Communications Commission, Federal Trade Commission, Congress, as well as with state and local governments.

TechAmerica has a team of public policy professionals at state, federal and international levels, that allow the organization to successfully influence legislative and regulatory issues that affect member companies.

In addition, TechAmerica offers an active standards development program to provide industry with proven solutions to business process challenges. The program is nationally and internationally recognized for its leadership and expertise in the development of standards. Configuration Management, Systems Engineering, Systems Safety, Earned Value Management, Logistics, Reliability and Electromagnetic Compatibility (EMC) area where TechAmerica is involved in standard.

The Electromagnetic Compatibility (EMC) Committee (formally known as G-46) deals with the system-oriented discipline that ensures electromagnetic compatibility in electronics design. The Committee develops technical criteria and procedures to guide the design engineer. Its work also includes spectrum management and conservation; secure communications; and electromagnetic emissions, susceptibility, control, and characterization.

The EMC Committee was established to provide an industry/user position on government specifications, regulations, and standards. Participation has expanded to include G-46 representation on the various committees drafting government specifications and standards. For example, G-46 participated on the working committees for MIL-STD-464A and MIL-STD-461E and provided update recommendations to MIL-STD-461F. The scope of G-46 activities has expanded to foster and facilitate the EMC discipline for the benefit of TechAmerica member companies.

Committee activities include spectrum management and conservation; personnel safety; and health care electronics design, usage and installation in terms of regulated and non-regulated electromagnetic (EM) emissions and immunity. Inter- and intra-environmental areas as they affect systems, subsystems and equipment, subassemblies, and components are also areas of concern. In addition to other activities, committees:

- Review, assess, advise, and coordinate related activities of organizations/individuals in government, industry, and technical societies.
- Assure that EMC legislation, regulations, specifications, standards, requirements, and evaluation procedures are adequate for procurement and application.
- Assure that EMC legislation, regulations, specifications, standards, requirements, and evaluation procedures are harmonized with their commercial counterparts to the maximum extent practical for procurement and application.

- Propose and recommend action and provide support to other organizations, as deemed desirable.
- Coordinate and promulgate information to facilitate advancement of the state-of-the-art.

Additional information on TechAmerica and the EMC Committee (G-46) can be obtained at (703) 284-5315, phyllis.call@techamerica.org, or via the GEIA website at http://www.geia.org.

**Society of Automotive Engineers**

**Committee AE-4, Committee Headquarters:**

Society of Automotive Engineers
400 Commonwealth Drive
Warrendale, PA 15096-0001
Phone: (724) 776-4841

SAE International is a professional society of engineers dedicated to a broad spectrum of engineering disciplines within the aerospace and automotive fields. Under the SAE Aerospace Council, technical standards committees address disciplines ranging from electrical power to multiplex signal characteristics—and from fiber optic data transmission to electromagnetic compatibility. The many elements of EMC are handled by SAE Committee AE-4, Electromagnetic Compatibility, which was organized in 1942 under the Aerospace Council. The committee is composed of technically qualified members, liaison members, and consultants—all of whom are responsible for writing standards on electromagnetic compatibility.

Committee AE-4 provides assistance to the technical community through standardization, improved design and testing methodology, and technical forums for the resolution of mutual problems. Engineering standards, specifications, and technical reports are developed by the Committee and are issued by the Society for industry and governments worldwide. Objectives of Committee AE-4 are to advance the state of technology, to stabilize existing technology, to obtain a uniformity of EMC requirements among government agencies, and to further the interests of the EMC technical community. The theme of “design before the fact” for EMC is a guiding concept. Special attention is given to maintenance of EMI control requirements consistent with the rapidly advancing state-of-the-art.

The following is a partial list of documents that have been issued to assist in implementing SAE objectives. For a complete list, visit the SAE website at www.sae.org or call SAE Customer Service at (724) 776-4841.

**AEROSPACE RECOMMENDED PRACTICES (ARPs)**

- ARP 935A Control Plan/Technical Construction File
- ARP 936A Capacitor, 10 mF for EMI Measurements
- ARP 958C Electromagnetic Interference Measurement Antennas, Standard Calibration Method
- ARP 958D Electromagnetic Interference Measurement Anten-
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AEROSPACE INFORMATION REPORTS (AIRS)

AIR 1147 EMI on Aircraft from Jet Engine Charging
AIR 1209 Construction and Calibration of Parallel-Plate Transmission Lines for EMI Susceptibility Testing
AIR 1221 EMC System Design Checklist
AIR 1255 Spectrum Analyzers for EMI Measurements
AIR 1394A Cabling Guidelines for Electromagnetic Compatibility
AIR 1404 DC Resistance Test Procedure for EMI Gaskets
AIR 1423 EMI on Gas Turbine Engines for Aircraft Propulsion
AIR 1425A Methods of Achieving EMI of Gas Turbine Engine Accessories, for Self-Propelled Vehicles
AIR 1499 Recommendations for Commercial EMC Susceptibility Requirements
AIR 1662 Minimization of Electrostatic Hazards in Aircraft Fuel Systems
AIR 1700A Upper Frequency Measurement Boundary for Evaluation of Shielding Effectiveness in Cylindrical Systems
AIR 4079 Procedure for Digitized Method of Spark Energy Measurement

SAE AE-4 ELECTROMAGNETIC ENVIRONMENTAL EFFECTS (E3 OR EMC) COMMITTEE

The SAE AE-4 E3 Committee provides a technical, coordi-
nating, and advisory function in the field of E3. The focus
is on problem areas in which committee expertise can be
effectively applied at the national and international levels.
Electrical and electronic accessories are studied for com-
patibility within systems and with various communications
media. Engineering standards, specifications, and technical
reports are developed and are issued for the general informa-
tion of industry and government.

In the past, subcommittees have included AE-4R, Air-
craft Radiated Environments, and AE-4H, High Power RF
Simulators and Effects. AE-4 E3 holds national meetings in
conjunction with the IEEE EMC Society Symposium, usu-
ally held in August at various locations. Additional infor-
mation about meetings or more specific information on the
activities of the Committee can be obtained by contacting:
Dorothy Lloyd
Aerospace Standards Specialist
Society of Automotive Engineers
400 Commonwealth Drive
Warrendale, PA 15096-0001
Phone: (724) 776-4841
dlloyd@sae.org

or the Chairman, Gary Fenical, gfenical@lairdtech.com.

Visit the SAE’s Technical Standards Committee Forum
website at http://forums@sae.org.

iNARTE

iNARTE, Inc. (The International Association for
Radio, and Telecommunications and Electromagnetics,
Inc.) was founded as a non-profit membership/certification
organization in 1982. With the advent of deregulation and
the Federal Communications Commission’s “encouragement/urging” private industry to establish certification
standards to fill the licensing void, iNARTE initiated and
developed a comprehensive certification program for tele-
communications engineers and technicians.

In 1988, a Command of the United States Navy, seek-
ing a credible and respected certification entity, selected
iNARTE as the administrative agent for the certification of
engineers and technicians in the field of electromagnetic
compatibility (EMC).

In 1993, iNARTE, certified by the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) as a Commercial Operators
License Examination Manager (COLE Manager), was
authorized to administer all examination elements for FCC licensure (formally an FCC responsibility).

In 1994, the ESD Association selected iNARTE to imple-
ment and administer a certification program for Electro-
static Discharge Control Engineers and Technicians.

During 1997, two nations, China and Japan, requested
iNARTE assistance in the establishment of specific in-
country certification programs comparable to and able to
meet iNARTE certification standards.

In 2000, iNARTE established the Unlicensed Wireless
Systems Installer certification to identify fully qualified de-
sign and installation personnel. This certification accredits
professionals who design and install wireless systems that
do not require a license from the FCC—including informa-
tion systems, security systems, and transportation systems.

In 2001, iNARTE developed an Agreement with the
IEEE EMC Society for the co-promotion of awareness and
education in EMC/EMI fields. Today the EMC Society is
the keeper of the body of knowledge from which the iN-
ARTE examinations are derived.

In 2003 iNARTE, together with specialist partners, de-
oped the Product Safety certification program. The Product
Safety program accredits professionals who use hazard-based analysis to identify and develop solutions to eliminate or minimize safety hazards. In 2004 iNARTE signed an Agreement with the IEEE Product Safety Engineering Society, PSES, to co-promote awareness and education in Product Safety. Today, technical experts within the PSES assist iNARTE in the development of the examination question pools.

In 2006 iNARTE executed Agreement with ANSI ASC 63, the Accredited Standards Committee on EMC, for the purposes of joint cooperation and promotion in education and technical achievement in EMC engineering.

By 2007, the global interest and participation in iNARTE Certification programs had resulted in almost one quarter of members being from overseas countries. In recognition of this, the iNARTE Board of Directors voted unanimously to change the Association name to the “International Association for Radio, Telecommunications and Electromagnetics, iNARTE.”

As iNARTE, an agreement of mutual support and cooperation was signed with the ESD Association in 2007. The ESDA will assist iNARTE in formulating and maintaining the question pools from which certification examinations are derived.

Website: www.inarte.org

**ACIL—The American Council of Independent Laboratories**

The American Council of Independent Laboratories (ACIL) is the trade association representing independent, commercial engineering, and scientific laboratory, testing, consulting, product certifying, and R&D firms; manufacturers’ laboratories; related non-profit organizations; and consultants and suppliers to the industry. The organization was founded in 1937. All ACIL activities focus on its mission: to enhance members’ success by providing advocacy, education, services, and mutual support and by promoting ethics, objectivity, independence, and free enterprise.

ACIL is a voluntary, non-profit membership organization. Programs are determined by members, administered by an elected Board of Directors, and supported by a professional staff operating from headquarters in Washington, D.C.

**ACIL’s Conformity Assessment Section**

ACIL’s Conformity Assessment Section consists of firms with wide and varied interests, all performing testing, listing, or labeling in accordance with applicable safety and performance standards, and/or materials testing and resolution of product and structural problems. Several committees have evolved within the Section to meet the needs of its diverse membership, including the EMC Committee, the U.S. Council of EMC Laboratories, and the Third-Party Product Certifiers Committee. In January 2005, the Section sponsored a booth at the Consumer Electronics Show that advocated the advantages of independent third-party testing and the capabilities of ACIL member EMC laboratories.

**ACIL’s EMC Committee**

ACIL’s EMC Committee was established in 1996 to address the common concerns of the ACIL EMC community. The Committee sponsors educational sessions at ACIL meetings that include both technical and policy issues such as mutual recognition agreements (MRAs). The Committee updates members on the latest developments, upcoming requirements, and activities in the field—both domestic and international.

In January 2002, ACIL published a 143-page document, Technical Criteria for the Accreditation of Electromagnetic Compatibility (EMC) and Radio Testing Laboratories, a checklist to assist both assessors and laboratories.

The Committee also formed the U.S. Council of EMC Laboratories (USCEL) in an effort to aid U.S. laboratories in addressing technical issues arising from the U.S./EU MRA and other global concerns. As the USCEL Secretariat, ACIL provides staff and supports volunteers active in this important area.

Over the past several years, ACIL has administered round robin proficiency testing programs with two artifacts allowing laboratories to make both AC line conducted and radiated emissions measurements over the frequency range of 0.15–30 MHz and 30 MHz–1 GHz, respectively. While continuing the round robins in the frequencies noted above, ACIL has launched another round robin with a new test artifact. This artifact will allow participating laboratories to demonstrate proficiency for radiated emissions measurements in the frequency range of 1–18 GHz. Emissions measurements above 1 GHz are becoming increasingly common with the advent of fast processors and wireless devices in the 2.4- and 5-GHz bands.

ACIL also was instrumental in the formation of the Telecommunication Certification Body Council (TCBC). New rules establishing TCBs were adopted by the FCC in December 1998, providing more options for manufacturers—they can now choose to have their product certified by either the FCC or a private certification body (TCB). A TCB may approve equipment subject to certification (e.g., transmitters, telecom terminal equipment, or scanning receivers). The TCB Council addresses the specific concerns of the TCB community and all constituent bodies are permitted to participate.

**U.S. Product Certifiers**

Key U.S. product certifiers are ACIL members and are reaping many benefits, such as participation in the ACIL Third-Party Product Certifiers Committee (3P²C²). This Committee provides a forum for members to discuss and act upon various issues of common interest. This committee formed the American Council for Electrical Safety to serve as a forum among testing laboratories, regulators, and electrical inspectors.

Website: www.acil.org
The following is a list of the principal U.S., NATO and Canadian Government personnel known to be involved in the interference technology field. This list is based upon best available data at the time of publication. Additions, deletions and corrections for any facility may be updated at any time by e-mailing your changes to slong@interferencetechnology.com.
PRODUCTS & SERVICES INDEX

1. ABSORBER CLAMPS
   - DNB Engineering, Inc.
   - ETS-Lindgren
   - Fischer Custom Communications

2. ABSORPTIVE FILTERS
   - Donotech, Inc.
   - Instruments for Industry (IFI)
   - Intermark (USA) Inc.

3. ACTIVE FILTERS
   - LCR Electronics, Inc.
   - Schaffner EMC, Inc.

4. AMPLIFIERS
   - Advanced Test Equipment Rentals
   - AE Techtron, Inc.
   - Amber Technologies
   - Applied Systems Engineering, Inc.
   - AR Receiver Systems
   - AR RF/Microwave Instrumentation
   - CAP Wireless
   - Comtech PST Corp.
   - CPI (Communications & Power Industries)
   - d8 Control
   - Instruments for Industry (IFI)
   - MCL Inc., A MITEQ Company
   - MILMEGA Ltd.
   - Noise Laboratory Co., Ltd.
   - NP Technologies, Inc.
   - Ophir RF
   - Pasternack Enterprises
   - Power Products International Ltd.
   - Quartzwave Corporation
   - Silicon Labs
   - Teseq

5. ANECHOIC CHAMBERS – FIRE PROTECTION
   - ETS-Lindgren
   - Panashield

6. ANECHOIC MATERIALS
   - ETS-Lindgren
   - Fair-Rite Products Corp.

7. ANTENNA FILTERS
   - Captor Corp.
   - Fotofab
   - Spectrum Advanced Specialty Products

8. ANTENNA MASTS
   - ETS-Lindgren

9. ANTIEMISSIONS
   - A.H. Systems, Inc.
   - Advanced Test Equipment Rentals
   - Applied Electromagnetic Technology LLC
   - AR RF/Microwave Instrumentation
   - ARA Technologies
   - Beehive Electronics
   - Com-Power Corp.
   - Dynamic Sciences International, Inc.
   - Electro-Metrics Corp.
   - ETS-Lindgren
   - Fotofab
   - Instruments for Industry (IFI)
   - Laird Technologies
   - Liberty Labs, Inc.
   - Lubrizol Conductive Polymers
   - Noise Laboratory Co., Ltd.
   - O-par Angus Ltd.
   - Spectrum Advanced Specialty Products
   - Sunol Sciences Corp.
   - TDK Corp.
   - TDK RF Solutions
   - Teseq

10. ANTISTATIC COATINGS
    - Donotech, Inc.
    - Lamart Corp.
    - Swift Textile Metalizing LLC

11. ANTISTATIC MATERIALS
    - ACL Inc.
    - Swift Textile Metalizing LLC

12. ARCHITECTURAL SHIELDING PRODUCTS
    - Alco Technologies, Inc.
    - Kemtron Ltd.

13. AUDIO BAND POWER AMPLIFIERS
    - AE Techtron, Inc.

14. AUTOMOTIVE TESTING
    - D.A.R.E!! Instruments
    - D.L.S. Electronic Systems, Inc.
    - Elite Electronic Engineering Co.
    - National Technical Systems
    - Radiometrics Midwest Corp.
    - Teseq

15. BACKSHELLS, SHIELDED ASSEMBLIES, TERMINATIONS
    - Federal-Mogul Corporation
    - Systems Protection
    - Kensington Electronics Inc.
    - Northern Technologies Corp.

16. BELLCORE TESTING (SEE TELCORDIA)
    - D.L.S. Electronic Systems, Inc.
    - National Technical Systems
    - TUV SUD America Inc.

17. BICONICAL ANTENNAS
    - A.H. Systems, Inc.
    - ETS-Lindgren
    - Instruments for Industry (IFI)
    - Liberty Labs, Inc.
    - Noise Laboratory Co., Ltd.
    - Teseq

18. BIDIRECTIONAL COUPLERS
    - Instruments for Industry (IFI)

19. BOARD LEVEL SHIELDS
    - 3Gmetalworx World
    - W. L. Gore & Associates, Inc.

INTERFERENCE TECHNOLOGY'S 2012 EMC Products & Services Index contains approximately 250 different categories to help you find the equipment, components, and services you need. Locate additional product information by consulting the Advertiser Index on page 168. Full details of all the suppliers listed within each category can be found in the Company Directory, starting on page 151. For individual Products & Services Indexes broken out by category, see the box at the bottom of this page.

PRODUCTS & SERVICES INDECES BY CATEGORY

- Test Instrumentation ........................................ 10
- Testing ......................................................... 24
- Amplifiers / Antennas ....................................... 46
- Filters / Ferrites .............................................. 56
- Cables / Connectors ......................................... 80
- Shielding ....................................................... 82
- Surge & Transients ........................................... 94
- Conductive Materials ........................................ 94

BOOKS
- Henry Ott Consultants
- ITEM Media
- Kimmel Gerke Associates, Ltd.
- Montrose Compliance Service

BRAID
- Alco Technologies, Inc.
- Calmont Wire & Cable, Inc.
- Federal-Mogul Corporation
- Systems Protection
- Syscom Advanced Materials

BROADBAND EMI DETECTORS
- Advanced Test Equipment Rentals
- Agilent Technologies, Inc.
- ETS-Lindgren

CABINETRY & HARDWARE
- Fotofab

CABLES & CONNECTORS
- AEF Solutions
- Alco Technologies, Inc.
- Amphenol Industrial Operations
- Brim Electronics, Inc.
- Calbrick Marketing Inc.
- Captor Corp.
- CONEC Corp. - USA
- Electri-Flex Company
- ETS-Lindgren
Federal-Mogul Corporation Systems Protection
Fischer Connectors Inc.
Fotofab
Harwin
Hi-Tech Controls
Hi-Voltage & EMI Corp.
ITT Interconnect Solutions
Ja-bar Silicone Corporation
Lutze Inc.
PennEngineering
Positronic Industries
Potters Industries, Inc.
PSC Electronics
Quatek Electronics Corp.
RIA CONNECT
Schaffner EMC, Inc.
Schurter Inc.
Sealcon
Spectrum Advanced Specialty Products
Swift Textile Metalizing LLC
Teledyne Reynolds
Wilcoxon Research
Wurth Electronics Midcom Inc.

CALIBRATION SERVICES
A.H. Systems, Inc.
Austest Laboratories
D.A.R.E!! Calibrations
ETS-Lindgren
Fischer Custom Communications Instruments for Industry (IFI)
LTI Metrology
National Technical Systems
Pearson Electronics, Inc.
Teseq
TUV SUD America Inc.

CALIBRATION TESTING
D.A.R.E!! Calibrations
Liberty Labs, Inc.

CERTIFICATION SERVICES
Braco Compliance Ltd.
D.L.S. Electronic Systems, Inc.
DNB Engineering, Inc.
Electronics Test Centre (Kanata)
Elite Electronic Engineering Co.
ITEM Media
MET Laboratories, Inc.
National Technical Systems Radiometrics Midwest Corp.
TUV SUD America Inc.

CHAMBERS REVERB
ETS-Lindgren

COAXIAL FILTER CONNECTORS
Captor Corp.
EMC Eupen, A Div. of I2R Corp.
Kensington Electronics Inc.
Soshin Electronics Europe GmbH
Spectrum Advanced Specialty Products

COMPETENT/CERTIFIED ACCREDITING BODIES TESTING
D.A.R.E!! Instruments
D.L.S. Electronic Systems, Inc.
Elite Electronic Engineering Co.
National Technical Systems

COMPUTER-AIDED ANALYSIS SERVICES
Apache Design Solutions
CST of America, Inc.
Electronics Test Centre (Kanata)
ETS-Lindgren
National Technical Systems
TUV SUD America Inc.

CONDUCTIVE ADHESIVES, CAULKS, EPOXIES & ELASTOMERS
Alco Technologies, Inc.
ARC Technologies, Inc.
Creative Materials, Inc.
Dontech, Inc.
EEMCCOIMEX
Ja-bar Silicone Corporation
Kemtron Ltd.
Metal Textiles Corp.
P&P Technology Ltd.
Silicone Solutions
Sunkyoung S.T.
VTI Vacuum Technologies, Inc.
Seal Science
Tech-Etch, Inc.

CONDUCTIVE CLOTH
Alco Technologies, Inc.
ARC Technologies, Inc.
Dontech, Inc.
Eoenx Corporation
Federal-Mogul Corporation
Ja-bar Silicone Corporation
Kemtron Ltd.
Metal Textiles Corp.
P&P Technology Ltd.
Silicone Solutions
Sunkyoung S.T.

CONDUCTIVE COATINGS
Alco Technologies, Inc.
ALX Technical
Conductive Compounds Inc.
Dontech, Inc.
Ja-bar Silicone Corporation
Master Bond
Plastic-Metals Technologies, Inc.
Swift Textile Metalizing LLC

CONDUCTIVE CONTAINERS
MgShield Company, Inc.
Swift Textile Metalizing LLC
VTI Vacuum Technologies, Inc.

CONDUCTIVE LAMINATES
Dontech, Inc.
Ja-bar Silicone Corporation
Kemtron Ltd.
Swift Textile Metalizing LLC

CONDUCTIVE MATERIALS
3M Electronics Markets
Materials Division
Adhesives Research, Inc.
Alchemetal
Alco Technologies, Inc.
Antistatic Industries of Delaware
ARC Technologies, Inc.
Caprock Mfg.
Cool Polymers, Inc.
Dessci Industries Inc.
Device Technologies, Inc.
Dontech, Inc.
EEMCCOIMEX
Eoenx Corporation
Federal-Mogul Corporation
 Ja-bar Silicone Corporation
Kemtron Ltd.
LGS Technologies
M&C Specialties
Marktek Inc.
Master Bond
MTI - Microsorb Technologies, Inc.
Mueller Corp.
Oak-Mitsui Technologies
P&P Technology Ltd.
Premix Oy
Progressive Fillers International
Sealing Devices Inc.
Sulzer Metco (Canada) Inc.
Swift Textile Metalizing LLC
Tech-Etch, Inc.
THEMIX Plastics, Inc.
Venture Tape Corp.

CONDUCTIVE PAINT
Alco Technologies, Inc.
Dontech, Inc.
Swift Textile Metalizing LLC

CONDUCTIVE PARTICLES
Ja-bar Silicone Corporation

CONDUCTIVE PLASTICS
CAPLINQ Corp.
Cool Polymers, Inc.
Dexmet Corporation
Dontech, Inc.
Premix Oy
VTI Vacuum Technologies, Inc.

CONDUCTIVE PLATING
Dontech, Inc.
Ja-bar Silicone Corporation
Kemtron Ltd.
Swift Textile Metalizing LLC
VTI Vacuum Technologies, Inc.

CONDUCTIVE TAPES
Alco Technologies, Inc.
Bystat International Inc.
Dontech, Inc.
Internmark (USA) Inc.
ITW/Pressure Sensitive Adhesives & Components
Ja-bar Silicone Corporation
Kemtron Ltd.
M&C Specialties
P&P Technology Ltd.
Swift Textile Metalizing LLC

CONDUIT, ELECTRICAL SHIELDED, MAGNETIC & RF
Federal-Mogul Corporation Systems Protection
Ja-bar Silicone Corporation
Kemtron Ltd.
VitaTech Electromagnetics

CONSULTANTS
Captor Corp.
D.A.R.E!! Instruments
D.L.S. Electronic Systems, Inc.
DNB Engineering, Inc.
Don HEIRMAN Consultants
Elite Electronic Engineering Co.
EM Software & Systems
EMC Cons Dr. Rasek GmbH
EMC Management Concepts
EMCC Dr. Rasek EMC
EMMC
EMITECH
Equipment Reliability Institute
ERA Technology Ltd. Trading as
Cahman Technical Services
ETS-Lindgren
Henry Ott Consultants
Hoolihan EMC Consulting
ITEM Media
Kimmel Gerke Associates, Ltd.
Montrose Compliance Service, Inc.
MOOSER Consulting GmbH
NewPath Research L.L.C.
Paladin EMC

Update, add or edit your company’s information in the EMC Buyers’ Guide at www.interferencetechnology.com
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Companies</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Coupling-Decoupling Networks</strong></td>
<td>Haefely EMC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Electro-Optical Shields</strong></td>
<td>Dontech, Inc. MyShield Company, Inc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CURRENT PROBES</strong></td>
<td>A.H. Systems, Inc. ETS-Lindgren Fischer Custom Communications Pearson Electronics, Inc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Design Software</strong></td>
<td>AR RF/Microwave Instrumentation AWR Corporation CST of America, Inc. EM Software &amp; Systems Moss Bay EDA Sonnet Software, Inc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Direct Lightning Testing</strong></td>
<td>DNB Engineering, Inc. Electronics Test Centre (Kanata) National Technical Systems TUV SUD America Inc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Discoidal Capacitors</strong></td>
<td>Union Technology Corp.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>E-Field Antennas</strong></td>
<td>A.H. Systems, Inc. Advanced Test Equipment Rentals AR RF/Microwave Instrumentation ETS-Lindgren</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For more information on these and other EMI/EMC companies, visit the new and improved Interference Technology EMC Buyers' Guide at [www.interferencetechnology.com](http://www.interferencetechnology.com)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TECHNOLOGY</th>
<th>PRODUCTS &amp; SERVICES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>INTERFERENCE TECHNOLOGY</strong></td>
<td><strong>145</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>FEED-THROUGH FILTERS</strong></td>
<td>Potomac Instruments Inc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Captain Corp.</td>
<td>SRICO, Inc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EMI Filter Company</td>
<td>Spectrum Advanced Specialty Products</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Instec Filters</td>
<td>Captain Corp.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radius Power, Inc.</td>
<td>Photofab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RF Immunity Ltd.</td>
<td>Syfer Technology Limited</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schaffner EMC, Inc.</td>
<td>Spectrum Advanced Specialty Products</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spectrum Advanced Specialty Products</td>
<td>Captain Corp.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Syfer Technology Limited</td>
<td>Photofab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TDK-EPC Corp.</td>
<td>Instec Filters</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tri-Mag, Inc.</td>
<td>LCR Electronics, Inc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>FERRITE BEADS &amp; CORES</strong></td>
<td>Captain Corp.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AEM, Inc.</td>
<td>Emi Filter Company</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allied Components International</td>
<td>Fotofab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cosmo Ferries Limited</td>
<td>Instec Filters</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ferronics, Inc.</td>
<td>LCR Electronics, Inc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intermark (USA) Inc.</td>
<td>Captain Corp.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kemtron Ltd.</td>
<td>EMi Filter Company</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magnet Industry Ltd.</td>
<td>Schaffner EMC, Inc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEC Kitagawa</td>
<td>Spectrum Advanced Specialty Products</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Magnetics Group, Inc.</td>
<td>Captain Corp.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TDK-EPC Corp.</td>
<td>Syfer Technology Limited</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>THORA Elektronik GmbH</td>
<td>Spectrum Advanced Specialty Products</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>FERRITE SUPPRESSION COMPONENTS</strong></td>
<td>Synergetic Technology Group, Inc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARC Technologies, Inc.</td>
<td>TDK-EPC Corp. X2Y Attenuators LLC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fair-Rite Products Corp.</td>
<td><strong>FILTER CHOKES</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intermark (USA) Inc.</td>
<td>Captain Corp.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kemtron Ltd.</td>
<td>Datatronics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spectrum Advanced Specialty Products</td>
<td>Fair-Rite Products Corp.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Captain Corp.</td>
<td>LCR Electronics, Inc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Datatronics</td>
<td>Captain Corp.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fair-Rite Products Corp.</td>
<td>Radius Power, Inc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Datatronics</td>
<td>Schaffner EMC, Inc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCR Electronics, Inc.</td>
<td>Schaffner EMC, Inc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Captain Corp.</td>
<td>Schurter Inc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Datatronics</td>
<td>Schurter Inc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fair-Rite Products Corp.</td>
<td>Spectrum Advanced Specialty Products</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>FERRITES</strong></td>
<td><strong>FILTER COILS</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adams Magnetic Products Co.</td>
<td>Captain Corp.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AEM, Inc.</td>
<td>Communication Coil, Inc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allied Components International</td>
<td>Curtis Industries / Filter Networks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARC Technologies, Inc.</td>
<td>Captain Corp.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dexter Magnetic Technologies</td>
<td>Curtis Industries / Filter Networks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EMC Component Group, Inc.</td>
<td>Curtis Industries / Filter Networks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fair-Rite Products Corp.</td>
<td>Curtis Industries / Filter Networks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intermark (USA) Inc.</td>
<td>Curtis Industries / Filter Networks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kemtron Ltd.</td>
<td>Curtis Industries / Filter Networks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magnet Industry Ltd.</td>
<td>Curtis Industries / Filter Networks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEC Kitagawa</td>
<td>Curtis Industries / Filter Networks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spectrum Advanced Specialty Products</td>
<td>Curtis Industries / Filter Networks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taiyo Yuden (U.S.A.) Inc.</td>
<td>Curtis Industries / Filter Networks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>FIBER OPTIC CABLES</strong></td>
<td><strong>FILTER CONNECTORS</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ETS-Lindgren</td>
<td>AEF Solutions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>FIBER OPTIC SYSTEMS</strong></td>
<td>Captain Corp.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accurate Controls Ltd.</td>
<td>Curtis Industries / Filter Networks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D.A.R.E!! Consultancy</td>
<td>Glennair Inc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fischer Custom Communications</td>
<td>Heilind Electronics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michigan Scientific Corp.</td>
<td>Kensington Electronics Inc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Micronor Inc.</td>
<td><strong>FILTER MODULES</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>FIELD INTENSITY METERS</strong></td>
<td>Captain Corp.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EMC Test Design</td>
<td>Curtis Industries / Filter Networks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ETS-Lindgren</td>
<td>Elite EMC Ltd.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Instruments for Industry (IFI)</td>
<td>Instec Filters</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Narda Safety Test Solutions S.r.l.</td>
<td>Schaffner EMC, Inc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>FINGER STOCK</strong></td>
<td>Schurter Inc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ja-bar Silicone Corporation</td>
<td><strong>FILTERS</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kemtron Ltd.</td>
<td>Advanced Monolithic Ceramics, Inc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P&amp;P Technology Ltd.</td>
<td>Aerodev Electromagnetic Tech</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tech-Etch, Inc.</td>
<td>Alco Electronics Technologies, Inc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>GROUND RESISTANCE TESTERS</strong></td>
<td>Amphenol Electromagnetic Tech</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AEMC Instruments, Inc.</td>
<td>Alco Electronics Technologies, Inc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>GROUNDING RODS</strong></td>
<td>Amphenol Canada Corp.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intermark (USA) Inc.</td>
<td>Api Delean</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>GROUNDING SERVICES</strong></td>
<td>Arcotronics, Inc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intermark (USA) Inc.</td>
<td>Aries Electronics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>GROUNDING SYSTEMS</strong></td>
<td>AVX Corporation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intermark (USA) Inc.</td>
<td>Capcon International, Inc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lightning Eliminators &amp; Consultants, Inc.</td>
<td>Captror Corp.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>GTEM CELLS</strong></td>
<td>Cre® Associates Ltd.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ETS-Lindgren</td>
<td>Curtis Industries / Filter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fischer Custom Communications</td>
<td>Networks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Instruments for Industry (IFI)</td>
<td>Networks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Noise Laboratory Co., Ltd.</td>
<td>Networks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>H-FIELD ANTENNAS</strong></td>
<td>Networks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A.H. Systems, Inc.</td>
<td>Networks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>INTERFERENCE TECHNOLOGY</strong></td>
<td>Networks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Captain Corp.</td>
<td>Networks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Curtis Industries / Filter Networks</td>
<td>Networks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>elite EMc Ltd.</td>
<td>Networks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EMI Filter Company</td>
<td>Networks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EMI Filters</td>
<td>Networks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schaffner EMC, Inc.</td>
<td>Networks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schurter Inc.</td>
<td>Networks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Jiangsu WEMC Technology Co., Ltd.</strong></td>
<td>Networks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>JOHANSON DIELECTRICS, INC.</strong></td>
<td>Networks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>L-C R ELECTRONICS, INC.</strong></td>
<td>Networks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>MERCURY UNITED ELECTRONICS INC.</strong></td>
<td>Networks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>MPE LIMITED</strong></td>
<td>Networks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>MURATA ELECTRONICS NORTH AMERICA</strong></td>
<td>Networks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>OXLEY DEVELOPMENTS COMPANY LTD.</strong></td>
<td>Networks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PACIFIC AEROSPACE &amp; ELECTRONICS, INC.</strong></td>
<td>Networks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PANASONIC ELECTRONIC COMPONENTS</strong></td>
<td>Networks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>QUELL CORPORATION</strong></td>
<td>Networks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>RADIUS POWER, INC.</strong></td>
<td>Networks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>RPI CORP.</strong></td>
<td>Networks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ROXBURGH EMC</strong></td>
<td>Networks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SABRITEC</strong></td>
<td>Networks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SCHAFFNER EMC, INC.</strong></td>
<td>Networks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SCHURTER INC.</strong></td>
<td>Networks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SI TIME CORP.</strong></td>
<td>Networks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SOURIAU PA&amp;E</strong></td>
<td>Networks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SPECTRUM ADVANCED SPECIALTY PRODUCTS</strong></td>
<td>Networks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SPECTRUM CONTROL</strong></td>
<td>Networks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SYNERGISTIC TECHNOLOGY GROUP, INC.</strong></td>
<td>Networks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TEXAS SPECTRUM ELECTRONICS</strong></td>
<td>Networks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TycO ELECTRONICS</strong></td>
<td>Networks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>V TECHNICAL TEXTILES, INC.</strong></td>
<td>Networks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>VISHAY INTERTECHNOLOGY, INC.</strong></td>
<td>Networks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>VPT, INC.</strong></td>
<td>Networks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>INTERMARK (USA) INC.</strong></td>
<td>Networks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Jiangsu WEMC Technology Co., Ltd.</strong></td>
<td>Networks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>JOHANSON DIELECTRICS, INC.</strong></td>
<td>Networks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>L-C R ELECTRONICS, INC.</strong></td>
<td>Networks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>MERCURY UNITED ELECTRONICS INC.</strong></td>
<td>Networks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>MPE LIMITED</strong></td>
<td>Networks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>MURATA ELECTRONICS NORTH AMERICA</strong></td>
<td>Networks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>OXLEY DEVELOPMENTS COMPANY LTD.</strong></td>
<td>Networks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PACIFIC AEROSPACE &amp; ELECTRONICS, INC.</strong></td>
<td>Networks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PANASONIC ELECTRONIC COMPONENTS</strong></td>
<td>Networks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>QUELL CORPORATION</strong></td>
<td>Networks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>RADIUS POWER, INC.</strong></td>
<td>Networks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>RPI CORP.</strong></td>
<td>Networks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ROXBURGH EMC</strong></td>
<td>Networks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SABRITEC</strong></td>
<td>Networks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SCHAFFNER EMC, INC.</strong></td>
<td>Networks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SCHURTER INC.</strong></td>
<td>Networks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SI TIME CORP.</strong></td>
<td>Networks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SOURIAU PA&amp;E</strong></td>
<td>Networks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SPECTRUM ADVANCED SPECIALTY PRODUCTS</strong></td>
<td>Networks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SPECTRUM CONTROL</strong></td>
<td>Networks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SYNERGISTIC TECHNOLOGY GROUP, INC.</strong></td>
<td>Networks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TEXAS SPECTRUM ELECTRONICS</strong></td>
<td>Networks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TycO ELECTRONICS</strong></td>
<td>Networks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>V TECHNICAL TEXTILES, INC.</strong></td>
<td>Networks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>VISHAY INTERTECHNOLOGY, INC.</strong></td>
<td>Networks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>VPT, INC.</strong></td>
<td>Networks</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
AR RF/Microwave Instrumentation
ETS-Lindgren
Instruments for Industry (IFI)
Noise Laboratory Co., Ltd.

AR RF/Microwave Instrumentation
EMC Partner AG
ETS-Lindgren

AR RF/Microwave Instrumentation
EMC Partner AG
ETS-Lindgren

Induced Current Meters & Probes

Captor Corp.

HELMHOLTZ COILS
ETS-Lindgren
Fischer Custom Communications

HIGH VOLTAGE PULSE TRANSFORMERS
Pearson Electronics, Inc.

HONEYCOMB SHIELDING
ETS-Lindgren
Intermark (USA) Inc.
Ja-bar Silicone Corporation
Kemtron Ltd.
P&P Technology Ltd.
Spira Manufacturing Corp.
Tech-Etch, Inc.

HORN ANTENNAS
A.H. Systems, Inc.
Advanced Test Equipment Rentals
AR RF/Microwave Instrumentation
ETS-Lindgren
Instruments for Industry (IFI)
Liberty Labs, Inc.

HYBRID ANTENNAS
ETS-Lindgren

IMMUNITY TESTING
A.H. Systems, Inc.
D.A.R.E! Instruments
D.L.S. Electronic Systems, Inc.
DNB Engineering, Inc.
Electronics Test Centre (Canata)
Elite Electronic Engineering Co.
LEDE-SIECIT
National Technical Systems
Radiometrics Midwest Corp.
Retlif Testing Laboratories
Teseq
TUV SUD America Inc.

IMPULSE GENERATORS
AR RF/Microwave Instrumentation
Compliance West, USA
EM Test USA
EMC Partner AG
Haefely EMC
HV Technologies, Inc.

ION PHYSICS CORP.
National Technical Systems

INDUCED CURRENT METERS & PROBES

A.H. Systems, Inc.
Advanced Test Equipment Rentals
AR RF/Microwave Instrumentation
ETS-Lindgren

INDUCTORS
BI Technologies
Captor Corp.
Curtis Industries / Filter Networks
Frontier Electronics, Corp.
Gowanda Electronics
Kemtron Ltd.
Kemtron EMC, Inc.
LCR Electronics, Inc.

INSERTION LOSS TEST NETWORKS
Captor Corp.

INTERFERENCE GENERATORS
EMC Partner AG
HV Technologies, Inc.

IRON CORE POWDERED MAGNETIC MATERIALS
Fair-Rite Products Corp.

ISO 9000 TESTING
Electronics Test Centre (Kanata)
National Technical Systems
Swift Textile Metalizing LLC
TUV SUD America Inc.

ISOTROPIC FIELD SENSORS
D.A.R.E! Consultancy
ETS-Lindgren
Instruments for Industry (IFI)

LIGHTNING GENERATORS
Advanced Test Equipment Rentals
Avalon Test Equipment Corp.
EM Test USA
EMC Partner AG
Fischer Custom Communications
Haefely EMC
HV Technologies, Inc.
Lightning Technologies, Inc.
Noise Laboratory Co., Ltd.

LIGHTNING SIMULATORS
Advanced Test Equipment Rentals

LIGHTNING STRIKE TESTING
D.L.S. Electronic Systems, Inc.
DNB Engineering, Inc.
Elite Electronic Engineering Co.
National Technical Systems
Pearson Electronics, Inc.
Radiometrics Midwest Corp.
Retlif Testing Laboratories
TUV SUD America Inc.

LISNS
ETS-Lindgren

LOG PERIODIC ANTENNAS
A.H. Systems, Inc.
Advanced Test Equipment Rentals
AR RF/Microwave Instrumentation
ETS-Lindgren
Instruments for Industry (IFI)
Liberty Labs, Inc.
Noise Laboratory Co., Ltd.

MAGNETIC FIELD METERS
Combinov AB
Ergonomics, Inc.
Fischer Custom Communications

MAGNETIC FIELD PROBES
Agilent Technologies, Inc.
AR RF/Microwave Instrumentation
ETS-Lindgren
Fischer Custom Communications
Langer EMV-Technik GmbH

MAGNETIC SHIELDING
VTI Vacuum Technologies, Inc.

MAGNETIC SHIELDING GASKETS

Kemtron Ltd.
Spira Manufacturing Corp.
VTI Vacuum Technologies, Inc.

NAVLAB / A2LA APPROVED TESTING
A2LA
ATLAS Compliance & Engineering
Bay Area Compliance Labs Corp.
Compliance Management Group
D.L.S. Electronic Systems, Inc.
DNB Engineering, Inc.
Electronics Test Centre (Canata)
Elite Electronic Engineering Co.
Liberty Labs, Inc.
National Technical Systems
NU Laboratories
Radiometrics Midwest Corp.
TUV SUD America Inc.

NAVLAB / A2LA APPROVED TESTING

MICROFIlTERS
Cobham Microwave
EMI Filter Company
Fotofab
Instec Filters
Instruments for Industry (IFI)
LCR Electronics, Inc.

MICROWAVE ABSORBERS
ARC Technologies, Inc.
ETS-Lindgren
Intermark (USA) Inc.
Kemtron Ltd.

MICROWAVE FILTERS
Cobham Microwave
EMI Filter Company
Fotofab
Instec Filters
Instruments for Industry (IFI)
LCR Electronics, Inc.

Spectrum Advanced Specialty Products
Syfer Technology Limited

MICROWAVE POWER AMPLIFIERS
Advanced Test Equipment Rentals
AR RF/Microwave Instrumentation
Giga-tronics/Ascor Inc.
Instruments for Industry (IFI)

MIL-STD 188/125 TESTING
DNB Engineering, Inc.
Elite Electronic Engineering Co.
National Technical Systems

MIL-STD 461 / 462 TESTING
D.L.S. Electronic Systems, Inc.
DNB Engineering, Inc.
Electronics Test Centre (Canata)
Elite Electronic Engineering Co.
EMC Compliance
Harris Corp (GCS&D)
National Technical Systems
Retlif Testing Laboratories
Radiometrics Midwest Corp.
TUV SUD America Inc.
Wyle

MOBILE SHIELDED ROOMS
EMI Technologies, Inc.
Select Fabricators, Inc.
Source1 Solutions
Swift Textile Metalizing LLC

MONOPOLE ANTENNAS

A.H. Systems, Inc.
Advanced Test Equipment Rentals
AR RF/Microwave Instrumentation
ETS-Lindgren
Instruments for Industry (IFI)
Liberty Labs, Inc.
Noise Laboratory Co., Ltd.

MRI SHIELDING
Dontech, Inc.
ETS-Lindgren
MyShield Company, Inc.
Select Fabricators, Inc.

NETWORK ANALYZERS
Agilent Technologies, Inc.
For more information on these and other EMI/EMC companies, visit the new and improved Interference Technology EMC Buyers' Guide at www.interferencetechnology.com
Select Fabricators, Inc.

**SHEILD ROOMS, ACCESSORIES**

- Ad-Vance Magnetics, Inc.
- Donotech, Inc.
- ETS-Lindgren
- Gaven Industries Inc.
- Leader Tech, Inc.
- National Technical Systems
- Shielding Resources Group, Inc.
- Swift Textile Metalizing LLC

**SHEILD ROOMS & ENCLOSURES**

- Alco Technologies, Inc.
- Allied Moulded Products, Inc.
- AR Tech
- Braden Shielding Systems
- Bud Industries
- Captain Corp.
- Contest Engineering bv
- E&C Anechoic Chambers Asia Ltd.
- EMI Technologies, Inc.
- EMP-tronic AB
- ETS-Lindgren
- Fotofab
- Frankonia EMC
- Global EMC Ltd.
- Holland Shielding Systems BV
- IMS Engineered Products
- Instruments for Industry (IFI)
- K-Form, Inc.
- Modpak, Inc.
- Noise Laboratory Co., Ltd.
- ORBIT Advanced
- Electromagnetics, Inc. (AEMI)
- R. A. Mayes Company, Inc.
- Rainford EMC Systems Ltd.
- Select Fabricators, Inc.
- Source1 Solutions
- Spira Manufacturing Corp.
- Stahlin Enclosures
- Swift Textile Metalizing LLC
- Videon Central Inc.
- VTI Vacuum Technologies, Inc.

**SHEILD ROOMS, LEAK DETECTORS / MONITORS**

- ETS-Lindgren

**SHEILD SCANS, MONITORS & CRTS**

- Donotech Incorporated

**SHEILD SWITCHES**

- Schurter Inc.

**SHEILD TRANSPARENT WINDOWS**

- Donotech, Inc.
- Instrument Plastics Ltd.
- Kemtron Ltd.
- P&P Technology Ltd.
- Tempest Security Systems Inc.

**SHEILDING TUBING**

- Federal-Mogul Corporation
- Systems Protection
- Ja-bar Silicone Corporation
- Kemtron Ltd.
- MuShield Company, Inc.
- Zippertubing Company

**SHEILDING**

- 3M Electronics Markets
- Materials Division
- A&R Tarpaulins, Inc.
- Alco Technologies, Inc.
- Ammuneal Manufacturing Corp.
- ANAMET, Electrical, Inc.
- ARC Technologies, Inc.
- Autospile, Inc.
- Axonics, Inc.
- Bal Seal Engineering, Inc.
- Calmont Wire & Cable, Inc.
- Central Coating Company
- Chomerics, Div. of Parker Hannifin Corp.
- Cima NanoTech, Inc.
- Connors Company
- Dextem Corporation
- Donotech, Inc.
- East Coast Shielding
- Ed Fagan Inc.
- Emerson & Cuming Microwave Products, Inc.
- ETS-Lindgren
- Fabritech, Inc.
- Federal-Mogul Corporation
- Systems Protection
- Feuerherdt GmbH
- Field Management Services
- Fotofab
- W. L. Gore & Associates, Inc.
- HFC Shielding Prod. Co. Ltd.
- Insulfab
- Intermark (USA) Inc.
- Ja-bar Silicone Corporation
- JEMIC Shielding Technologies
- JRE Test, LLC
- Kemtron Ltd.
- Magnetic Radiation Laboratories
- Magnetic Shield Corporation
- MAJR Products Corp.
- Mekoprint A/S Chemographies
- MH&W International Corp.
- MuShield Company, Inc.
- Nolato Silkonteknik
- Orbil Corp.
- P&P Technology Ltd.
- Plastic-Metals Technologies, Inc.
- Precision Manufacturing Group
- RFI Controls Company
- Roxtec
- Rubercraft
- Saint-Gobain High Performance Seals
- SAS Industries, Inc.
- Schurter Inc.
- Soliani EMC SRL
- Specialty Silicone Products
- Spectrum Advanced Specialty Products
- Spira Manufacturing Corp.
- Swift Textile Metalizing LLC
- Syscom Advanced Materials
- Tech-Etch, Inc.
- United Western Enterprises, Inc.
- Universal Air Filter
- Universal Shielding Corp.
- Vanguard Products Corp.
- Vermillion, Inc.
- VitaTech Electromagnetics
- WaveZero, Inc.
- Zero Ground LLC
- Zippertubing Company
- Zuben
- VTI Vacuum Technologies, Inc.

**SHEILDING COMPONENTS**

- Tech-Etch, Inc.

**SHEILDING EFFECTIVENESS TESTING**

- D.A.R.E! Calibrations
- D.L.S. Electronic Systems, Inc.
- DNB Engineering, Inc.
- Donotech, Inc.
- Electronics Test Centre (Kanata)
- Elite Electronic Engineering Co.
- ETS-Lindgren
- Federal-Mogul Corporation
- Systems Protection
- National Technical Systems
- Radiometrics Midwest Corp.
- Retif Testing Laboratories
- TUV SUD America Inc.

**SHEILDING FOILS**

- Federal-Mogul Corporation
- Systems Protection
- Ja-bar Silicone Corporation
- Kemtron Ltd.
- MuShield Company, Inc.
- Tapco Electronics, Inc.

**SHEILDING MATERIAL, MAGNETIC FIELD**

- Federal-Mogul Corporation
- Systems Protection
- W. L. Gore & Associates, Inc.
- Ja-bar Silicone Corporation
- Kemtron Ltd.
- Less EMF Inc.
- Magnetic Shield Corporation
- MuShield Company, Inc.
- Spira Manufacturing Corp.
- Vacuum Schmelze GmbH & Co.
- VTI Vacuum Technologies, Inc.

**SIGNAL GENERATORS**

- Agilent Technologies, Inc.
- AR RF/Microwave Instrumentation
- D.A.R.E! Consultancy
- Pregosyn, Inc.
- York EMC Services Ltd.

**SIGNAL LINE FILTERS**

- Captor Corp.
- Curtis Industries / Filter Networks
- EMI Filter Company
- ETS-Lindgren
- In-tec Filters
- Spectrum Advanced Specialty Products
- Syfer Technology Limited
- TDK-EPC Corp.
- WEMS Electronics

**SIGNAL LINE ISOLATION TRANSFORMERS**

- Kensington Electronics, Inc.

**SIMULATION SOFTWARE**

- EM Software & Systems
- EMS-Plus

**SITE ATTENUATION TESTING**

- D.A.R.E! Calibrations
- D.L.S. Electronic Systems, Inc.
- DNB Engineering, Inc.
- Electronics Test Centre (Kanata)
- ETS-Lindgren
- National Technical Systems
- Radiometrics Midwest Corp.
- Retif Testing Laboratories

**SITE SURVEY SERVICES**

- D.A.R.E! Calibrations
- D.L.S. Electronic Systems, Inc.
- DNB Engineering, Inc.
- Electronics Test Centre (Kanata)
- Elite Electronic Engineering Co.
- ETS-Lindgren
- Kimmel Gerke Associates, Ltd.
- National Technical Systems
- Radiometrics Midwest Corp.
- Retif Testing Laboratories

**SOLID STATE AMPLIFIERS**

- AE Techron, Inc.
- AR RF/Microwave Instrumentation
- Instruments for Industry (IFI)

**SPECTRUM ANALYZERS**

- Agilent Technologies, Inc.
- ValueTronics International, Inc.

**SPREAD SPECTRUM PRODUCTS**

- Mercury United Electronics Inc.
- SiTime Corp.

**STANDARDS TRANSLATIONS**

- Advanced Programs, Inc.
- ANDRO Computational Solutions
- Electronics Test Centre (Kanata)
- PGM Ltd.
- TUV SUD America Inc.

**STATIC CONTROL MATERIALS & EQUIPMENT**

- Advanced Test Equipment Rentals
Pearson Electronics, Inc.  
Percept Technology Labs, Inc.  
Philips Applied Technologies - EMC Center  
Philips Innovation Services-EMC Center  
Pioneer Automotive Technologies, Inc. - EMC Lab  
Power-Electronics Consulting  
Product Safety Engineering Inc.  
Protocol Data Systems Inc.  
Pulver Laboratories Inc.  
Qnetiq  
Qualtest Inc.  
Radiometrics Midwest Corp.  
Remcom Inc.  
Restor Metrology  
Retif Testing Laboratories  
RF Exposure Lab, LLC  
RF Global Services Ltd.  
RFTEK  
Rhein Tech Laboratories, Inc.  
Rogers Labs, Inc.  
Rubicon Systems, A division of ACS  
SAE Power  
Seven Mountains Scientific, Inc.  
S&S Labs  
SiEMIC  
Southwest Research Institute  
SPAWAR Systems Center Atlantic  
Swift Textile Metalizing LLC  
Syspin Test and Measurement  
TEMPEST Inc.  
Teseq  
Test Site Services Inc.  
The Compliance Management Group  
Timco Engineering, Inc.  
TRaG Global  
Triation Corp.  
TÜV Rheinland Of North America  
TÜV SÜD America Inc.  
TÜV SÜD Product Service Ltd.  
TÜV SÜD SENTON GmbH  
UltraTech  
Underwriter’s Laboratories Inc.  
Walshire Labs, LLC  
Washington Laboratories, Ltd.  
White Sands Missile Range  
Wiley  
Yazaki Testing Center  
D.A.R.E!! Instruments  
Electro Magnetic Test, Inc.  
Electronics Test Centre (Kanata)  
Elite Electronic Engineering Co.  
EMC Integrity, Inc.  
EMC Technologies Pty Ltd.  
H.B. Compliance Solutions  
International Compliance Laboratories, LLC  
Keystone Compliance  
Langer EMV-Technik GmbH  
Liberty Labs, Inc.  
National Technical Systems  
Partnership for Defense Innovation  
Professional Testing (EMI), Inc.  
QuaVest Inc.  
Radiometrics Midwest Corp.  
Retif Testing Laboratories  
RMV Technology Group, LLC  
SDP Engineering Inc.  
SiEMIC  
Sprinkler Innovations  
Stork Garwood Laboratories Inc.  
Test Site Services Inc.  
Tranzee EMC Labs Inc.  
TÜV SÜD America Inc.  
TÜV SÜD Product Service Ltd.  
TÜV SÜD SENTON GmbH  
World Cal, Inc.  

TRAINING, SEMINARS & WORKSHOPS

A2LA  
André Consulting, Inc.  
Cherry Clough Consultants Ltd  
CST of America, Inc.  
D.L.S. Electronic Systems, Inc.  
Don HERIMAN Consultants  
EM Software & Systems  
EMC Engineering and Safety  
EMC Goggles Ltd.  
Euro EMC Service (EES)  
Fotofab  
Gaddon Consultants  
Henry Ott Consultants  
Hooihian EMC Consulting  
Integrated Engineering Software  
Jastech EMC Consulting, LLC  
Kimmel Gerke Associates, Ltd.  
M.MARDIGIAN, EMC Consulting  
Montrose Compliance Service  
National Technical Systems  
QEMC - Engenharia, Qualidade e Compatibilidade Eletromagnética Ltda.  
Retif Testing Laboratories  
Simberian Inc.  

TESTING LABORATORIES

Alion Science and Technology  
AT4 Wireless  
Blue Guide EMC Lab  
Compliance Testing LLC  
Compliance Worldwide  
D.A.R.E!! Instruments  
D.L.S. Electronic Systems, Inc.  
Diversified T.E.S.T. Technologies  
DNB Engineering, Inc.  
Don HERIMAN Consultants  

For more information on these and other EMI/EMC companies, visit the new and improved Interference Technology EMC Buyers’ Guide at www.interferencetechnology.com
Manufacturers, consultants, and service organizations active in the electromagnetic interference field are listed in this directory. To learn how to be included in this directory, e-mail info@interferencetechnology.com.

A2L - American Assoc. for Laboratory Accreditation
5301 Buckeysville Pike, Frederick, MD 21704 USA; 301-644-3217; Fax: 301-662-2979; adam@calculator.org; www.a2l.org

Aaronin AG
Gewerbegebiet Aaronia AG, Strickscheid, DE-54597; E-mail: info@Aaronin.de

Accurate Controls Ltd.
25 Cowley Road, Nuffield Industrial Estate, Poole, Dorset, United Kingdom; +44 (0) 1202 678108; www.accurate-controls.ltd.uk

ACL Inc.
19630 Devon Ave, Elk Grove Village, IL 60007 USA; 847-981-8221; 800-702-8420; mark@acstatistic.com; www.acstatistic.com

Acme Testing Company
2602 Valley Highway, Acme, WA 98220 USA; 360-595-2785; 888-226-5857; Fax: 360-595-2722; acmetesting@acmetesting.com; www.acmetesting.com

ACS Industries, Inc.
One New England Way, Lincoln, RI 02885 USA; 401-769-4700; Fax: 401-333-2294; buckler@acsind.com; www.acsindustries.com/products/industrial-applications/EMI-RFI_Shielding/default.html

Adams Magnetic Products Co.
807 Mantoloking Road, Suite 203, Brick NJ 08723 USA; 732-451-0123; 800-275-6312; www.adamsmagnetic.com

Adhesives Research, Inc.
400 Seaks Run Road, P.O. Box 100, Glen Rock, PA 17327 USA; 717-235-7979, 800-445-6240; Fax: 717-235-8329; gmjergolchin@aglab.com; www.adhesivessresearch.com

Adler Instrumentos SL
C/Antonio de Cabezon, 83, Madrid, Spain 28034 Spain; 91-3749540; sales@adlerinstrumentos.es; www.adlerinstrumentos.es

Ad-Vance Magnetics, Inc.
826 Monroe St., P. O. Box 68, Rochester, IN 46775 USA; 574-223-3158; Fax: 574-223-2524; nick@advenvance.com; www.ad-vance.com

Advanced Compliance Solutions, Inc.
5015 B.U. Bowman Drive, Buford, GA 30518 USA; 770-831-8084; 770-831-8588; sales@acstestlab.com; www.acstestlab.com

Advanced Monolythic Ceramics, Inc.
3101 Constitution Ave., Olean, NY 14760 USA; 716-322-5225; Fax: 716-372-5260; info@amccomp.com; www.amccomp.com

Advanced Programs, Inc.
7125 Riverwood Drive, Columbus, OH 43244; 800-445-6240; 410-312-5800; sales@advanced-programs.com; www.advp.com

Advanced Test Equipment Rentals
10401 Roselle St., San Diego, CA 92121 USA; 888-554-AEtec(2832); Fax: 858-558-6570; rentals@ATECorp.com; www.ATECorp.com

Advanced Testing Services
9420 San Mateo Blvd. NE, Suite C, Albuquerque, NM 87113 USA; 505-292-2032; 977-292-2031; Fax: 505-237-8430; sales@advanced-testing.com; www.advanced-testing.com

AE Techron, Inc.
2507 Warren St., Elkhart, IN 46516 USA; 574-295-9495; Fax: 543-295-9495; Terri Clark, sales@aeotechn.com; www.aetechron.com

AEF Solutions
Unit 46, Thomas Way, Lakesview Business Park, Hersden Canterbury Kent CT3 4LU UK; +44 1227 711455; Fax: +44 2380 455022; Paul Lawrence, paul@aeofsolutions.com; www.aef-solutions.com

AEM, Inc.
6610 Cobra Way, San Diego, CA 92121 USA; 858-481-2010; Fax: 858-481-1123; Scott Sentz, hrcsales@aem-usa.com; www.aem-usa.com

AEMC Instruments, Inc.
200 Foxborough Drive, Foxborough, MA 02035 USA; 508-696-2115; Fax: 508-696-2118; www.aemc.com

AERO NAV Laboratories
14-29 112 St., College Point, NY 11356 USA; 718-939-4422; 800-680-6606; Fax: 718-539-3718; Slevine_sales@aeronavlabs.com; www.aeronavlabs.com

Aerodev Electromagnetic Tech
19525 Talavera Lane, Edmond, OK 73021 USA; 405-760-6064; Fax: 405-285-6572; www.aerodev.com

Amperex Instruments
Amperex Instruments, Inc.
3510 E. Devon Ave., Elk Grove Village, IL 60007 USA; 574-223-3158; Fax: 574-223-2524; nick@advenvance.com; www.ad-vance.com

Aries Instruments
Aries Instruments, Inc.
3510 E. Devon Ave., Elk Grove Village, IL 60007 USA; 574-223-3158; Fax: 574-223-2524; nick@advenvance.com; www.ad-vance.com

A-1 System
A1 System, Inc.
3510 E. Devon Ave., Elk Grove Village, IL 60007 USA; 574-223-3158; Fax: 574-223-2524; nick@advenvance.com; www.ad-vance.com
AXV Corporation
One AXV Boulevard, Fountain Inn, SC 29644 USA; 864-979-0375; Calie Baldwin, calie.baldwin@axv.com; www.AXV.com

AWR Corporation
3600 E. Grand Ave., Suite 430 El Segundo CA 90245 USA; 310-726-3000; Fax: 310-726-3005; Antti Lautanen, antti@awrcorp.com; www.awrcorp.com

Axiconics, Inc.
20 Post Lane North, Suffern, NY 10901 USA; 845-228-8924; Marc Grun, info@axiconics.net; www.axiconics.net

B

Bal Seal Engineering, Inc.
15950 Pacifico Del Sur, Rancho Santa Fe CA 92091 USA; 858-732-9162; ext. 3108; Fax: 408-732-9164; www.balseal.com

Barth Electronics, Inc.
15989 Foothill Blvd, Boulder City, NV 89005 USA; 702-239-1576; Fax: 702-239-7024; debbie@barthelectronics.com; www.barthelectronics.com

Bay Area Compliance Labs Corp.
1274 Anrivilow Ave., Sunnyvale, CA 94089 USA; 408-732-8162, ext. 3108; Fax: 408-732-9164; www.badcold.com

BEC Inc.
970 East Main St., Pottstown, PA 19464 USA; 610-970-8890; Fax: 610-970-3381; sales@becccc.com; www.bcc-cel.com

Beehive Electronics
9555 Lawrence Lane, Sebastopol, CA 95472 USA; 707-824-9206; Fax: 707-581-1955; sales@beehive-electronics.com; www.beehive-electronics.com

Beijing Tempest Electronics Technologies Co., Ltd.
Room 231, Zhuhanxiu Building No.83, Fuxing Road, Beijing, China; 010-66687852; Fax: 010-66699041; tempest@public.bta.net.cn; www.chinatpt.com

BI Technologies
4200 Bonita Place, Fullerton, CA 92835 USA; 714-447-2345; Fax: 714-447-2400; sales@biotechnologies.com; www.biotechnologies.com

Binder USA
3902 Calle Tocote, Camarillo, CA 93012 USA; 805-437-9925; greg.harter@binder-usa.com; www.binder-usa.com

Bird Technologies Group / TX RX Systems
30303 Aurora Road, Salem, OR 94113 USA; 440-248-1200; 805-499-1818; Fax: 937-667-8484; info@capwireless.com; www.capwireless.com

Capcon International
120 Craft Ave., Inwood, NY 11096 USA; 516-371-0330; Fax: 3235 Grande Vista Drive, Newbury Park, CA 91320 USA; 805-499-1818; 937-667-8484; info@capwireless.com; www.capwireless.com

Caplinq Corp.
2303 120th St., Lubbock, TX 79423 USA; 806-745-6454; Fax: 806-745-5963; caprock@mfg.com; www.caplinq.com

Captor Corp.
957 Snowshoe Crescent, Orleans (Ottawa) Ontario, K1C 2Y3, Canada; 613-482-2215; Fax: 705-995-1235;info@capling.com; www.capling.com

Central Compliance Company
OS4 Shrewsbury St., West Boylston, MA 01583 USA; 508-835-6225; Fax: 508-835-6228; aaccettullo@centralcompliance.com; www.centralcompliance.com

CertifGroup
901 Sheldon Drive, Cary, NC 27513 USA; 800-422-1651; info@certifgroup.com; www.certifgroup.com

Crimson Electronics
120 Home Place, Lodi, NJ 07644 USA; 201-796-2886; danzi@aol.com; www.brinex.com
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Company</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Phone</th>
<th>Fax</th>
<th>Email</th>
<th>Web Address</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Compliance Group Management</td>
<td>257 Simoneau Drive, Marlborough, MA 01752 USA; 508-480-1400; Fax: 508-486-7979; Eric Wilbur, <a href="mailto:info@cmgcorp.net">info@cmgcorp.net</a>; <a href="http://www.cmgcorp.net">www.cmgcorp.net</a></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compliance Testing, LLC</td>
<td>3236 N San Marcos Place, Ste 106, Chandler, AZ 85225 USA; 480-926-3100; Fax: 480-926-3586; Michael Schafer, <a href="mailto:michaels@compliance-testing.com">michaels@compliance-testing.com</a>; <a href="http://www.compliance-testing.com">www.compliance-testing.com</a></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compliance Worldwide</td>
<td>650 Gateway Center Drive, Suite D, San Diego, CA 92102 USA; 619-876-9696; Fax: 619-794-0404; Jeff Lind, <a href="mailto:jllind@compwest.com">jllind@compwest.com</a>; <a href="http://www.compwest.com">www.compwest.com</a></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Com-Power Corp.</td>
<td>114 Orlando Drive, Brea, CA 92823 USA; 714-528-8800; Fax: 714-579-1890; <a href="mailto:sales@comp-power.com">sales@comp-power.com</a>; <a href="http://www.com-power.com">www.com-power.com</a></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comtech PST Corp.</td>
<td>105 Bayley Road, Middletown, NY 11761 USA; 631-777-8900; Fax: 631-777-8877; sales@comtechpsti; <a href="http://www.comtechpsti.com">www.comtechpsti.com</a></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comtest Engineering bv</td>
<td>Industrieweg 12, Zoeterwoude, 2382VN Netherlands; +31 71 5417531; Fax: +31 71 5420375; J.A. Kappert, <a href="mailto:info@comtest.eu">info@comtest.eu</a>; <a href="http://www.comtest.eu">www.comtest.eu</a></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conductive Compounds Inc.</td>
<td>17 Hampshire Drive, Unit 8, Hudson, NH 03051 USA; 603-595-6221; Fax: 603-595-6228; <a href="mailto:sales@conductivecompounds.com">sales@conductivecompounds.com</a>; <a href="http://www.conductivecompounds.com">www.conductivecompounds.com</a></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CONEC Corp. - USA</td>
<td>343 Technology Drive #1101, Garner, NC 27529 USA; 919-480-8000; Fax: 919-460-0414; <a href="mailto:info@conec.com">info@conec.com</a>; <a href="http://www.conec.com">www.conec.com</a></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Connors Company</td>
<td>PO Box 907, Carver, MA 02330 USA; 508-272-1500; Fax: 508-866-5339; Brian Connors, <a href="mailto:Brian@ConnorsRep.com">Brian@ConnorsRep.com</a>; <a href="http://www.ConnorsRep.com">www.ConnorsRep.com</a></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cool Polymers, Inc.</td>
<td>51 Circuit Drive, North Kingston, RI 02852 USA; 401-667-7830; Fax: 401-677-7831; Jim Miller, <a href="mailto:sales@coolpolymers.com">sales@coolpolymers.com</a>; <a href="http://www.coolpolymers.com">www.coolpolymers.com</a></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Core Compliance Testing Services</td>
<td>79 River Road, Hudson, OH 44236 USA; 603-889-5545; <a href="mailto:kmchagiarth@oath.com">kmchagiarth@oath.com</a>; <a href="http://www.corecompliancestesting.com">www.corecompliancestesting.com</a></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cosmo Ferrites Limited</td>
<td>Solan, Himachal Pradesh, India; 191727 277231-36; <a href="http://www.cosmoferrites.com">www.cosmoferrites.com</a></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CST of America, Inc.</td>
<td>492 Old Connecticut Path, Suite S05, Framingham, MA 01701, USA; 508-665-4400; Fax: 508-665-4401; <a href="mailto:info@cst.com">info@cst.com</a>; <a href="http://www.cst.com">www.cst.com</a></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creative Materials, Inc.</td>
<td>12 Willow Road, Ayer, MA 01432 USA; 978-391-4700; Fax: 978-391-4705; Marcia Chapman, <a href="mailto:info@creative-materials.com">info@creative-materials.com</a>; <a href="http://www.creative-materials.com">www.creative-materials.com</a></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Criterion Technology, Inc.</td>
<td>1350 Tolland Road, P.O. Box 489, Rollinsville, CO 80474 USA; 303-258-1000; Fax: 303-258-0775; <a href="mailto:criterich@earthlink.net">criterich@earthlink.net</a>; <a href="http://www.criteriotechn.com">www.criteriotechn.com</a></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSA International</td>
<td>8501 E. Pleasant Valley Road, Cleveland, OH 44131-5516, USA; 216-524-4990; Fax: 216-328-8138; Sales, <a href="mailto:certsales@csa-international.org">certsales@csa-international.org</a>; <a href="http://www.csa-international.org">www.csa-international.org</a></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CTS of America, Inc.</td>
<td>492 Old Connecticut Path, Suite S05, Framingham, MA 01701, USA; 508-665-4400; Fax: 508-665-4401; <a href="mailto:info@cst.com">info@cst.com</a>; <a href="http://www.cst.com">www.cst.com</a></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CTAG</td>
<td>492 Old Connecticut Path, Suite S05, Framingham, MA 01701, USA; 508-665-4400; Fax: 508-665-4401; <a href="mailto:info@cst.com">info@cst.com</a>; <a href="http://www.cst.com">www.cst.com</a></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CTAG</td>
<td>492 Old Connecticut Path, Suite S05, Framingham, MA 01701, USA; 508-665-4400; Fax: 508-665-4401; <a href="mailto:info@cst.com">info@cst.com</a>; <a href="http://www.cst.com">www.cst.com</a></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fisher Custom Communications
20603 Earl St., Torrance, CA 90503 USA; 310-303-3300; sales@fischercorc.com; www.fischercorc.com

Frankonia EMC
Industrie-Strasse, 15, Heideck, D-91180, Germany; 49 91 77-98 50-0; www.frankonia-emc.com

Frontier Electronics, Corp.
667 E. Cochran St., Simi Valley, CA; 805-522-9998; sales-f@frontierusa.com; www.frontierusa.com

Fusv-EMM
Johann-Hittorf-Straße 6, 12489 Berlin, Germany; 49 30 40-404404; stefan.weber@fusv-emm.de; www.fusv-emm.de

Gaddon Consultants
18 New Royd, Millhouse Green Sheffield South Yorkshire S36 9NW UK; 01226 766999; lan.white@gaddon.co.uk; www.gaddon.co.uk

GAUSS Instruments
Aeges-Pocksels-Bogen 1, Munich 80929 Germany; 49 84 54 34 45; Fax: 49 84 54 34 42; Dipl.-Ing. A. Frech, info@tdemi.com; www.gauss-instruments.com

Gaven Industries Inc.
6655 North Noah Drive, Saxonburg, PA; 724-352-8100; Fax: 724-352-8102; sales@gavenind.com; www.gavenind.com

Genisco Filter Corp.
5496 Complex St., Suite 207, San Diego, CA 92108 USA; 619-565-1405; Fax: 619-565-7554; Dick Guerena, sales@gensico.com; www.gensico.com

GETELEC
375, rue Morane Saulnier, 78530, Buc, France; (33) 1 39 20 42 42; Fax: (33) 1 39 20 42 43; info@getelec.com; www.getelec.com

Giga-tronics/Ascot Inc.
4550 Norris Canyon Rd, San Ramon, CA 94583 USA; 925-328-4650; Fax: 925-328-4700; dkwok@gigatronics.com; www.gigatronics.com

Glennar Inc.
1211 Air Way, Glendale, CA 91201-2497 USA; 818-247-6000; Fax: 818-509-9812; mkauffman@gleniar.com; www.gleniar.com

Global Advantage
180 Brodie Drive, Richmond Hill, ON L4B 3K3, Canada; 905-983-3919; larry.cook@glovaladvantage.ca; www.glovaladvantage.ca

Global Certification Laboratories, Ltd.
4 Matthews Drive, East Haddam, CT 06423 USA; 860-873-1451; Fax: 860-873-1347; jannece@globalcertlabs.com; www.globalcertlabs.com

Global EMC Ltd.
Prospect Close, Lowmoor Road Ind., Est Kirby-in-Ashfield, Nottinghamshire NG17 7UF, United Kingdom; +44 (0)1623 755539; Fax: +44 (0)1623 755719; information@globalmc.co.uk; www.globalemc.co.uk

Global Testing
4183 Riverview Drive, Riverside, CA 92509 USA; 951-781-4540; Fax: 951-781-4544; www.global-testing.com/

W. L. Gore & Associates, Inc.
380 Sani Road, Landenberg, PA 19350-9221 USA; electronics.usa@wlgore.com; www.gore.com

Gowanda Electronics
One Magnetics Parkway, Gowanda, NY 14070 USA; 716-532-2234; Fax: 716-532-2702; sales@gowanda.com; www.gowanda.com

Green Mountain Electromagnetics, Inc.
219 Blake Roy Road, Middlebury, VT 05753 USA; 802-388-3390; Fax: 802-388-6279; gme@gmelectro.com; www.gmelectro.com

GTN GmbH & Co. KG
TecCenter, Bad Salzdetfurth, D-31162, Germany; +49(0)5633/270784; Hans-Peter Henneberg, emv@gtng.de; www.gtn.de/emv

H

H.B. Compliance Solutions
294 W. Baseline Road, Suite # 103, Tempe, AZ 85283 USA; 480-684-2969; Hoosamudain Bandukwala, hoomsam@hbcompliance.com; www.hbcompliance.com

Haefely EMC
1650 Route 22, Brewster, NY 10509 USA; 845-279-8201; emsales@hubbell-haefely.com; www.haefelyemc.com; www.hpotronics.com

Harvis (Group) (HCSO)
P.O. Box 37, M/S 9-11A, Melbourne, FL 32902 USA; 321-727-6209; Fax: 321-727-4335; James N Boorde, jboorde@harvis.com

Harwin
7A Raymond Ave., Unit 11, Salem, NH 03079; 603-883-5376; Fax: 603-883-5396; misborot@harwin.com; www.harwin.com

Heilind Electronics
58 Johnson Road, Wilmington, MA 01887 USA; 800-555-9024; Fax: 440-473-5035; connex2@heilind.com; www.heilind.com

Heliond Laboratories
Hatachana Street, P.O. Box 23, Binyamina 30500, Israel; +972-4-6268450; sales-tca@heliond.com; www.heliond.com

Heron Laboratories
Hatatanche Street, P.O. Box 23, Binyamina 30500, Israel; +972-4-6268450; sales-tca@heronlabs.com; www.heronlabs.com

Index

A

A4D
5065 Northwood Drive, San Ramon, CA 94583 USA; 925-328-4650; Fax: 925-328-4700; dkwok@gigatronics.com; www.gigatronics.com

F

Filcoil
77-18 Windsor Place, Central Isip, NY 11766 USA; 631-467-5328; Fax: 631-467-5066; sales@custompower systems.us; www.custompower.com

Filter Connectors Inc.
2624 Rouselle St., Suite 207, San Diego, CA 92108 USA; 714-545-7003; Fax: 714-545-4607; John Erwin, info@filterconnectors.com; www.filterconnectors.com

Filtronica, Inc.
607 Brazos St., Suite U, Ramona, CA 92065 USA; 760-788-4975; 1-888-FILTRONICA; Fax: 760-788-4356; peter@filtronica.com; www.filtronica.com

Fischer Connectors Inc.
1735 Founders Parkway, Suite 100, Alpharetta, GA 30005 USA; 770-393-5000; mail@distributor.fischercorp.com; www.fischercorp.com
Jinan Filtemc Electronic Equipment Co., Ltd.
37 Lanxian Road, Jinan City, Shandong Province 250100 China; +86 531 85739859, Fax: +86 531 85713768; lw@filtemc.com; www.filtemc.com

Johanson Dielectrics, Inc.
15191 Bledsoe St., Simi Valley, CA 93042 USA; 818-364-9800; scollie@johansondielectrics.com; www.johansondielectrics.com

JRE Test, LLC.
1530 Pittsford-Mendon Road, Mendon, NY 14505 USA; 585-298-9736; 888-430-3332; Fax: 585-919-6586; briain@jretest.com; www.jretest.com

JS TYO Corporation (Shenzhen) Ltd.
2-25G, China Phoenix Building Futian CBD, Shenzhen, 518026 China; www.jsyo.cn

K

K-Form, Inc.
9A Acacia Lane, Sterling, VA 20166 USA; 703-450-4401, Fax: 703-894-9414; kform@kform.com; http://manufacturing.kform.com

Kemtron
19-21 Finch Drive, Braintree, Essex CM7 2SF, United Kingdom; +44 1376 498115, Fax: +44 1376 349695; info@kemtron.co.uk; www.kemtron.co.uk

Kemtron Limited
19-21 Finch Drive, Braintree, Essex CM7 2SF, United Kingdom; +44 1376 498115, Fax: +44 1376 349695; info@kemtron.co.uk; www.kemtron.co.uk

Kensington Electronics, Inc.
11801 Stonehollow Drive, Ste 150, Austin, TX 78758 USA; 512-339-3300; Fax: 512-833-8034; www.keicloud.com

Keystone Compliance
2861 West State Street, New Castle, PA 16101 USA; 724-657-9940; Fax: 724-657-9920; tony@keystonecompliance.com; www.keystonecompliance.com

Kikusui America Inc.
1633 Bayshore Highway, Suite 331, Burlingame, CA 94010 USA; 650-259-5900; Fax: 650-259-5904; Info@kikusuiamerica.us; www.kikusuiamerica.us

Kimmel Gerke Associates, Ltd.
2538 West Monterey Ave., Mesa, AZ 85202 USA; 484-688-0300; Fax: 484-688-0303; info@kimmelgerke.com; www.kimmelgerke.com

Kynco
11810 Little Orchard St., San Jose, CA 95125 USA; 408-494-3330; jill_scarneccia@kynco.com; www.kynco.com

For more information on these and other EMI/EMC companies, visit the new and improved Interference Technology EMC Buyers’ Guide at www.interferencetechnology.com
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Company Name</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Website</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Potters Industries, Inc.</td>
<td>P.O. Box 640, Valley Forge, PA 19482 USA, 610-651-4704; Fax: 610-408-9726; <a href="mailto:mark.brieker@pottersbeads.com">mark.brieker@pottersbeads.com</a>; <a href="http://www.pottersbeads.com">www.pottersbeads.com</a></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power &amp; Controls Engineering Ltd.</td>
<td>4-Feathill Drive, Ottawa, Ontario K2J 3K3, Canada; 613-829-0820; Fax: 613-829-1817; <a href="mailto:mail@pccel.ca">mail@pccel.ca</a>; <a href="http://www.pccel.ca">www.pccel.ca</a></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power Products International Ltd.</td>
<td>Commerce Way, Edenbridge, Kent TN8 6ED, United Kingdom; +44 (0) 1732 866424; Fax: +44 (0) 1732 866399; <a href="mailto:gkbosion@ppi-uk.com">gkbosion@ppi-uk.com</a>; <a href="http://www.ppi-uk.co.uk">www.ppi-uk.co.uk</a></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power-Electronics Consulting</td>
<td>4 Tyler Road, Lexington, MA 02420-2404 USA; 781-862-8998; <a href="mailto:nathanosskalin@gmail.com">nathanosskalin@gmail.com</a></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power Standards Lab (PSL)</td>
<td>2020 Challenger Drive #100, Alameda, CA 94519-4369; Fax: -502-445-4555, <a href="mailto:Sales@PowerStandards.com">Sales@PowerStandards.com</a>; <a href="http://www.powerstandards.com">www.powerstandards.com</a></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPP (Pulse Power &amp; Measurement) Ltd.</td>
<td>65 Shrinivans, Hundred Business Park, Watchfield, Swindon, SN6 8TY, United Kingdom; +44 1734 783489; Fax: +44 1734 89431; <a href="mailto:sales@ppm.co.uk">sales@ppm.co.uk</a>; <a href="http://www.point2point.co.uk">www.point2point.co.uk</a></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Praxym, Inc.</td>
<td>1025 3rd St., P.O. Box 369, Fisher, IL 61843 USA; 217-897-1474; Fax: 217-897-6388; <a href="mailto:meissens@praxym.com">meissens@praxym.com</a>; <a href="http://www.praxym.com">www.praxym.com</a></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Precision Photo-Fab, Inc.</td>
<td>4200 Jefferson Blvd., Brea, CA 92821; Fax: 714-812-9939; <a href="http://www.precisionphotofab.com">www.precisionphotofab.com</a></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Product Safety Engineering Inc.</td>
<td>12955 Bellamy Brothers Blvd., Dade City, FL 33525 USA; 352-588-2209; Fax: 352-588-2544; <a href="mailto:arbirines@psinc.com">arbirines@psinc.com</a>; <a href="http://www.psinc.com">www.psinc.com</a></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Professional Testing (EMI), Inc.</td>
<td>1601 N. A.W. Grimes, Suite B, Round Rock, TX 78665 USA; 512-244-3371; <a href="http://www.ptitest.com">www.ptitest.com</a></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Progressive Fillers International</td>
<td>2404 East 28th St., P.O. Box 72709, Chattanooga, TN 37407 USA; +1-429-629-0007; +1-888-988-0007; Fax: +1-629-629-0044, <a href="mailto:kevin@pfillers.com">kevin@pfillers.com</a>; <a href="http://www.progressivefillers.com">www.progressivefillers.com</a></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prostat Corp.</td>
<td>1072 Tower Lane, Bensenville, IL 60106; 630-238-8883; Fax: 630-238-9717, <a href="http://www.prostatcorp.com">www.prostatcorp.com</a></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protek Test and Measurement</td>
<td>45 Smith St., Englewood, NJ 07631 USA; 201-227-1161; Fax: 201-227-1169; <a href="mailto:skgrim@protektest.com">skgrim@protektest.com</a>; <a href="http://www.protektest.com">www.protektest.com</a></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protocol Data Systems Inc.</td>
<td>4741 Old Mill Road, P.O. Box 28945 Maitcaw Road, Abbotsford, British Columbia V4X 2A1, Canada; 604-607-0012; Fax: 604-607-0018, <a href="mailto:parsms@protocol-emu.com">parsms@protocol-emu.com</a>; <a href="http://www.protocol-emu.com">www.protocol-emu.com</a></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PTC Electronics</td>
<td>2307 Calle Del Mundo, Santa Clara, CA 94086 USA; 408-737-1333, 800-854-1518; Fax: 408-737-0502; <a href="mailto:eddie@pcescalex.com">eddie@pcescalex.com</a>; <a href="http://www.pcescalex.com">www.pcescalex.com</a></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulver Laboratories Inc.</td>
<td>320 North Santa Cruz Ave., Los Gatos, CA 95030-7243 USA; 408-399-7000; 800-635-3050; Fax: 408-399-7001; <a href="mailto:Lee.Pulver@PulverLabs.com">Lee.Pulver@PulverLabs.com</a>; <a href="http://www.PulverLabs.com">www.PulverLabs.com</a></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**QEMC**

Rio de Janeiro, Brazil; (+55.21) 8111 6661; www.QEMC.com.br

**QinetiQ**

Cody Technology Park, Ively Road, Farnborough, Hants GU14 0LX, United Kingdom; +44 1252 395347; Fax: +44 1252 397058; emfocus@qinetiq.com; www.QinetiQ.com/emc

**Q-par Angus Ltd.**

Barons Cross Laboratories, Leominster, Herefordshire HR6 8RS, United Kingdom; +44 (0)1688 612138; Fax: +44 (0)1688 616373; julian.robbins@q-par.com; www.q-par.com

**Quatek Electronics**

7675 Jumper Drive, Mentor, OH 44060 USA, 440-951-3366; Fax: 440-951-7252; bgurback@quatekusa.com; www.quatekusa.com

**Quastell Inc.**

5525 Old Winter Garden Road, Orlando, FL 32811 USA; 407-313-4230; Fax: 407-313-4243; chedba@quastell.com; www.quastell.com

**Quartesower Corp.**

1300 Valley House Drive, Suite 130, Rohnert Park, CA 94928 USA; 707-793-9105; Fax: 707-793-9245; paul@quartesower.com; www.quartesower.com

**Quell Corporation**

61 5539-B Jefferson, NE Albuquerque, NM 87109 USA; 877-730-1669, 505-243-1423, Fax: 505-243-9772, Kevin Foreman, essional@quell.com; www.essential.com

**Radiometrics Midwest Corp.**

12 E. Devonwood, Romeoville, IL 60446 USA; 815-293-0772, Fax: 815-293-0820, Dennis Rollinger, CEO, info@radiomet.com; www.radiomet.com

**Radiometer**

10006 Deerfield Road, Frankton, OH 43016 USA, 800-742-9447, 303-761-5067, Eric Evans, sales@ramesay.com; www.ramesay.com

**Rainford EMC Systems Ltd.**

North Florida Road, Haydock St., Helens, Merseyside WA11 9TN, United Kingdom; +44 1942 296190, +44 1942 275202; bill.mcfadden@rainfordemc.com; www.rainfordemc.com

**Ramsay Electronics**

590 Fishers Station Drive, Victor, NY 14564 USA; 585-924-4560, Fax: 585-924-4555; brian@ramseyelectronics.com; www.ramsaytest.com

**Remco Inc.**

315 S. Allen St., Suite 222, State College, PA 16801 USA; 814-861-1299, 888-773-6286, slucas@remcom.com; www.remco.com

**Restor Metrology**

921 Venture Ave., Lisle, IL 60758 USA; 877-220-5554; 888-986-0658, eric.sger@restormetrology.com; www.restormetrology.com
REFLEX Lab, Inc. 2987 Progress Pl., Elcoundo, CA 90229-1531 USA; 760-737-3131; Fax: 760-737-9131; www.reflexproserlab.com

RF Immunity Ltd. 2 Prat St., Yeate 812127, Isreal; 972.73-2331300; Fax: 972-73-2331225; Haim Kalfon, Managing Director, haimk@rfimmunity.co.il; www.rfimmunity.com

RF Controls Company 340 Village Lane, Los Gatos, CA 95030 USA; 408-399-7007; Fax: 408-399-7011; jessica.hayes@riconcontrols.com; www.riconcontrols.com

RF Corp. ........................................... 100 100 Pine Aire Drive, Bay Shore, NY 11706-1107 USA; 631-234-6400; Fax: 631-234-6465; iiashinsky@rfcorp.com; www.rfcorp.com

RF Global Services Ltd. Pavilion A, Ashwood Park, Ashwood Way, Basingstoke, Hampshire, RG23 8EG United Kingdom; +44 (0)1256 312000; Fax: +44 (0)1256 312001; www.rf-global.com

RFtek 5103 Druntrule Court, Raleigh, NC 27606 USA; 919-622-4088; dguzman@rftek.net; www.rftek.com

Rhein Tech Laboratories, Inc. 360 Herndon Parkway, Suite 1400, Herndon, VA 20170 USA; 703-689-0368; Fax: 703-689-2056; sgrandry@rheintech.com; www.rheintech.com

RIA CONNECT 200 Tornillo Way, Tinton Falls, NJ 07712 USA; 732-389-1300; 888-722-5625; Fax: 732-389-9066; donna@raiconnect.com; www.raiconnect.com

Rittal Corp. 1 Rittal Place, Urbana, OH 43078 USA; 905-867-6900; Fax: 507-454-2041; rogerk@pixius.net; www.rittal-corp.com; www.rittal.com

RMV Technology Group, LLC NASA Research Park Blvd., Suite 19, 20300 MS 19-46C, Moffett Field, CA 94035 USA; 650-964-4792 585-393-1378; Dan Ramich & Brian Smith; contactus@saelig.com; www.saelig.com

Rossbach & Schwark, Inc. 8861 Robert Fulton Dr A, Columbia MD 21048-2265 USA; 410-910-7944; Kim Trompetter, Kim.Trompetter@rsa. rosshbach-schwark.com; www.rossbach-schwark.us

Roxbury EMC Delton Encon House, Hargreaves Way, Sawcliffe Industrial Park, Scunthorpe, North Lincolnshire, DN15 8RF, United Kingdom; +44 1724 273205, Fax: +44 1724 280035; www.dom-uk.com/roxburgh

Roxtec 10127 E. Admiral Place, Tulsa, OK 74116 USA; 918-254-9672; 800-520-4769; Fax: 918-254-2544; michael.budden@us.roxtc.com; www.roxtec.com

RTP Company 580 E. Front St., Winona, MN 55987 USA; 507-647-6900; Fax: 507-647-2041; Kirt Fratke, Advbtg and Promotions, rtp1@rtpcompany.com; www.rtpcompany.com;

Rubercraft 1527 South Broadway, Gardena, CA 90248 USA; 310-328-5410; Fax: 310-618-1832; michael@rubercraft.com; www.rubercraft.com

Rubicon Systems, A division of ACS 284 West Drive, Melbourne, FL 32994 USA; 321-951-1710; jgerke@rubicomtestlab.com; www.rubicomtestlab.com

Sabritec 17550 Gillette Ave., Irvine, CA 92614 USA; 949-250-1244; Fax: 949-250-1009; sdur@sbartec.com; www.sabritec.com

SAE Power 1500 E Hamilton Ave Ste 118, Campbell, CA 95008; www.saepower.com/emr-filter-products

Saelig Company 1160-02 Pittsford-Victor Road, Pittsford, NY 14534 USA; 888-772-3544; Fax: 585-385-1768; alan.lowne@saelig.com; www.saelig.com

Safe Engineering Services & Technologies 3055 Boul. des Oiseaux, Laval, Quebec H7L 6E6 Canada -6071, 1-800-668-3377; Fax: 1-800-668-6124; Carmela.Sabela@essextech.com; www.essextech.com

Safety Test Systems Co., Ltd Pu Tian Science Park 8145, 28 Xin Jie Kou Wai Da Jie, Xicheng District, Beijing, 100089 P.R. China; 67-10- 5165407/phone.1; www.instruments.cn

Saint-Gobain High Performance Seals 7301 Dranga Road, Garden Grove, CA 92841-1411 USA; 1-800-544-0380; donald.m.munro@saint-gobain.com; www.oxhead.co.uk/saint-gobain.com

SAS Industries, Inc. 939 Wading River Manor Road, Manville, NJ 11949 USA; 732-671-1441 ext. 302; Fax: 732-671-1387; ms tease@sasindustries.com; www.sasindustries.com

Schaffner, Inc. ........................................... 57 52 Mayfield Ave., Edison, NJ 08837 USA; 800-367-5566; Fax: 732-225-4799; ken belliero, ken.belliero@schaffnerusa.com; www.schaffnerusa.com

Schaffner Engineering, Inc. ........................................... 76 Schaffner West/Carl Martens ........................................... 922-443-7650

Schaffner West/Patrick Martens ........................................... 905-660-2801

Schégel Electronic Materials 806 Linden Ave., Rochester, NY 14620 USA; 905-833-3241; Fax: 905-833-5623; lea.mausdell@schegel.com; www.schegel.com

Schlegel Electronic Materials 806 Linden Ave., Rochester, NY 14620 USA; 905-833-3241; Fax: 905-833-5623; lea.mausdell@schegel.com; www.schegel.com

Schuler, Inc. ........................................... 907 447 Avenue Blvd., Santa Rosa, CA 90403 USA; 707-636-3000; Fax: 707-636-3033; Margorie Tibbs, info@ schuerterinc.com; www.schurerterinc.com

SDP Engineering Inc. 17 Spectrum Pointe, P.O. Box #508, Lake Forest, CA 92630 USA; 949-588-7568; Fax: 949-588-8871; don@ sdpeengineering.com; www.sdpeengineering.com

Seal Science Seal Science West 1731 Daimler St., Irvine, CA 92614 USA; 949-253-3130; Fax: 949-253-3141; westermalsales@ sealscience.com; Seal Science East: 1160 Win Drive, Bethel, PA 18017-0759, 610-868-2800; Fax: 610- 868-2144; easternsales@sealscience.com; www.sealscience.com

Sealcon 14853 E. Hinsdale Ave., Suite D, Centennial, CO 80112- 4240 USA; 303-899-1135; info@sealconusa.com; www.sealconusa.com

Sealing Devices Inc. 4400 Walden Ave., Lancaster, NY 14228 USA; 716-684- 7600; 800-727-3257, Fax: 716-684-0760, deborah@sealingdevices.com; www.sealingdevices.com

Seibersdorf Laboratories Seibersdorf, 2444, Austria, +43 50550 2801; Fax: +43 50550 2881; wolfgang.muellner@seibersdorflaboratories.at; www.seibersdorf-laboratories.at

Select Fabricators Inc. 5310 North Sr Blvd., B, P.O. Box 119, Canandaigua, NY 14424-0119 USA; 585-393-0650; 888-599-6113; Fax: 585-393-1378; Dan Ramich & Brian Smith; contactus@select-fabricators.com; www.select-fabricators.com

Sensor Products Inc. 300 Madison Ave., Madison, NJ 07940 USA; 973-884- 1755; 800-755-2201; Fax: 973-884-1699; dianlu@sensorprod.com; www.sensorprod.com

Seven Mountains Scientific, Inc. (ENR) ........................................... 81 913 Tressler St., P.O. Box 650, Boalsburg, PA 16827 USA; 814-486-6559, Fax: 814-486-2777; tom@7ms.com
Synergetic Technology Group, Inc.
5987 E Takepake Road 223B, Tucson, AZ 85749 USA; 520-780-0291; Fax: 520-780-3381; Tom Versable, tventable@dakotacom.net; www.e-SynergeticTech.com

Sypris Test and Measurement
6120 Hanging Moss Road, Orlando, FL 32807 USA; 800-839-4958; Fax: 407-678-0578; Kally Radziski@Sypris.com; www.westest.com

Syscom Advanced Materials
1275 Kinneard Rd. Columbus OH 43212 USA; (614)487.3632; Fax: (614)487.3631; Jeff Martin, info@metalcladfibers.com; www.metalcladfibers.com

Tapecon, Inc.
721 Simcoa Street, Suite 255, Buffalo, NY 14210 USA; 800-333-2407; Fax: 716-954-1320; www.tapecon.com

Taiyo Yuden (U.S.A.) Inc.
1530 N. Thorne Drive, Suite 190, Schaumburg, Illinois 60173 USA; 847-925-0888; 800-350-6800; Fax: 847-925-0898; sales@ty-ylude.com; www.t-yuden.com

Tech-Etch, Inc.
45 Aldrin Road, Plymouth, MA 02360 USA; 508-747-0300, ext. 3050; Fax: 508-746-9639; Bruce McAllister, bmcallister@tech-etch.com; www.tech-etch.com

EPC Corp.
4900 Route 1 South, Suite 200, Iselin, NJ 08830 USA; 800-988-7729; Fax: 732-603-5978; Joe Pulomena, Director of Marketing/ferrites/inductors, Joseph.Pulomena@epcos.com; www.epcos.com

TDK America
1221 Business Center Drive, Mount Prospect, IL 60056 USA; 732-922-9300; Fax: 732-922-9334; High Power Division, 405 Essex Road, Neptune, NJ 07753 USA; 732-603-5978; Joe Pulomena, Director of Marketing/ferrites/inductors, Joseph.Pulomena@epcos.com; www.epcos.com

TDK-Mania
High Power Division, 405 Essex Road, Neptune, NJ 07783 USA; 732-922-9300; Fax: 732-922-9334; High Power Division, 405 Essex Road, Neptune, NJ 07753 USA; 732-603-5978; Joe Pulomena, Director of Marketing/ferrites/inductors, Joseph.Pulomena@epcos.com; www.epcos.com

TDK-RF Solutions, Inc.
1101 Cypress Creek Road, Cedar Park, TX 78613 USA; 512-258-9419; Fax: 512-258-0740; Chris Whalen, info@tdkrf.com; www.tdkrf.com

Test Equipment Connection
30 Skyline Drive, Lake Mary, FL 32746 USA; 407-804-1299, 800-615-8378; business@testequipmentconnection.com; www.testequipmentconnection.com

Test Site Services
5 Birch St., Milford, MA 01757 USA; 508-962-1662; Fax: 508-634-0388; Richard Wardeam, slip5@verizon.net; testsiteservices.com

Texas Spectrum Electronics
120 Regency, Wylie, TX 75098 USA; 972-296-3699; Fax: 972-296-3699; TSEinfo@texaspectrum.com; www.texaspectrum.com

The Compliance Management Group
202 Forest St., Marlborough, MA 01752 USA; 508-281-5985; Fax: 508-281-5972; ewilbur@cmpgroup.net; www.cmpgroup.net

THEMIX Plastics, Ltd.
621-D East Lake St., Lake Mills, WI 53551 USA; 1-920-945-0029, 1-888-234-3304; Fax: 1-920-945-0596; steven@THEMIXplastics.com; www.THEMIXplastics.com

Thermo Fisher Scientific
200 Research Drive, Winooski, VT 05407 USA; 802-863-9216; Fax: 802-863-9208; www.thermofisher.com

THORA Elektronik GmbH
Eschweiler Weg 13, D-09155 Freiberg, Germany; 03491-92-9200; Fax: 03491-92-9300; sales@thora.de; www.thoralab.de

TMD Technologies Ltd.
Swallowfield Way, Hayes, Middlesex UB3 1DQ, United Kingdom; +44-20-8573-5555; Fax: +44-20-8569-1839; heather.skriner@tmd.co.uk; www.tmd.co.uk

TriArc Global
100 Froshacker Business Park, Leigh Sinton Road, Worscetershire WR14 1BX, United Kingdom; +44 (0) 1864 571070; Ballywdal&Walhali@triarcglobal.com; www.trac-ktl.com/emic-testing.html

Transient Specialists, Inc.
7104 S. Grant St., Burr Ridge, IL 60527 USA; 866-EMI-RENT; 866-877-0239; www.transientspecialists.com

Transistor Systems Inc.
10701 N. Airport Road, Hayden, ID 83835 USA; 208-762-6113; Fax: 208 762 6133, ljhmson@transistor.com; www.transistor.com

Tranzee EMC Labs Inc.
19473 Fraser Way, Pitt Meadows, British Columbia V3Y 2W4, Canada; 604-460-4453; Fax: 604-460-6005; djhanson@tranzee-emc.com; www.tranzee-emc.com

TREK, Inc.
11601 Maple Ridge Road, Medina, OH 44256 USA; 585-579-3140; Fax: 585-579-3108; Brian Carmer, sales@trek.com; www.trekinc.com

Trional Corp.
1465 Wallis Strasse Drive, Brunswick, GA 31405 USA; 810-341-7931; 1-800-987-8117; pfrug@trional.com; www.trional.com; www.trional.com/test_engineering.html

Tri-Mag, Inc.
12 Commerce Road, Newtown, CT 06470 USA; 203-426-0888; Fax: 203-426-4009; Bruce Fagley, bfagley@us.trek.com; www.trek.com

TUV Rheinland of North America
12 Commerce Road, Newtown, CT 06470 USA; 203-426-0888; Fax: 203-426-4009; Bruce Fagley, bfagley@us.trek.com; www.trek.com
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Let Panashield help you with your EMC facility project.

Our experienced personnel will provide technical support to guide you through the design, supply and certification of the following types of facilities:

- EMC Chambers
  Compact, 3m, 5m, 10m
- RF Shielded Enclosures
- MRI Enclosures
- Military Test Chambers for 461E
- Avionics Test Chambers for DO160
- Free Space Simulation Chambers
- Reverberation Chambers
- P³ RF Sliding Doors
- Turnkey Services
- Facility Relocations
- Facility Upgrades

www.panashield.com